CITY COUNCIL FORMAL SESSION

To attend by phone only, call one of these numbers: +1 929 436 2866, +1 312 626 6799, +1 669 900 6833, +1 253 215 8782, +1 301 715 8592, +1 346 248 7799 - Enter Meeting ID: 85846903626

Tuesday, January 18, 2022, 10:00 A.M.

With advance notice of seven calendar days, the City of Detroit will provide interpreter services at public meetings, including American Sign Language, language translation and reasonable ADA accommodations. Please contact the Civil Rights, Inclusion and Opportunity Department at (313) 224-4950, through the TTY number 711, or email crio@detroitmi.gov to schedule these services.

1. APPROVAL OF JOURNAL OF LAST SESSION

2. RECONSIDERATIONS

3. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

4. PRESIDENT'S REPORT ON STANDING COMMITTEE REFERRALS AND OTHER MATTERS

5. BUDGET, FINANCE AND AUDIT STANDING COMMITTEE

THE FOLLOWING ITEM(S) ARE TO BE REFERRED TO THE BUDGET, FINANCE AND AUDIT STANDING COMMITTEE

MAYOR'S OFFICE

5.1. Submitting response relative to
Council Member LaTisha Johnson memorandum regarding City Revenue.

5.2. Submitting response related to
Council Member Angela Whitfield-Calloway memorandum regarding City Debt.

MISCELLANEOUS

5.3. Council President Mary Sheffield
submitting memorandum relative to Grant Opportunities for Translation and Sign Language Services.

5.4. Council Member Scott Benson
submitting memorandum relative to Over Assessment Taxable Value Information.

6. INTERNAL OPERATIONS STANDING COMMITTEE

THE FOLLOWING ITEM(S) ARE TO BE REFERRED TO THE INTERNAL OPERATIONS STANDING COMMITTEE
OFFICE OF CONTRACTING AND PROCUREMENT

Contract No. 6003505 - 100% City Funding – AMEND 1 – To Provide an Increase of Funds Only for Legal Services as Experienced Police Litigation Attorneys, in the Danny Burton v CoD Litigation, Case No. 2:20-cv-11948, Conduct Jail Telephone Call Reviews and Other Matters. – Contractor: Nathan & Kamionski, LLP – Location: 719 Griswold Street Suite 280, Detroit, MI 48226 – Contract Period: March 12, 2021 through June 30, 2023 – Contract Increase Amount: $500,000.00 – Total Contract Amount: $900,000.00. LAW

6.2. Submitting reso. autho.
Settlement in lawsuit of Affiliated Diagnostics of Oakland, LLC (Kimberly Sanford) v City of Detroit; Case No. 20-011059-NF File No. L20-00649 (YRB) A20000, in the amount of $8,800.00, in full payment for any and all claims which Affiliated Diagnostics of Oakland, LLC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about December 11, 2019.

6.3. Submitting reso. autho.
Settlement in lawsuit of Image Therapeutic Care LLC (Kimberly Sanford) v City of Detroit; Case No.21-150642-GC, File No. L21-00358 (YRB) A20000, in the amount of $8,950.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Image Therapeutic Care LLC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about December 11, 2019.

6.4. Submitting reso. autho.
Settlement in lawsuit of Rosemary Jakubus v City of Detroit; Case No. 21-001805-NI, File No. L21-00265 (YRB) A20000, in the amount of $5,309.05, in full payment for any and all claims which Rosemary Jakubus may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about February 19, 2020.

6.5. Submitting reso. autho.
Settlement in lawsuit of Lahser Medical Campus Physical Therapy PC (Kimberly Sanford) v City of Detroit; Case No. 21-159197-GC, File No. L21-00542 (YRB) A20000, in the amount of $3,500.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Lahser Medical Campus Physical Therapy PC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about December 11, 2019.

Case Evaluation Acceptance Award of Get Well Medical Transport Company (Kenny Ferguson) v Case No.. City of Detroit 21-004326-NI, File No. L21-00323 (MBC) A20000, in the amount of $5,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Get Well Medical Transport Co. may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained.

6.7. Submitting reso. autho.
Settlement in lawsuit of Neal, Yvette v City of Detroit; Case No. 19-016483-NF, File No. L19-00950 (PH) A20000, in the amount of $47,500.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Yvette Neal may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about December 14, 2018.

Legal Representation and Indemnification of David Hornshaw in lawsuit of Detroit Will Breathe v City of Detroit; Case No. 20-12363; L20-00669 (PMC) for David Hornshaw et al.
6.9. Submitting reso. autho.

**Legal Representation and Indemnification of Derrick Griffin in lawsuit of Tora Ree Clark v City of Detroit et al;** Case No. 19-12877; L19-006678 (TO) for Derrick Griffin.

6.10. Submitting reso. autho.

**Legal Representation and Indemnification of Kevin Wight in lawsuit of Tora Ree Clark v City of Detroit et al;** Case No. 19-12877; L19-00678 (TO) for Kevin Wight.

6.11. Submitting reso. autho.

**Legal Representation and Indemnification of Mariah Erard in lawsuit of Detroit Will Breathe v City of Detroit et al;** Case No. 20-12363; L20-00669 (PMC) for Mariah Erardl.


**Legal Representation and Indemnification of Steven Anouti in Lawsuit of Detroit Will Breathe v City of Detroit et al;** Case No. 20-12363; L20-00669 (PH) for Steven Anouti.


**Legal Representation and Indemnification of Stuart Martin in lawsuit of Tora Ree Clark v City of Detroit et al;** Case No. 19-12877; L19-00678 (TO) for Stuart Martin.


**Legal Representation and Indemnification of Timothy Barr in lawsuit of Detroit Will Breathe v City of Detroit et al;** Case No. 20-12363; L20-00669 (PMC) for Timothy Barr.

**LEGISLATIVE POLICY DIVISION**

6.15. Submitting reso. autho.

Continuing procedures for City of Detroit public bodies to meet remotely through July 31, 2022.

7. **NEIGHBORHOOD AND COMMUNITY SERVICES STANDING COMMITTEE**

**THE FOLLOWING ITEM(S) ARE TO BE REFERRED TO THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND COMMUNITY SERVICES STANDING COMMITTEE**

**OFFICE OF CONTRACTING AND PROCUREMENT**

7.1. Submitting reso. autho.

**Contract No. 6004145 - 100% 2018 UTGO Bond Funding – To Provide Boat Lift Install and Fence/Gate Replacement at DFD Fireboat Station.** – Contractor: E C Korneffel Company – Location: 2691 Veterans Parkway, Trenton, MI 48183 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 3, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $257,000.00. **GENERAL SERVICES**

7.2. Submitting reso. autho.

**Contract No. 6002650 - 100% City Funding – To Provide Vactor Services for Cleaning Out Various Drains.** – Contractor: LP Industries LTD – Location: 15366 Coyle Street, Detroit, MI 48227 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through February 4, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $275,000.00. **GENERAL SERVICES**

7.3. Submitting reso. autho.

**Contract No. 6002560 - 100% Grant Funding – AMEND 1 – To Provide an Extension of Time Only for Architectural, Engineering and Construction Management Services for AB Fox Park – Lenox Recreation Center Rehab.** – Contractor: inFORM Studio – Location: 235 East Main Street Suite 102b, Northville, MI 48167 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through April 30, 2023 – Amended Contract Amount: $0.00. **GENERAL SERVICES** (Total Contract Amount: $400,000.00) (Original Contract Period: February 25, 2020 through January 31, 2022)
7.4. Submitting reso. autho.
Contract No. 6004203 - 100% 2018 UTGO Bond Funding – To Provide Gear Extractors and Dryers at Twenty-Two (22) Firehouses. – Contractor: DMC Consultants, Inc. – Location: 13500 Foley, Detroit, MI 48227 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 1, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $426,825.00. GENERAL SERVICES

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER/OFFICE OF DEVELOPMENT AND GRANTS

7.5. Submitting reso. autho.
To submit a grant application to the Economic Development Administration for the FY 2021 American Rescue Plan Act Economic Adjustment Assistance Grant. (The General Services Department is hereby requesting authorization from Detroit City Council to submit a grant application to the Economic Development Administration for the FY 2021 American Rescue Plan Act Economic Adjustment Assistance Grant. The amount being sought is $4,373,851.79. The Federal share is $4,373,851.79 of the requested amount and there is a required cash match of $1,306,475.21. The total project cost is $5,680,327.00.)

7.6. Submitting reso. autho.
To submit a grant application to the Federal Transit Administration for the Buses and Bus Facilities Program Grant. (The Detroit Department of Transportation is hereby requesting authorization from Detroit City Council to submit a grant application to the Federal Transit Administration for the Buses and Bus Facilities Program Grant. The amount being sought is $6,386,050.00. The Federal share is $6,386,050.00 of the requested amount and there is a required State match of $1,031,950.00. There is no local match requirement. The total project cost is $7,418,000.00.)

7.7. Submitting reso. autho.
Correction: Request to Accept and Appropriate the Save Americas Treasures Grant for the Historic Fort Wayne. (The U.S. Department of the Interior – National Park Service has awarded the City of Detroit General Services Department with the Save Americas Treasures Grant for the Historic Fort Wayne, for a total of $500,000.00. The Federal share is $500,000.00 of the approved amount, and there is a required cash match of $600,000.00. The total project cost is $1,100,000.00. This grant was approved by City Council on December 9, 2021, through the special recess process. This request is to correct the match appropriation for this grant. If approval is granted to accept and appropriate this funding, the appropriation number is 20992, with the match amount coming from appropriation number 20507.)

7.8. Submitting reso. autho.
To submit a grant application to the Total Health Care Foundation for the Total Health Care Grant. (The General Services Department is hereby requesting authorization from Detroit City Council to submit a grant application to the Total Health Care Foundation for the Total Health Care Grant. The amount being sought is $325,000.00. There is no City match requirement. The total project cost is $325,000.00.)

8. PLANNING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STANDING COMMITTEE
THE FOLLOWING ITEM(S) ARE TO BE REFERRED TO THE PLANNING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STANDING COMMITTEE

OFFICE OF CONTRACTING AND PROCUREMENT

8.1. Submitting reso. autho.
8.2. Submitting reso. autho.
Scheduling a Public Hearing regarding Approval of The Brownfield Plan of The City of Detroit Brownfield Redevelopment Authority for The Coda Brush Park Redevelopment. (Brush Park Properties, LLC, is the project developer ("Developer"). The project includes the construction of an expensive addition to incorporate the existing carriage house building facade, built in 1890, into a 5-story modern, mixed-use development.)

PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

8.3. Submitting reso. autho.
Property Sale of 3138 E Davison to Suburban Transport System, Inc. The City of Detroit, Planning and Development Department ("P&DD") has received an offer from Suburban Transport System, Inc. (the “Purchaser”), a Michigan Corporation, to purchase certain City-owned real property at 3138 E Davison (the “Property”) for the purchase price of Ten Thousand Eight Hundred Eighty and 00/100 Dollars ($10,880.00).

9. PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY STANDING COMMITTEE

THE FOLLOWING ITEM(S) ARE TO BE REFERRED TO THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY STANDING COMMITTEE

OFFICE OF CONTRACTING AND PROCUREMENT

Contract No. 3051578 - 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 18128 Orleans. – Contractor: DMC Consultants, Inc. – Location: 13500 Foley, Detroit, MI 48227 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $ 17,000.00. CITY DEMOLITION

9.2. Submitting reso. autho.
Contract No. 3053166 - 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 3400 Springle. – Contractor: DMC Consultants, Inc. – Location: 13500 Foley, Detroit, MI 48227 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $65,520.00. CITY DEMOLITION

9.3. Submitting reso. autho.
Contract No. 6004093 - 100% City Funding – To Provide Car and Light Truck Parts and Service for Ford Vehicles. – Contractor: Ferndale-F, LLC dba Suburban Ford of Ferndale – Location: 21600 Woodward Avenue, Ferndale, MI 48220 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 15, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $150,000.00. TRANSPORTATION

9.4. Submitting reso. autho.
Contract No. 3053287 - 100% Major Street Funding – To Provide Right of Way Cost Associated with Construction at Railroad Crossing. – Contractor: CSX Transportation – Location: 6732 Southpoint Drive South, Jacksonville, FL 32216 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $98,755.00. PUBLIC WORKS

9.5. Submitting reso. autho.
Contract No. 3053480 - 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 7563 Jordan. – Contractor: Inner City Contracting, LLC – Location: 18701 Grand River, Detroit, MI 48223 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through November 30, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $25,500.00. CITY DEMOLITION

9.7. Submitting reso. autho.
Contract No. 3053917 - 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Properties, 3718 Ellery, 3229 Meldrum, 2656 Hunt and 2967 Hendricks. – Contractor: Homrich – Location: 3033 Bourke Street, Detroit, MI 48238 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $93,739.00. CITY DEMOLITION

Contract No. 3054038 - 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 1496 W Grand Boulevard. – Contractor: Inner City Contracting, LLC – Location: 18701 Grand River, Detroit, MI 48223 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 30, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $28,700.00. CITY DEMOLITION

Contract No. 3054122 - 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 17190 Detroit Avenue. – Contractor: Blue Star, Inc. – Location: 21950 Hoover, Warren, MI 48089 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 30, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $17,400.00. CITY DEMOLITION

9.10. Submitting reso. autho.
Contract No. 3054132 - 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 9651 Otsego. – Contractor: Inner City Contracting, LLC – Location: 18701 Grand River, Detroit, MI 48223 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 30, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $27,595.00. CITY DEMOLITION

9.11. Submitting reso. autho.
Contract No. 3054178 - 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 20 W Arizona. – Contractor: Inner City Contracting, LLC – Location: 18701 Grand River, Detroit, MI 48223 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $29,500.00. CITY DEMOLITION

Contract No. 3054179 - 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Commercial Property, 1611 Cortland. – Contractor: Homrich – Location: 3033 Bourke Street, Detroit, MI 48238 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $329,000.00. CITY DEMOLITION

Contract No. 3054180 - 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 4466 Lillibridge. – Contractor: Inner City Contracting, LLC – Location: 18701 Grand River, Detroit, MI 48223 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $26,950.00. CITY DEMOLITION

Contract No. 3054245 - 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 15544 Inverness. – Contractor: Inner City Contracting, LLC – Location: 18701 Grand River, Detroit, MI 48223 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $19,000.00. CITY DEMOLITION
9.15. Submitting reso. autho.

Contract No. 3054249 - 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Properties, 11811 Chelsea, 8456 Wiser, 11142 Charlemagne and 12220 Chelsea. – Contractor: Homrich – Location: 3033 Bourke Street, Detroit, MI 48238 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $93,183.00. CITY DEMOLITION


Contract No. 3054259 - 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Following Residential Properties, 1487 Bewick and 12185 Waltham. – Contractor: Inner City Contracting, LLC – Location: 18701 Grand River, Detroit, MI 48223 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 31, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $52,750.00. CITY DEMOLITION


Contract No. 3054260 - 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 4176 Cabot. – Contractor: Inner City Contracting, LLC – Location: 18701 Grand River, Detroit, MI 48223 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 31, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $18,900.00. CITY DEMOLITION


Contract No. 3054261 - 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Following Residential Properties, 6438 Fischer, 8631 Traverse, 6438 Iroquois and 6759 Iroquois. – Contractor: Blue Star, Inc. – Location: 21950 Hoover, Warren, MI 48089 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 30, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $77,300.00. CITY DEMOLITION


Contract No. 3054332 - 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 5908 Belvidere. – Contractor: Blue Star, Inc. – Location: 21950 Hoover, Warren, MI 48089 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $26,300.00. CITY DEMOLITION


Contract No. 3054339 - 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 14696 Seymour. – Contractor: Blue Star, Inc. – Location: 21950 Hoover, Warren, MI 48089 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $17,700.00. CITY DEMOLITION


Contract No. 3054432 - 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 7311 Navy. – Contractor: DMC Consultants, Inc. – Location: 13500 Foley, Detroit, MI 48227 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 30, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $49,000.00. CITY DEMOLITION

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER/OFFICE OF DEVELOPMENT AND GRANTS

9.22. Submitting reso. autho.

To Accept and Appropriate the FY 2021 Byrne Criminal Justice Innovation Grant. (The U.S. Department of Justice has awarded the City of Detroit Police Department with the FY 2021 Byrne Criminal Justice Innovation Grant for a total of $835,233.00. There is no match requirement. The total project cost is $835,233.00. The grant period is October 1, 2021 through September 30, 2024.)

10. VOTING ACTION MATTERS
15. LAW DEPARTMENT

15.1. Johnson, reso. autho.

Settlement in Lawsuit of Affiliated Diagnostic of Oakland et al (Larena Ware) v City of Detroit. Case No. 20-012246-NF, File No. L20-00727 (CBO), A20000, in the amount of $15,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Affiliated Diagnostic of Oakland, LLC and Vital Community Care may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained.

15.2. Johnson, reso. autho.

Settlement in lawsuit of Hersman Rehab LLC (Larena Ware) v City of Detroit, Case No. 20-012924-NF, File No. L20-00954 (CBO), A20000, in the amount of $15,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Hershman Rehab LLC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained.

15.3. Johnson, reso. autho.

Settlement in lawsuit of Brunson, Christopher v City of Detroit and Mohammad Kang, Case No. 20-006694-NI, File No. L20-00453 (PH) A20000, in the amount of $17,500.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Christopher Brunson may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about May 24, 2019.

15.4. Johnson, reso. autho.

Settlement in lawsuit Comprehensive Care Pain Mgmt (M. Witherspoon) V. City of Detroit; Case No. 21-151443-GC, File No. L21-00340 (MBC), A20000, in the amount of $12,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Comprehensive Care Pain Management, P.C. may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained.

15.5. Johnson, reso. autho.

Settlement in lawsuit of Gravity Imaging LLC (Clifton Montgomery) V City of Detroit. Case No. 20-151647-GC, File No. L20-00172 (MA), A20000, in the amount of $5,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Gravity Imaging, LLC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained.

15.6. Johnson, reso. autho.

Settlement in lawsuit Earnestine Daniels v City of Detroit; Case No. 20-009716-NF, File No. L20-00602 (RG), A20000, in the amount of $35,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Earnestine Daniels may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained.

15.7. Johnson, reso. autho.

Settlement in lawsuit of Gordon, Dena v City of Detroit, Martha Gillenwater, et al., Case No. 20-011704-NI, File No. L20-00861 (PH) A20000, in the amount of $11,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Dena Gordon may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about September 9, 2019.
Settlement in lawsuit of Herndon, Darryl v City of Detroit and Antonio Antoine Johnson, Case No. 20-005547-NF, File No. L20-00195 (PH) A20000, in the amount of $10,000.00, in full payment for any and all claims which Darryl Herndon may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about May 28, 2019.

15.9. Johnson, reso. autho.
Settlement in lawsuit of Karr Sale and Service Inc. v City of Detroit, Case No. 21-011673-NF, L21-00798 (BP) A20000, in the amount of $15,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which I Karr Sale and Service, Inc. may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about October 23, 2020.

15.10. Johnson, reso. autho.
Settlement in lawsuit of Michigan Specialty Clinic, PLLC v City of Detroit, Case No. 21-149649, File No. L21-00325 (YRB) A20000, in the amount of $7,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Michigan Specialty Clinic, PLLC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about November 14, 2017.

15.11. Johnson, reso. autho.
Settlement in lawsuit of Biomolecular Integrations, Karen Brownlee v City of Detroit. Case No. 20-160484-GC, File No. L20-00713 (PP) A20000, in the amount of $12,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Biomolecular Integrations may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about November 14, 2017.

Settlement in lawsuit of Montgomery, John v COD and John Doe (iSpine, APC, Reliable One, and Affiliated Diagnos, Case No. 19-007064-NI, File No. L19-00300 (PH) A20000, in the amount of $18,000.00 to ISpine, $15,000.00 to Advance Pain Care, $4,500.00 to Reliable One Transportation, and $1,500.00 to Affiliated Diagnostics in full payment for any and all claims which the above may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about 06/08/2018.

Settlement in Lawsuit of Northland Radiology Inc (Tamika Homesly) v City of Detroit Case No. 20-015082-NF, File No. L20-00951, (MBC), A20000, in the amount of $22,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Northland Radiology, Inc. may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained.

Settlement in lawsuit of Elizabeth Howard v. City of Detroit. Case No. 20-009459-NI, File No. L20-00515 (KAC) A20000, in the amount of $65,000.00 in favor of Elizabeth Howard and her attorney, Ravid and Associates, P.C., in full payment for any and all claims which Elizabeth Howard may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about 05/06/2019.

15.15. Johnson, reso. autho.
Settlement in lawsuit of Christanna Bullock v William Morrison Case No. 17-12685, File No. L17-00590 (GBP), A37000, in the amount of $62,500.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Christanna Bullock may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained.
Settlement in lawsuit of Integrated MRI Center, LLC (Kelvin Butler) v City of Detroit. Case No. 20-9250-GC, File No. L21-00040 (YRB) A20000, in the amount of $6,250.00, in full payment for any and all claims which Integrated MRI Center, LLC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about October 31, 2019.

15.17. Johnson, reso. autho.  
Settlement in lawsuit of Kimberly Michelle Baker v City of Detroit. Case No. 20-008597-NI, File No. L20-00441 (YRB) A20000, in the amount of $58,500.00, in full payment for any and all claims which Kimberly Michelle Baker may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about October 8, 2019.

15.18. Johnson, reso. autho.  
Settlement in lawsuit of Pioneer Labs (Tamika Homesly) v City of Detroit. Case No. 21-158315-GC, File No. L21-00566 (MBC) A20000, in the amount of $12,250.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Pioneer Labs may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained.

Settlement in lawsuit of Tox Testing, et al (Kimberly Baker) v City of Detroit. Case No. 20-014587-NF, File No. L20-00923 (YRB) A20000, in the amount of $18,450.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Tox Testing, Pro Toxicology Testing and Detroit Metro RX may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about October 8, 2019.

15.20. Johnson, reso. autho.  
Settlement in lawsuit of Primal Comfort, LLC and Renew Physical Therapy (M. Bell) v City of Detroit. Case No. 20-005842-NF, File No. L20-00185 (CBO), A20000, in the amount of $11,500.00 second draft in favor of Renew Physical Therapy and its attorney, Issa Fawaz Law, PLC that amount of $1,250.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Primal Comfort Care, LLC and Renew Physical Therapy may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained By Merlene Bell.

Settlement in Lawsuit of Groesbeck Rx LLC (Marcus Witherspoon) v. City of Detroit Case No. 21-160323-GC, File No. L21-00547 (MBC), A20000, in the amount of $4,900.00 in full payment for any and all claims which may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained.

15.22. Johnson, reso. autho.  
Settlement in lawsuit of Vital Community Care (Tyrone Williams) V City of Detroit. Case No. 20-165613-GC, File No. L20-00910 (YRB) A20000, in the amount of $3,250.00, in full payment for any and all claims which Vital Community Care may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about September 1, 2019.

15.23. Johnson, reso. autho.  
Settlement in lawsuit of Wright, Delores v David Chapman and COD. Case No. 18-014524-NI, File No. L19-00034 (PP) A37000, in the amount of $37,500.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Delores Wright may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about April 15, 2018.
15.24. **Johnson, reso. autho.**

**Settlement in lawsuit of Coolidge Imaging, LLC dba Open Imaging (Randy Richardson) v City of Detroit** Case No. 21-156069-GC, File No. L21-00555 (MA), A20000, in the amount of $5,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Coolidge Imaging, LLC D/B/A Parkwood Open Imaging may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained.

15.25. **Johnson, reso. autho.**

**Settlement in lawsuit of United Lab (Larena Ware) V City of Detroit.** Case No. 20-159824-GC, L20-00609 (CBO), A20000, in the amount of $4,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which United Lab may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained.

15.26. **Johnson, reso. autho.**

**Settlement in lawsuit of Duane Hudnall v City of Detroit; File No. 11777 (CM) in the sum of $24,900.00 in full payment of any and all claims which they may have against the City of Detroit by reason of any injuries or occupational diseases and their resultant disabilities incurred or sustained as the result of his past employment with the City of Detroit.**

15.27. **Johnson, reso. autho.**

**Settlement in lawsuit of Camille Scott v City of Detroit; File No. 15054 (CM) in the sum of $60,000.00 in full payment of any and all claims which Camille Scott may have against the City of Detroit by reason of any injuries or occupational diseases and their resultant disabilities incurred or sustained as the result of her past employment with the City of Detroit.**

15.28. **Johnson, reso. autho.**

**Case Evaluation Acceptance Award in lawsuit of Rowland, Kiersten, next friend Kieran Hayes, minor v City of Detroit**Case No. 21-002731-NF, File No. L21-00263 (PH) A20000, in the amount of $9,500.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Eastside Chiropractic, PLLC, may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about 08/12/2020.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER/office of development and grants

15.29. **Johnson, reso. autho.**

To submit a grant application to the JPMorgan Chase Foundation for the Racial and Social Justice Grant. (The Law Department is hereby requesting authorization from Detroit City Council to submit a grant application to the JPMorgan Chase Foundation for the Racial and Social Justice Grant. The amount being sought is $300,000.00. There is no City match requirement. The total project cost is $300,000.00.) (REPORTED OUT OF THE INTERNAL OPERATIONS STANDING COMMITTEE ON 1-12-22 PENDING ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION)

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

15.30. **Johnson, reso. autho.**

Petition of Kyren Anthony-Rose Jamison Foundation (#1605), request from your Honorable Body a resolution in support of a Charitable Gaming License. (Be advised that the organization meets the criteria for such recognition as established by the City Council on May 15, 2012. Therefore, approval of this petition is recommended and an appropriate resolution is attached.)

16. **PLANNING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STANDING COMMITTEE**
16.1. Tate, reso. autho.


16.2. Tate, a Proposed ordinance

To amend Chapter 50 of the 2019 Detroit City Code, Zoning, by amending Article XVII, Zoning District Maps, Section 50-17-75, District Map No. 73, to revise the existing R5 (Medium Density Residential District) zoning classification to the B4 (General Business District) zoning classification for two parcels generally located on the east side of Telegraph Road and south of West McNichols Road, commonly known as 16890 Telegraph Road and 16920 Telegraph Road (Lot 7 only). INTRODUCE (RECOMMEND APPROVAL) (REPORTED OUT OF THE PLANNING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STANDING COMMITTEE ON 1-13-22)

16.3. Tate, reso. autho.

Setting a Public Hearing on the foregoing ordinance amendment. (REPORTED OUT OF THE PLANNING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STANDING COMMITTEE ON 1-13-22)

16.4. Tate, a Proposed ordinance

To amend Chapter 50 of the 2019 Detroit City Code, Zoning, by amending Article XVII, Zoning District Maps, Section 50-17-76, District Map No. 74, to revise the existing R1 (Single-Family Residential) zoning classification to the R3 (Low Density Residential District) zoning classification for 22 parcels generally located between Santa Clara Street and Orchard Street east of Lahser Road, commonly known as the following addresses: 21689 Santa Clara Street, 21679 Santa Clara Street, 21669 Santa Clara Street, 21661 Santa Clara Street, 21653 Santa Clara Street, 21645 Santa Clara Street, 21639 Santa Clara Street, 21631 Santa Clara Street, 21621 Santa Clara Street, 21535 Santa Clara Street, 21525 Santa Clara Street, 21521 Santa Clara Street, 21519 Orchard Street, 21514 Orchard Street, 21506 Orchard Street, 21503 Orchard Street, 21501 Orchard Street, 21500 Orchard Street, 21498 Orchard Street, 21492 Orchard Street, 21486 Orchard Street, 21484 Orchard Street, 21480 Orchard Street, 21478 Orchard Street, 21476 Orchard Street, 21473 Orchard Street, 21471 Orchard Street, 21468 Orchard Street, 21466 Orchard Street, 21464 Orchard Street, 21462 Orchard Street, 21460 Orchard Street, 21464 Orchard Street, 21462 Orchard Street, 21460 Orchard Street, 21464 Orchard Street, 21462 Orchard Street, 21460 Orchard Street, and 21556 Orchard Street. INTRODUCE (RECOMMEND APPROVAL) (REPORTED OUT OF THE PLANNING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STANDING COMMITTEE ON 1-13-22)

16.5. Tate, reso. autho.

Setting a Public Hearing on the foregoing ordinance amendment. (REPORTED OUT OF THE PLANNING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STANDING COMMITTEE ON 1-13-22)

16.6. Tate, reso. autho.

**Annual HOME, CDBG, NSP and ARPA Awards - New Award.** (The City of Detroit ("City"), through the Housing and Revitalization Department ("HRD") and other City Departments, makes annual and special funding allocations available for "ready-to-proceed projects" from HOME, CDBG, NSP and the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 ("ARPA") federal funds allocated to the City through the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") and the U.S. Department of Treasury. HRD has continued to work closely with HUD and other Federal agencies to meet required commitment and disbursement deadlines for new and ongoing projects approved by the City.)
17. NEW BUSINESS

18. CONSENT AGENDA

19. MEMBER REPORTS

20. ADOPTION WITHOUT COMMITTEE REFERENCE

21. COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE CLERK
    Report on approval of proceedings by the Mayor.

22. TESTIMONIAL RESOLUTIONS AND SPECIAL PRIVILEGE
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: Mayor's Office - Legislative Liaison
FILE NUMBER: Mayor's Office - Legislative Liaison-0170

* RE:
Submitting report related to:

* SUMMARY:
CM Latisha Johnson Memo Response Regarding City Revenue

* RECOMMENDATION:
Receive and File CM Latisha Johnson Memo Response Regarding City Revenue

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Jay Rising
Position: CFO, Office of the Chief Financial Officer

*=REQUIRED
MEMORANDUM

TO: Councilmember Latisha Johnson
FROM: Jay B. Rising, CFO
DATE: January 5, 2022
RE: City Revenue

During my presentation during Council Orientation on December 3, 2021, you raised a question how the pandemic has impacted City revenue that warranted follow up. Below is information which hopefully will respond to your question.

I have attached the most recent Revenue Estimating Conference Report from the conference held on September 15. (This report, and other financial reports, can be found on the City’s website: https://detroitmi.gov/departments/office-chief-financial-officer/financial-reports.) Under State law, the City’s independent revenue estimating conference sets the revenues available for the City budget. The next revenue conference will be held on February 16, 2022, to set the revenues for the fiscal year 2022-2023 budget.

The Office of Budget, within the Office of the Chief Financial Officer (OCFO), prepares revenue estimates and the conference report for consideration by the conference principals. The current principals of the conference are State of Michigan’s Chief Economist, City of Detroit CFO, and the University of Michigan, Director Emeritus, Research Seminar in Quantitative Economics. In preparing the revenue estimates, the Office of Budget consults the OCFO’s Offices of the Assessor, Departmental Financial Services, and the Treasury, as well as the City Council’s Legislative Policy Division and the Office of the Auditor General.

The City’s General Fund revenues come from the Income Tax, Wagering Tax, State Revenue Sharing, Property Tax, Utility Users Tax, and various departmental revenues. The conference report includes descriptions of each.

As described in the report, the COVID-19 pandemic had the most significant impacts on Income Tax and Wagering Tax. Wagering Taxes were substantially impacted due to casino closures and capacity restrictions. Wagering taxes from on-site gaming have been steadily recovering this year as restrictions were lifted. New taxes from internet gaming and sports betting have helped the City cover ongoing pandemic revenue losses from income taxes and other sources. The pandemic had a substantial impact on Income Taxes, both from impacts to employment and wages and from nonresident workers who are working remotely.

We greatly appreciate your engagement on this topic. We welcome further discussions and would be happy to follow up in the New Year with you and your staff.
TO: City of Detroit Revenue Estimating Conference Principals
FROM: Steven Watson, Deputy CFO / Budget Director, City of Detroit
SUBJECT: Proposed FY 2022 – FY 2026 Revenue Estimates Pursuant to State of Michigan Public Act 279 of 1909, Section 4t(1)(d)
DATE: September 15, 2021

1. AUTHORITY

1.1. State of Michigan Public Act 279 of 1909 (PA 279), Section 4t(1)(d), as amended by Public Act 182 of 2014, states the City shall hold a revenue estimating conference in the second week of September and in the third week of February of each year, subject to the following:

1.1.1. A conference shall establish an official economic forecast of major variables of the national, state, and local economies. A conference also shall establish a forecast of anticipated revenues of the city as the conference determines.

1.1.2. The principals of a conference shall be the chief financial officer of the city, the state treasurer or his or her designee from within the department of treasury, and a person affiliated with another public entity, including a state institution of higher education, with experience in economic forecasting and revenue projection selected by the chief financial officer of the city and the state treasurer.

1.1.3. The official forecast of economic and revenue variables of the conference shall be determined by consensus among the conference principals and shall be for the fiscal year in which the conference is being held and the succeeding 2 fiscal years. The conference also shall forecast general fund revenue trendline projections for the city for an additional 2 fiscal years. Conference forecasts of revenues and expenditures shall be based upon the assumption that current law and administrative procedures will remain in effect for the forecast period.

1.1.4. The conference may request and shall receive from officers, departments, agencies, and authorities of the city the assistance and data needed to enable the conference to fulfill its duties.

2. OBJECTIVES

2.1. To provide the City of Detroit Revenue Estimating Conference principals the assistance and data needed to fulfill their duties in establishing the City’s official economic and revenue forecast.

3. PURPOSE

3.1. To submit proposed revenue estimates to the Revenue Estimating Conference principals for their consideration.

4. SCOPE

4.1. This Memorandum and the attached report are intended solely to assist the Revenue Estimating Conference principals fulfill their duties pursuant to Section 4t(1)(d) of PA 279.

5. STATEMENT

5.1. In accordance with Section 4t(1)(d) of PA 279 and CFO Directive No. 2018-101-002, the Office of Budget prepared the attached revenue estimates for FY 2022 through FY 2026 for consideration by the principals of the City of Detroit September 2021 Revenue Estimating Conference.

5.2. Revenue estimates were prepared on a conservative basis to minimize the possibility that economic fluctuations could jeopardize ongoing service delivery during the fiscal year.

5.3. Revenue estimates were prepared in consultation with the other OCFO divisions responsible for administering their respective revenues, as well as the City Council’s Legislative Policy Division and the Auditor General’s Office.
Overview of Revenue Estimating Conference:

State of Michigan Public Act 279 of 1909, Section 117.4t(1)(d), as amended by Public Act 182 of 2014, states the City of Detroit shall hold biannual revenue estimating conferences, which shall establish the official economic forecast and forecast of anticipated revenues of the City. The City holds its Revenue Estimating Conferences in September and February of each fiscal year. The voting principals are the City's Chief Financial Officer (CFO), the State Treasurer (or designee), and a person affiliated with another public entity, including a State institution of higher education, with experience in economic forecasting and revenue projection selected by the CFO and State Treasurer. The voting principals for the September 2021 Revenue Estimating Conference are:

- Jay B. Rising, Chief Financial Officer, City of Detroit
- Eric Bussis, Chief Economist and Director, Office of Revenue and Tax Analysis, Michigan Department of Treasury (on behalf of State Treasurer Rachael Eubanks)
- George Fulton, PhD, Director Emeritus, Research Seminar in Quantitative Economics (RSQE), Department of Economics, University of Michigan

The Office of Budget, within the Office of the Chief Financial Officer (OCFO), prepared revenue estimates for consideration by the conference principals. Following their review, the principals approved the revenue estimates without modification. In preparing the revenue estimates, the Office of Budget consulted with the OCFO's Offices of the Assessor, Departmental Financial Services, and the Treasury, as well as the City Council’s Legislative Policy Division and the Office of the Auditor General. The Office of Budget thanks its colleagues for their continued support and assistance throughout the revenue estimation process.

The estimates that follow include the current fiscal year (FY 2022) and the four succeeding fiscal years (FY 2023–26). While there is a greater focus on the General Fund, the estimates also cover the City's grant, enterprise, and special revenue funds. The forecast assumes that current law and administrative procedures will remain in effect for the forecast period.
Summary of Revenue Estimates:

The estimates include updates for FY 2022–26. Recurring General Fund revenues from FY 2022 to FY 2023 increase by 4.3%, largely due to gains in wagering tax based on a full fiscal year implementation of internet gaming and sports betting, and gradual return to pre-pandemic baseline for on-site gaming revenue. Revenues such as income tax, wagering tax, and parking enforcement are anticipated to stabilize from COVID-19 impacts by FY 2023. The General Fund revenue forecast for FY 2024 increases 1.3% over FY 2023, with a similar modest annual growth trend anticipated for FY 2025 and FY 2026.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>September 2021 Revenue Estimates, General Fund</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ in millions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income Tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Revenue Sharing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurring Base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-recurring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wagering Tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurring Base</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-recurring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Increment Financing Capture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Property Tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility Users Tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To Public Lighting Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Utility Users Tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal, Major Taxes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Taxes (Recurring Only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Revenues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-recurring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total, General Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Fund, Recurring Only</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Economic Conditions and Outlook:

Now that effective COVID-19 vaccines have become widely available, economic growth has accelerated in the first half of 2021. Despite availability and the proven effectiveness of the vaccines, inoculation has remained modest.\(^1\) Approximately 56 percent of the U.S. population is fully vaccinated—short of the 60-70 percent often cited as the level needed for herd immunity.\(^2\) In so far as vaccination rates remain low nationally and uneven state by state, COVID-19 remains the primary driver of economic conditions. Further, a viral mutation, identified as the Delta variant, has proven to be more transmissible than the initial strain identified in 2019. The Delta variant has now become the dominant strain worldwide and is impeding economic recovery—slowing growth in the second half of 2021.

Consumer spending has been a bright spot in the economy, buoyed by federal economic stimulus: enhanced unemployment benefits, a pause on federal student loan payments, a final round of stimulus checks in early 2021, and expanded childcare tax credits. Consumer spending, particularly on durable goods in the first quarter of 2021 and services in the second quarter continue to drive economic recovery.\(^3\) High demand paired with ongoing supply chain issues, not all of which are pandemic-related, has resulted in high inflation, particularly for food and energy (Table 1). The Federal Reserve's stance is that these effects are transitory. In response, the Fed has maintained accommodative monetary policy supporting the recovery.\(^4\)

\begin{table}
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
 & Food & & Energy & & All Other Items \\
\hline
U.S. CPI & 4.1\% & 8.0\% & -9.0\% & 13.7\% & 1.7\% & 5.8\% \\
Detroit MSA CPI & 2.6\% & 8.7\% & -10.2\% & 12.9\% & 2.8\% & 4.7\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Percent Change of the Consumer Price Index (CPI) Relative to August 2019 for the United States and Detroit Metropolitan Statistical Area, Not Seasonally Adjusted}
\end{table}

Locally, improving economic conditions are reflected through the recovery of Detroit's tax base from 2020. Although gradual, we have seen improvements in the number of people employed from the state-level down to the local-level in Detroit from the depths of the recession in April 2020 (Table 2). Labor demand, particularly in the hard-hit service industries, have been elevated relative to labor supply. Although labor shortages have impacted several industries, like health care\(^5\) and manufacturing,\(^6\) the impacts on the leisure and hospitality sector have been acute. While demand for restaurant services began recovering over the summer, staffing levels generally have not. In addition to limits on available services due to low staffing, rising labor costs and commodity costs have created

\(^{1}\) Mayo Clinic, U.S. COVID-19 Vaccine Tracker
\(^{2}\) Yale Medicine, “Herd Immunity: Will We Ever Get There?”, May 21, 2021
\(^{3}\) U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, Table 1.1.2 Contributions to Percent Change in Real Gross Domestic Product
\(^{4}\) Federal Reserve, Federal Open Market Committee Statement, July 28, 2021
\(^{5}\) Association of American Medical Colleges, “Hospitals innovate amid dire nursing shortages”, September 7, 2021
\(^{6}\) Institute for Supply Management, August 2021 Manufacturing ISM Report on Business
difficult conditions for the overall sector.\textsuperscript{7} Over the summer, we have seen wage increases and recruiting incentives in the leisure and hospitality sector become more common to attract workers.\textsuperscript{8}

| Table 2: Employment Levels for the United States, Michigan, and Detroit, April 2019 – April 2021, Not Seasonally Adjusted (in thousands) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| United States | Michigan | Detroit |
| Employment | % change | Employment | % change | Employment | % change |
| April 2019 | 156,710 | 4,709 | 228 |
| April 2020 | 133,326 | -14.9% | 3,459 | -26.5% | 162 | -28.9% |
| April 2021 | 151,160 | -3.5% | 4,433 | -5.9% | 212 | -7.0% |

**Risks to the Economic Outlook:**

Recent economic data has shown that Detroit’s economy is on the path to recovery, but several challenges remain that could either slow or reverse the current course. The Delta variant was an unforeseen risk to the forecast. Fortunately, due to vaccination, it has not caused the same level of disruption to economic activity as prior spikes in infection rates. Although the available vaccines have proven to be effective in preventing serious disease and hospitalization, new variants remain an ongoing risk to the momentum of the economic recovery.

An extended period of high inflation and supply chain disruptions are risks to the forecast, elevating cost pressures on businesses and consumers. The impact of the semiconductor shortage on the auto industry is a high-profile effect of the supply chain disruption and has been felt both in Michigan and Detroit. Although the general stance is that the inflation effects are transitory, it is still unclear when inflation levels will return to long-run target of 2%\textsuperscript{9} Supply chain issues remain unresolved, mostly caused by the Delta variant’s spread in supplier countries and trucking labor shortages in the United States.\textsuperscript{10} As vaccination rates improve in supplier countries and labor force participation rates improve, disruptions to U.S. supply chains should alleviate.

Ongoing remote work arrangements by major employers in Detroit create additional uncertainty to the economic forecast. Most firms that have remote work eligible occupations have adopted hybrid remote work plans, requiring employees to work onsite for part of the week rather than the whole week. Some firms have extended remote work options well into 2022 due to the presence of the Delta variant.\textsuperscript{11} The shift towards potentially long-term remote work plans may have a significant impact to how real estate is used and developed in commercial spaces like downtown Detroit. Until new trends are identified and established it is difficult to tell if the effects will be positive or negative for Detroit’s economy.

---

\textsuperscript{7} Michigan Restaurant and Lodging Association, “MRLA Releases Industry Operations Survey Indicating Dramatic Workforce Struggles”, August 11, 2021
\textsuperscript{9} Federal Reserve, Federal Open Market Committee Statement, July 28, 2021
\textsuperscript{11} Detroit Free Press, “Ford Reveals New Return-To-Office Date, Plans for Salaried Workers” August 25, 2021
COVID-19 Revenue Shortfall Summary, FY 2020 – FY 2024:

The above table compares the FY 2020 Actuals, FY 2021 Projected Actuals, and the FY 2022-2024 September 2021 forecast against the pre-pandemic February 2020 projections. Overall, General Fund revenues were significantly impacted by COVID-19 in FY 2020 and FY 2021. Total FY 2020 and FY 2021 General Fund revenues underperformed the February 2020 forecast by 11.5% and 8.7%, respectively. The primary drivers for these losses were income tax reductions due to nonresident remote work refunds and wagering tax reductions due to casino closures and capacity restrictions. The FY 2021 COVID-19 losses were offset by $76.1 million in non-recurring revenue, and $26.6 million in revenue from internet gaming and sports betting that was implemented in January 2021. The February 2020 forecast did not assume any potential upside from internet gaming or sports betting.

The total FY 2022 – FY 2024 General Fund revenue forecast is anticipated to stabilize to pre-pandemic projections. However, when excluding internet gaming and sports betting revenues, the FY 2022 – 2024 forecast would continue to underperform the February 2020 projections. This new revenue stream helps mitigate the ongoing income tax losses pertaining to nonresident remote work refunds. The September 2021 forecast assumes that 30% of nonresident work activity will be performed outside the City moving forward.
Current Year General Fund Revenue Estimates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Taxes</th>
<th>Projected Actual</th>
<th>Adopted Budget</th>
<th>Sept 2021 Estimate</th>
<th>FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>Change Since FY 2021</th>
<th>Change Since Adopted Budget</th>
<th>$ in millions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Income Tax</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 302.2</td>
<td>$ 295.6</td>
<td>$ 276.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurring Base</td>
<td>260.4</td>
<td>295.6</td>
<td>276.4</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>(25.8) -8.5%</td>
<td>(19.2) -6.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-recurring</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(41.8)</td>
<td>-100.0%</td>
<td>(19.2) -6.5%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State Revenue Sharing</strong></td>
<td>212.3</td>
<td>202.5</td>
<td>193.7</td>
<td>(18.6)</td>
<td>-8.8%</td>
<td>(8.8) -4.3%</td>
<td>(8.8) -4.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurring Base</td>
<td>203.9</td>
<td>202.5</td>
<td>202.1</td>
<td>(1.8)</td>
<td>-0.9%</td>
<td>(0.4) -0.2%</td>
<td>(0.4) -0.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-recurring</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(8.4)</td>
<td>(16.8)</td>
<td>-200.0%</td>
<td>(8.4) -4.3%</td>
<td>(8.4) -4.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wagering Tax</strong></td>
<td>136.8</td>
<td>169.8</td>
<td>283.6</td>
<td>146.8</td>
<td>107.3%</td>
<td>113.8 67.0%</td>
<td>(8.8) -4.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurring Base</td>
<td>136.8</td>
<td>169.8</td>
<td>244.9</td>
<td>108.1</td>
<td>79.0%</td>
<td>75.1 44.2%</td>
<td>75.1 44.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-recurring</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Property Tax</strong></td>
<td>138.3</td>
<td>128.7</td>
<td>132.4</td>
<td>(5.9)</td>
<td>-4.3%</td>
<td>3.7 2.9%</td>
<td>3.7 2.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Increment Financing Capture</td>
<td>(15.5)</td>
<td>(15.3)</td>
<td>(15.2)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-1.9%</td>
<td>0.1 0.0%</td>
<td>0.1 0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Property Tax</strong></td>
<td>122.8</td>
<td>113.4</td>
<td>117.2</td>
<td>(5.6)</td>
<td>-4.6%</td>
<td>3.8 3.4%</td>
<td>3.8 3.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurring Base</td>
<td>114.1</td>
<td>113.4</td>
<td>117.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>3.8 3.4%</td>
<td>3.8 3.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-recurring</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(8.7)</td>
<td>-100.0%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Utility Users Tax</strong></td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>2.9 7.1%</td>
<td>2.9 7.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To Public Lighting Authority</td>
<td>(12.5)</td>
<td>(12.5)</td>
<td>(12.5)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>- 0.0%</td>
<td>- 0.0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Utility Users Tax</strong></td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>2.9 10.2%</td>
<td>2.9 10.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal, Major Taxes</strong></td>
<td>$ 805.2</td>
<td>$ 809.8</td>
<td>$ 902.3</td>
<td>$ 97.1 12.1%</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Major Taxes (Recurring Only)</strong></td>
<td>$ 746.3</td>
<td>$ 809.8</td>
<td>$ 872.0</td>
<td>$ 125.7</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Revenues</strong></td>
<td>$ 184.8</td>
<td>$ 185.4</td>
<td>$ 204.1</td>
<td>$ 19.3</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurring</td>
<td>167.6</td>
<td>185.4</td>
<td>199.6</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-recurring</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>(12.7)</td>
<td>-73.8%</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total, General Fund</strong></td>
<td>$ 990.0</td>
<td>$ 995.2</td>
<td>$ 1,106.4</td>
<td>$ 116.4</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
<td>111.2</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>General Fund, Recurring Only</strong></td>
<td>$ 913.9</td>
<td>$ 995.2</td>
<td>$ 1,071.6</td>
<td>$ 157.7</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
<td>76.4</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recurring General Fund revenue is projected at $1,071.6 million in FY 2022. The updated FY 2022 recurring General Fund revenue estimates have been increased by $76.4 million, representing a 7.7% gain from the FY 2022 Adopted Budget. The $76.4 million increase is primarily driven by $75.1 million in wagering tax, of which $66.4 million is attributable to gains from newly implemented internet gaming and sports betting taxes. The FY 2022 Adopted budget did not include revenue projections from internet gaming or sports betting. These wagering tax gains are offset by a $19.2 million reduction in income taxes, net of a $14.2 million increase in Other Revenue. The income tax reduction is largely driven by an additional $26 million in nonresident tax refunds from continued remote work.
activity, net of a $5.1 million increase in individual collections. For Other Revenue, the $14.2 million increase is mostly attributable to a $9.6 million increase in Casino Municipal Service Fee revenue from revised wagering tax forecasting, and $4 million in Local Community Stabilization Authority payments that were previously not included in the recurring revenue forecast.

Compared to FY 2021 Projected Actuals, recurring FY 2022 revenues are expected to increase overall by $157.7 million (17.3%). This change is mainly attributable to a $108.1 million increase in wagering tax due to a full year of internet gaming and sports betting activity, and gradual return to pre-pandemic baseline revenues for on-site gaming. The increase from FY 2021 is also driven by $16.0 million of projected gains in income tax, mostly attributable to increases in withholding and reduced nonresident refunds due to a gradual decline in remote work activity. Recurring Other Revenues are forecasted to increase $32.0 million over the FY 2021 Projected Actuals. This change is mainly driven by a $12.8 million increase in Casino Municipal Service fee revenue, and a $16.8 million increase in various departmental revenues that include parking enforcement activity, license, permit, and inspection charges, Police Department secondary employment/special event charges, and other external departmental fees. The $16.8 million increase primarily represents a gradual return to pre-pandemic activity throughout the duration of FY 2022.

Non-recurring revenues of $34.8 million are projected in the FY 2022 September 2021 forecast. These revenues include $38.7 million in FY 2021 wagering tax hold harmless collections from the State that are anticipated to accrue in FY 2022. In addition, a one-time State revenue sharing payment adjustment of ($8.7 million) is anticipated due to the delay in applying 2020 US Census population declines intended for FY 2021 into FY 2022. There is no net change for two-year State revenue sharing total for FY 2021 and FY 2022. (see Appendix, “Exhibit 4 – FY 2021 Projected Actuals, General Fund Non-Recurring Summary” for a breakout of FY 2021 non-recurring revenue).
Summary of Major Taxes:

The forecast anticipates a 16.8% FY 2022 increase in major tax revenue compared to FY 2021 Projected Actuals. This increase is largely attributable to an estimated increase in income tax collections from a gradual reduction in nonresident remote work throughout FY 2022, and a full year of internet gaming and sports betting wagering tax collections. The forecast anticipates a return to pre-pandemic baseline in FY 2023 and a consistent annual growth trend throughout the FY 2023 – FY 2026 forecast period. The forecast also assumes nonresident remote work activity will continue at 30% throughout the FY 2023 – 2026 forecast period and does not include potential income tax upside from new development projects.
### General Fund Major Revenue Estimates - Detail, FY 2022 - FY 2026

#### September 2021 Estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY22 Est</th>
<th>FY23 Est</th>
<th>% Change</th>
<th>FY24 Est</th>
<th>% Change</th>
<th>FY25 Est</th>
<th>% Change</th>
<th>FY26 Est</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Major Taxes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income Tax</td>
<td>$276.4 M</td>
<td>$294.4 M</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>$301.5 M</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>$307.9 M</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>$316.4 M</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withholding</td>
<td>287.2</td>
<td>298.0</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>306.9</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>314.6</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>324.3</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>33.1</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnership</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurring Refunds</td>
<td>(24.3)</td>
<td>(25.2)</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>(26.2)</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>(26.9)</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>(27.6)</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonresident Remote Work Refunds</td>
<td>(52.1)</td>
<td>(47.2)</td>
<td>-9.4%</td>
<td>(49.0)</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>(50.4)</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>(52.1)</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State Revenue Sharing</strong></td>
<td>193.7</td>
<td>203.0</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>204.1</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>205.3</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>206.6</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statutory</td>
<td>144.3</td>
<td>144.3</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>144.3</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>144.3</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>144.3</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constitutional</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Recurring³</td>
<td>(8.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-100.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wagering Tax</strong></td>
<td>283.6</td>
<td>260.5</td>
<td>-8.1%</td>
<td>264.0</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>265.9</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>268.7</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onsite Traditional Gaming</td>
<td>178.5</td>
<td>186.7</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>188.6</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>190.5</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>192.4</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internet Gaming</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>50.5</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports Betting</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Payment Gains Internet/Sports Betting</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>38.8%</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Recurring³</td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>-100.0%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Property Tax</strong></td>
<td>132.4</td>
<td>137.2</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>139.2</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>142.3</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>145.6</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Increment Financing Capture</td>
<td>(15.2)</td>
<td>(15.9)</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>(16.3)</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>(16.7)</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>(17.1)</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Property Tax</strong></td>
<td>117.2</td>
<td>121.3</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>122.9</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>125.6</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>128.5</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>99.7</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delinquent</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Acts</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility Users Tax</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To Public Lighting Authority</td>
<td>(12.5)</td>
<td>(12.5)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>(12.5)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>(12.5)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>(12.5)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Utility Users Tax</strong></td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, Major Taxes</strong></td>
<td>$902.3 M</td>
<td>$910.8 M</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>$924.3 M</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>$936.7 M</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>$952.4 M</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, Recurring Only</strong></td>
<td>$872.0 M</td>
<td>$910.8 M</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>$924.3 M</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>$936.7 M</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>$952.4 M</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**

1. Reflects State payment adjustment due to anticipated delay in applying 2020 US Census population decline into FY22. No net change for two-year total for FY21 and FY22.

2. FY22 represents one-time FY21 hold harmless collections that accrue in FY22.
Summary of Economic Drivers:

## Forecasted Economic Inputs by Fiscal Year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Revenue</th>
<th>Economic Input</th>
<th>FY 2022</th>
<th>FY 2023</th>
<th>FY 2024</th>
<th>FY 2025</th>
<th>FY 2026</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Municipal Income Tax</strong></td>
<td>Resident Employment Growth¹</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nonresident Employment Growth¹</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resident Wage Growth²</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nonresident Wage Growth²</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corporate Income Growth⁴</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Partnership Income Growth</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Property Tax</strong></td>
<td>U.S. Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (U.S. CPI-U)³</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State Revenue Sharing</strong></td>
<td>Sales Tax Forecast⁴</td>
<td>-3.0%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City/State Population Ratio⁵</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wagering Tax</strong></td>
<td>Onsite Gaming Adjusted Gross Receipt Growth Rate⁶</td>
<td>53.0%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Onsite Sports Betting Adjusted Gross Receipt Growth Rate</td>
<td>-4.8%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internet Gaming Adjusted Gross Receipt Growth Rate⁷</td>
<td>127.1%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>-0.2%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Internet Sports Betting Adjusted Gross Receipt Growth Rate⁷</td>
<td>279.0%</td>
<td>7.9%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>-0.3%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Utility Users Tax</strong></td>
<td>Average Household Unit Growth 2010-2019⁸</td>
<td>0.5%⁸</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sources:**

1. U.S. Census Bureau and Local Area Unemployment Statistics
2. Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages
3. FY 2022 uses the 2020 US CPI-U state by the State Tax Commission, FY 2023-2026 uses the 2021-2023 US CPI-U consensus forecast from the Survey of Professional Forecasters
5. U.S. Census Bureau, 2020 Decennial Census Redistricting Data
6. Michigan Gaming Control Board
7. FY22 being the first full year of collections, there is a large increase over FY21. Tax structure, assumed seasonal adjustments, and growth rates applied on a calendar basis creates divergence from onsite activity in the forecast.
8. U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 1-year Estimates
Municipal Income Tax:

In accordance with the City Income Tax Act (Public Act 284 of 1964, as amended), the City levies a municipal income tax, with certain exemptions such as unemployment benefits. The current tax rates are 2.4% for residents, 1.2% for nonresidents, and 2.0% for corporations, which are the maximum allowed by State law. The primary drivers behind income tax revenue are resident employment levels, nonresident employment levels, and wages. The base year employment estimates are tied to observed local area employment data, and employment growth rates for each employment category are projected independently. Wage growth is projected using observed regional and local wage data and is assumed to be uniform for each employment category.

The FY 2022 estimate represents a 6.1% increase compared to FY 2021 Projected Actuals. The FY 2022 forecast incorporates an anticipated $52.1 million refund liability due to nonresidents working from home during the COVID-19 pandemic. The forecast assumes 40% of nonresidents will work from...
home through December 2021, and 30% from January 2022 through June 2022. The FY 2023–26 forecast assumes 30% nonresident withholding will continue moving forward, resulting in a recurring annual revenue loss of roughly $50 million. Corporate and partnership tax collections were also impacted by the pandemic. Corporate income tax revenue is expected to increase by 3.7% in FY 2022 and recover by 6.3% in FY 2023. To hedge against volatility, the forecast assumes that corporate tax revenue will remain flat from FY 2023–26. The forecast does not include potential upside from new development projects or improved compliance efforts. Income tax activity that has been identified as non-recurring is not included in the forecasted base.

State Revenue Sharing:

Revenue sharing payments from the State come from two components: constitutional (30%) and statutory (70%). The State Constitution of 1963, Article IX, Section 10, as amended, requires constitutional revenue sharing payments to municipalities based on 15% of the 4% portion of Michigan's sales tax collections. The State allocates amounts to municipalities based on population as of the last decennial Census. Statutory revenue sharing payments have an underlying formula distribution. However, they are effectively set annually in the State budget and are held flat in the forecast period. The FY 202 actuals reflect a $24.1 million one-time cut to statutory payments that was offset by $37 million in State aid funded from the federal CARES Act that is counted in FY 2021 as non-General Fund revenue. The forecast assumes growth in the constitutional share based on amounts approved by the State's May 2021 Consensus Revenue Estimating Conference. Beginning in FY 2022, the forecast assumes a reduced 6.3% constitutional share compared to the 7.1% share reflected in prior-year actuals due to population declines measured in the 2020 Census results. The forecast does not assume changes to the annual statutory allocation of $144.3 million.
Wagering Taxes:

In accordance with the Michigan Gaming Control and Revenue Act (Initiated Law 1 of 1996, as amended) and associated development agreements, a tax on adjusted gross receipts (AGR) is applied to the three casinos operating in Detroit. The current City wagering tax rate is 11.9% (10.9% in State law, plus 1% pursuant to the casinos' development agreements with the City). The casinos also pay the City a supplemental 1% tax if their gross receipts exceed $400 million in a calendar year. The City also assesses a municipal service fee from each casino of 1.25% of adjusted gross receipts or $4 million, whichever is greater (included separately in the “Other Revenues” category).

In late 2019, the State enacted the Lawful Internet Gaming Act (Public Act 152 of 2019), the Lawful Internet Sports Betting Act (Public Act 149 of 2019), and amendments to the Michigan Gaming Control and Revenue Act. Only internet gaming and sports betting conducted within Michigan’s borders is authorized. Only the current Detroit and tribal casinos are eligible licensees. On-site sports betting at casinos began in March 2020. The State launched internet gaming and sports betting in late January 2021.

Internet gaming conducted by the Detroit casinos is taxed at a graduated rate on their adjusted gross receipts received each calendar year as outlined below. The City receives 30% of this tax revenue.

a) For adjusted gross receipts less than $4,000,000, a tax of 20%.
b) For adjusted gross receipts of $4,000,000 or more but less than $8,000,000, a tax of 22%.
c) For adjusted gross receipts of $8,000,000 or more but less than $10,000,000, a tax of 24%.
d) For adjusted gross receipts of $10,000,000 or more but less than $12,000,000, a tax of 26%.
e) For adjusted gross receipts of $12,000,000 or more, 28%.

Internet sports betting conducted by the Detroit casinos is taxed at a rate of 8.4% on their adjusted gross sports betting receipts. The City receives 30% of this tax revenue. Retail sports betting conducted on-site at the Detroit casinos is taxed at a rate of 8.4% on their qualified adjusted gross receipts. The City receives 55% of this tax revenue. In addition to the new taxes outlined above, internet gaming and sports betting conducted by the Detroit casinos are also subject to the existing development agreement taxes (up to 2% of AGR) and municipal service fees (1.25% of AGR) that apply to on-site gaming.
FY 2020 actuals are 28.0% lower than FY 2019 due to casino closures in March 2020. FY 2021 wagering tax revenues are 3.3% higher than FY 2020 actuals due to the January 2021 implementation of internet gaming and sports betting. Without this new revenue stream, FY 2021 wagering tax revenues would have been 16.8% lower than FY 2020 due to casino closures and capacity restrictions throughout the duration of the fiscal year.

The FY 2022 forecast assumes casinos will generate 90% of pre-pandemic revenue throughout the remainder of calendar year 2021, with a return to full pre-pandemic baseline by January 2022. On-site wagering taxes are anticipated to return to baseline in FY 2023, and the FY 2024–26 forecast assumes the annual growth for adjusted gross receipts for on-site gaming and sports betting to be constant at 1%. Revenues from internet gaming and sports betting will have its first full year of collection in FY 2022. Adjusted gross revenues for internet gaming and sports betting in the FY 2023–26 forecast are also expected to follow the same 1% trend as on-site gaming and sports betting. Wagering tax revenues see an additional increase in FY 2023 from development revenues.
Property Tax:

In accordance with the General Property Tax Act (Public Act 206 of 1893, as amended), the City levies taxes on real and personal property. Collections consist of current year taxes, delinquent taxes, and related auction proceeds. The City currently levies 19.952 mills for general operating purposes. However, the millage rate and taxable values are subject to various abatements and exemptions. The actual General Fund collections and revenue estimates are net of captured tax increment financing distributions. The amounts include ad valorem property tax revenue and Special Act property tax revenues from Neighborhood Enterprise Zones, Industrial Facilities Tax, and Obsolete Property Rehabilitation Act parcels.

Declines in revenue from FY 2021–23 are primarily due to reductions in the tax roll from the State-mandated phase-out of industrial personal property, changes in tax increment financing (TIF) capture distributions, and loss of revenue from auction proceeds due to the COVID-19 pandemic. The primary driver for growth during the forecast period is a lagged inflation rate determined by the State Tax Commission (US Consumer Price Index for Urban Consumers lagged by one year) applied to the reported tax year 2021 base, which is defined as the cap for growth in taxable value under the State constitution (i.e., Proposal A). The FY 2022 collection rate of 84.46% is held constant throughout the forecast period. Other components, such as payments from Wayne County for delinquent real property tax collections and revenue capture by TIF authorities, are also factored into the forecast based on current and historical observations. The forecast does not include delinquent monthly settlement payments, prospective gains from additions to the tax base or the “uncapping” of taxable value.
Utility Users Tax:

In accordance with the City Utility Users Tax Act (Public Act 100 of 1990, as amended), the City levies a 5% tax on consumption of electricity, gas, steam, and telephone services. Annual changes in the revenue forecast are largely based on the average growth rate in household units that is consistent with prior-year trends. The actual General Fund collections and revenue estimates are net of $12.5 million that are designated to the Public Lighting Authority (PLA).
The Other Departmental Revenue category includes various revenues administered by individual departments related to their activities. The table above breaks out Other Departmental Revenues into two categories: departmental fees and assessments and other recurring revenue.

Departmental fees and assessments make up roughly 45% of all recurring Other Departmental Revenue. The 6.7% increase from FY 2022 to FY 2023 is largely attributable to Casino Municipal Service Fees due to casinos returning to full capacity in FY 2023, and gains from internet gaming and sports betting. This increase is also driven by Municipal Parking fees and fines gradually returning to 90% of baseline capacity throughout FY 2022. Parking fees and fines are projected to remain at only 90% of pre-pandemic capacity in FY 2023 – FY 2026 due to potential work culture changes moving forward. The estimates above are based off current activity, and do not include potential upside from initiatives recently underway or in development, such as new parking initiatives and other revenue optimization efforts.

The other recurring revenue category represents the remaining 55% of Other Departmental Revenue. The forecast assumes a 1.7% increase from FY 2022 to FY 2023. Modest growth in other recurring revenue is anticipated throughout the remainder of the forecast period. The $1.7% decline from FY 2025 to FY 2026 is due to the roll off of $2.3 million in presidential primary reimbursement revenue anticipated in FY 2025. This increased reimbursement occurs every four-years and explains the decline in FY 2026.
Non-General Fund Revenues:

### September 2021 Revenue Estimates, Non-General Fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-General Fund</th>
<th>FY22 Est</th>
<th>FY23 Est</th>
<th>% Change</th>
<th>FY24 Est</th>
<th>% Change</th>
<th>FY25 Est</th>
<th>% Change</th>
<th>FY26 Est</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Civil Rights, Inclusion and Opportunity Fund</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
<td>$3,060</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$3,121</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$3,184</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$3,248</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Development Block Grant</td>
<td>$35,014</td>
<td>$35,714</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$36,428</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$37,157</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$37,901</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction Code Fund</td>
<td>$25,042</td>
<td>$25,543</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$26,054</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$26,575</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$27,106</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated Fees and Donations Fund</td>
<td>$9,268</td>
<td>$9,443</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>$9,632</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$9,825</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$10,021</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Law Enforcement Fund</td>
<td>$1,164</td>
<td>$1,188</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>$1,211</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>$1,236</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>$1,260</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elections Voter's Education Donations</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire Grants Fund</td>
<td>$2,554</td>
<td>$2,605</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$2,657</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$2,710</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$2,764</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Grants Fund</td>
<td>$30,332</td>
<td>$30,938</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$31,557</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$32,188</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$32,832</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeland Security Grants Fund</td>
<td>$740</td>
<td>$755</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$770</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$785</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>$801</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>$28,532</td>
<td>$29,404</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>$29,828</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>$30,501</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>$31,189</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Street</td>
<td>$105,266</td>
<td>$107,216</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>$109,616</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>$111,929</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>$114,257</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayor's Office Grants Fund</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police Grants Fund</td>
<td>$6,281</td>
<td>$6,407</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$6,531</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>$6,657</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>$6,786</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Act 48 of 2002 Fund</td>
<td>$3,060</td>
<td>$3,121</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$3,184</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$3,247</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$3,312</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Lighting Decommissioning Reserve Fund</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation/General Services Grants Fund</td>
<td>$1,400</td>
<td>$1,428</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$1,457</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$1,486</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$1,515</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinking Interest &amp; Redemption</td>
<td>$69,997</td>
<td>$74,790</td>
<td>-14.6%</td>
<td>$55,006</td>
<td>-7.9%</td>
<td>$46,684</td>
<td>-15.1%</td>
<td>$41,436</td>
<td>-11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Waste Management</td>
<td>$47,096</td>
<td>$47,896</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>$47,956</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>$47,896</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>$48,198</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Housing Rehab Programs</td>
<td>$10,355</td>
<td>$10,562</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$10,773</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$10,988</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$11,208</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Development Action and Discretionary Grants</td>
<td>$2,881</td>
<td>$2,939</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$2,998</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$3,058</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$3,119</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterprise Fund</td>
<td>$512</td>
<td>$647</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
<td>$660</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$673</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$687</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit Water and Sewerage Department</td>
<td>$643,177</td>
<td>$656,041</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$669,162</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$682,545</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>$696,196</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation Fund</td>
<td>$71,082</td>
<td>$75,820</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>$76,209</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>$76,606</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>$77,011</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, Non-General Fund Revenue</td>
<td>$1,097,243</td>
<td>$1,110,477</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>$1,124,960</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>$1,136,451</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>$1,151,377</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Non-General Fund grouping represents all funds excluding Enterprise and fund 1000.
2. Forecast based on current debt service schedule.
3. Forecast adjusted to exclude annual General Fund contributions and transfers.

Non-General Fund revenues include enterprise, grant and special revenue funds. Major examples include water and sewer bills, bus fares, solid waste fees, intergovernmental aid for roads and transit, library taxes, and other dedicated revenues. The Solid Waste Management Fund forecast includes an updated customer count and elimination of monthly payment plans. The Pay as you Stay (PAYS) plan forgives delinquent solid waste fees moving forward, and the forecast has been modified for this policy change. The FY 2022 Transportation Fund forecast anticipates that monthly DDOT bus fares will continue to increase since the March 2021 reopening of fare collections, with a gradual return to 90% of baseline capacity. The 90% baseline assumption is anticipated to continue throughout the FY 2023-26 forecast period due to potential work culture changes moving forward.
**Budget Reserve:**

State of Michigan Public Act 279 of 1909, Sections 117.4t(l)(b)(vi) and 117.4t(l)(c)(vi), as amended by Public Act 182 of 2014, states the City's annual four-year financial plan shall include and comply with the following requirements:

- Measures to assure adequate reserves for mandated and other essential programs and activities in the event of an overestimation of revenue, an underestimation of expenditures, or both.
- Include a general reserve fund for each fiscal year to cover potential reductions in projected revenues or increases in projected expenditures equal to no less than 5% of the projected expenditures for the fiscal year.

As of June 30, 2020, the City’s Budget Reserve (or “Rainy Day fund”) totaled $107.3 million, which exceeded the minimum requirement of 5% of the projected expenditures for FY 2020. The FY 2021 Adopted Budget assumed the City would draw down $50 million to help address COVID-19 revenue shortfalls, while keeping the balance above 5%. The FY 2021 drawdown was ultimately not needed. The FY 2022 Adopted Budget restored the $50 million, thus increasing the Rainy Day Fund back to $107.3 million.

**Revenue Risk and Potential Upside:**

**Downside risks:**

- Slower casino recovery than expected
- Slower than anticipated recovery from recession
- Larger than anticipated nonresident remote work impact
- Longer lasting changes in economic activity due to workplace and behavior changes
- Future state and federal budget pressures causing reductions in local funding
- COVID-19 Risks; economic sector and vaccination rate risks

**Potential Upside (not counted in current revenue estimates):**

- Residential, commercial, and industrial development activity throughout the City
- Workforce development and labor force participation gains
- Ongoing improvements in income tax audit and enforcement
- State-shared excise tax from adult-use marijuana (implementation pending)
- Additional state and federal fiscal relief, economic stimulus, and infrastructure investment
Appendix:

Exhibit 1 - Changes from the February 2021 Revenue Estimating Conference, FY 2021 – FY 2025:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Income Tax</td>
<td>276.4</td>
<td>294.4</td>
<td>$1,106.4</td>
<td>$1,118.1</td>
<td>$1,132.7</td>
<td>$1,148.4</td>
<td>$34.8</td>
<td>$66.4</td>
<td>$73.8</td>
<td>$75.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Revenue Sharing</td>
<td>193.7</td>
<td>203.0</td>
<td>$990.9</td>
<td>$987.3</td>
<td>$985.2</td>
<td>$987.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wagering Tax</td>
<td>283.6</td>
<td>260.5</td>
<td>$847.8</td>
<td>$847.8</td>
<td>$843.1</td>
<td>$847.8</td>
<td>$76.1</td>
<td>$76.1</td>
<td>$76.1</td>
<td>$76.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Tax</td>
<td>132.4</td>
<td>137.2</td>
<td>$1,057.3</td>
<td>$1,045.9</td>
<td>$1,045.9</td>
<td>$1,046.2</td>
<td>$3.8</td>
<td>$3.8</td>
<td>$3.8</td>
<td>$3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues from Use of Assets</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>$1,012.2</td>
<td>$1,012.2</td>
<td>$1,012.2</td>
<td>$1,012.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Revenues</td>
<td>$1,106.4</td>
<td>$1,106.4</td>
<td>$1,106.4</td>
<td>$1,106.4</td>
<td>$1,106.4</td>
<td>$1,106.4</td>
<td>$1.0</td>
<td>$1.0</td>
<td>$1.0</td>
<td>$1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exhibit 2 - General Fund Revenues by Major Use:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY22 Est</th>
<th>FY22 % Change</th>
<th>FY23 Est</th>
<th>FY23 % Change</th>
<th>FY24 Est</th>
<th>FY24 % Change</th>
<th>FY25 Est</th>
<th>FY25 % Change</th>
<th>FY26 Est</th>
<th>FY26 % Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major Taxes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income Tax</td>
<td>276.4</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>294.4</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>301.5</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>307.9</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>316.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Revenue Sharing</td>
<td>193.7</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>203.0</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>204.1</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>205.3</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>206.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wagering Tax</td>
<td>283.6</td>
<td>-8.1%</td>
<td>260.5</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>264.0</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>265.9</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>268.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Tax</td>
<td>132.4</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>137.2</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>139.2</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>142.3</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>145.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Property Tax</td>
<td>117.2</td>
<td>-3.5%</td>
<td>121.3</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>122.9</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>125.6</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>128.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utility Users Tax</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>44.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To Public Lighting Authority</td>
<td>(12.5)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>(12.5)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>(12.5)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>(12.5)</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>(12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Utility Users Tax</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>32.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal, Major Taxes</td>
<td>902.3</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>910.8</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>924.3</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>936.7</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>952.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Other Revenue |               |          |               |          |               |          |               |          |               |
| Fines, Forfeits and Penalties | 21.5 | 3.9% | 22.4 | 0.0% | 22.4 | 0.0% | 22.4 | 0.0% | 22.4 |
| Grants and Other Revenues | 6.5 | 15.4% | 7.5 | 0.0% | 7.5 | 0.0% | 7.5 | 0.0% | 7.5 |
| Revenues from Use of Assets | 26.8 | 0.9% | 27.0 | 0.9% | 26.3 | -2.7% | 25.6 | -2.9% | 24.6 |
| Sales and Charges for Services | 117.0 | 4.1% | 121.8 | 4.1% | 122.9 | 0.9% | 126.3 | 2.8% | 125.2 |
| Sales of Assets and Compensation for Losses | 12.6 | -31.6% | 8.6 | 6.7% | 9.2 | 6.7% | 10.4 | 6.7% | 10.4 |
| Subtotal, Other Revenue | 204.1 | 1.5% | 207.3 | 0.6% | 208.4 | 0.6% | 211.9 | 1.7% | 210.7 |

Grand Total, General Fund | $1,106.4 | 1.1% | $1,118.1 | 1.3% | $1,132.7 | 1.3% | $1,148.6 | 1.4% | $1,163.1 | 1.3% |
### Exhibit 3 – February Other General Fund Revenue by Department:

#### September 2021 Revenue Estimates, Recurring Other Revenue - General Fund

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>FY22 Est</th>
<th>FY23 Est</th>
<th>% Change</th>
<th>FY24 Est</th>
<th>FY25 Est</th>
<th>% Change</th>
<th>FY26 Est</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buildings, Safety Engineering and Environmental</td>
<td>$1,725</td>
<td>$1,725</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>$1,725</td>
<td>$1,725</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>$1,725</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Works</td>
<td>4,689</td>
<td>4,723</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>4,723</td>
<td>4,723</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>4,723</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of the Chief Financial Officer</td>
<td>4,638</td>
<td>4,744</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>4,854</td>
<td>4,966</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>5,081</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire</td>
<td>20,750</td>
<td>21,083</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>21,422</td>
<td>21,767</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>22,117</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>3,520</td>
<td>3,552</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>3,583</td>
<td>3,616</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>3,650</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Resources</td>
<td>1,186</td>
<td>1,209</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>1,233</td>
<td>1,258</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>1,283</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civil Rights, Inclusion and Opportunity</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law</td>
<td>2,216</td>
<td>2,260</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>2,304</td>
<td>2,349</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>2,394</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayor’s Office</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Parking</td>
<td>18,007</td>
<td>19,560</td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>19,560</td>
<td>19,560</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>19,560</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Departmental</td>
<td>101,714</td>
<td>106,941</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>107,745</td>
<td>108,559</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>109,221</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing &amp; Revitalization</td>
<td>3,582</td>
<td>3,582</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>3,582</td>
<td>3,582</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>3,582</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police</td>
<td>6,360</td>
<td>6,613</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td>6,613</td>
<td>6,613</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>6,613</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Lighting</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>-11.1%</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>-14.3%</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>-16.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appeals and Hearings</td>
<td>3,033</td>
<td>3,033</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>3,033</td>
<td>3,033</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>3,033</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Services</td>
<td>8,782</td>
<td>9,005</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>9,012</td>
<td>9,019</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>9,027</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board of Zoning Appeals</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Council</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36th District Court</td>
<td>16,934</td>
<td>16,934</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>16,934</td>
<td>16,934</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>16,934</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Clerk</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elections</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2,266</td>
<td>452.20%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-99.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total, Other Revenue - General Fund</td>
<td>$199,649</td>
<td>$207,279</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>$208,437</td>
<td>$211,880</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>$210,659</td>
<td>-0.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Exhibit 4 – FY 2021 Projected Actuals, General Fund Non-Recurring Summary

### FY21 One-Time Actuals Revenue - General Fund

*(in thousands)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Taxes Recurring</th>
<th>FY21 Projected Actual*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>746,375</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Major Taxes Non Recurring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>FY21 Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Income Tax - Individuals</td>
<td>$ 41,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property Tax - Monthly Settlements</td>
<td>8,694</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Revenue Sharing - 2020 Census Population Adjustment</td>
<td>8,376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, Major Taxes Non-Recurring</strong></td>
<td>$ 58,870</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grand Total, Major Taxes** $ 805,245

### Non-Major Recurring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>FY21 Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Community Stabilization Authority (LCSA) Payment</td>
<td>$ 6,362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenues from Sale and Use of Assets</td>
<td>3,628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Fairgrounds Sale</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libor Settlement</td>
<td>1,639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Dept Undistributed Balances Cleanup Revenue</td>
<td>1,409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detroit Salt Mine Lease Payment</td>
<td>975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCSA - Health Dept (FY20 payment only)</td>
<td>784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pistons Donation</td>
<td>417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDFA City Reimbursement - Chrysler Jefferson North Assembly</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrealized Gain (subject to change)</td>
<td>(356)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, Non-Major Non-Recurring</strong></td>
<td>$ 17,213</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grand Total, Non-Major** $ 184,784

**Grand Total, Non-Recurring** $ 76,083

**Grand Total, General Fund** $ 990,029

* Projected actuals are subject to change pending final CAFR.
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: Mayor's Office - Legislative Liaison
FILE NUMBER: Mayor's Office - Legislative Liaison-0171

* RE:
Submitting report related to:

* SUMMARY:
CM Whitfield-Calloway Memo Response Regarding City Debt

* RECOMMENDATION:
Receive and File CM Whitfield-Calloway Memo Response Regarding City Debt

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Jay Rising
Position: CFO, Office of the Chief Financial Officer

*REQUIRED
MEMORANDUM

TO: Councilmember Angela Whitfield-Calloway
FROM: Jay B. Rising, CFO
DATE: January 7, 2022
RE: City Debt

During my presentation at Council Orientation on December 3rd, there was some discussion on outstanding debt that warranted follow up. Below is information to respond to those questions.

Background:
The City issues and manages debt pursuant to our current Debt Issuance and Management Directive. Various state laws, the City Charter, and the City Code guide the City’s debt-related activities. The City has established specific objectives related to debt issuance and management, and defined general guidelines, which are outlined in the Directive.

In addition, we have a comprehensive investor relations webpage that provides information on specific debt transactions, related documents and links to other resources. You can access the website anytime here: https://www.cityofdetroitbonds.com/detroit-mi-investor-relations-mi/i1383.
Lastly, in 2021 the OCFO – Office of the Treasury posted a primer for the public describing City of Detroit debt on the City’s website: Detroit Bond Overview. This was created and published to ensure the general public has access to information about the City’s debt and a description of general public finance terms and practices.

Specific Follow Up Questions
1. How much debt does the City of Detroit have outstanding?
   The City of Detroit has $1,807,413,789 debt outstanding, as of September 30, 2021. The Debt Management team updates the outstanding debt statistics on a quarterly basis as part of the Monthly OCFO Report.

The $1.8 billion can be broken down as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unlimited Tax General Obligation (UTGO)</th>
<th>Limited Tax General Obligation (LTGO)</th>
<th>Special Purpose Debt</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Bankruptcy</td>
<td>$ 170.97</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$33.70</td>
<td>$ 204.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bankruptcy</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,116.33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,116.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-Bankruptcy</td>
<td>361.06</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>115.36</td>
<td>486.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 532.03</td>
<td>$ 1,126.33</td>
<td>$ 149.06</td>
<td>$ 1,807.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• **$532.03 million UTGO Debt**

UTGO debt is voter approved and based on full faith and credit of the city. Voter approval of the debt allows the City to pay the debt from debt millage levied in addition to the City’s operating millage. That millage rate is currently 9 mills. Of the outstanding UTGO debt, $170.97 million of this debt is pre-bankruptcy. The $361.01 million post-bankruptcy debt is from capital improvement bonds issued in 2018 and 2020 (from voted approval in 2004 and 2009) and from the neighborhood improvement program (“NIP”) bonds issued in 2021 (i.e., the bonds approved by Proposal N in 2020).

• **$1.126 billion LTGO Debt**

LTGO debt is a statutory first budget obligation of the City repayable from general fund revenue. With the exception of a $10 million loan from the Michigan Strategic Fund to fund the demolition of the Joe Louis Arena, all the City’s LTGO debt is tied to the bankruptcy or to refinancings of prior debt that were executed to achieve cost savings.

• **$149.06 million of Special Purpose Debt**

This includes $115.36 million of the 2017 Michigan Transportation Fund (MTF) bonds that were issued to fund neighborhood road and streetscape improvements. These bonds are repaid using MTF revenues. There is also $33.7 million of HUD Section 108 loans outstanding from pre-bankruptcy projects. These are repaid with Community Development Block Grant funds and payments from developers.

2. **How much of the bond proceeds are unspent or unencumbered?**

Of the debt issued since the bankruptcy, the bond proceeds are fully programmed to fund their respective projects. Detailed information on the projects funded by bond proceeds can be found in the City’s FY22-26 Capital Agenda.

The table below summarizes remaining bond proceeds as of 11/31/21. “Cash on Hand” is the amount remaining in the bank accounts. The “Encumbered” amounts include all cash with an assigned Purchase Order (PO)—which are contracts that have been approved by City Council—and are awaiting to be invoiced by the contractor or vendor. The “Programmed” denotes proceeds that have not been spent or encumbered. Additional narrative on the “Programmed” column for each of the bond issues is provided below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bond Issue</th>
<th>Cash on Hand</th>
<th>Encumbered</th>
<th>Programmed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018 UTGO Bonds</td>
<td>$28,413,200</td>
<td>$24,406,508</td>
<td>$4,006,692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 UTGO Bonds</td>
<td>81,806,744</td>
<td>53,612,850</td>
<td>28,193,895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021 UTGO NIP Bonds</td>
<td>188,712,757</td>
<td>77,412,267</td>
<td>111,300,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBTOTAL</td>
<td>298,932,701</td>
<td>155,431,625</td>
<td>143,501,077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 MTF Bonds¹</td>
<td>29,693,462</td>
<td>13,018,553</td>
<td>16,674,909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>328,626,163</td>
<td>168,450,177</td>
<td>160,175,986</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹As of 9/30/21
The 2018 and 2020 UTGO Bonds are for capital projects outlined in the City’s Capital Agenda. These capital projects are related to Recreation (parks, museums, recreations centers), Public Safety, and Transportation. The $32.2 million ($4.0 million + $28.2 million) in cash on hand that has been programmed still need the contracts to be procured and approved by City Council before becoming encumbered and eventually spent.

The $111.3 million in cash on hand from the 2021 UTGO NIP Bonds has been programmed to continue to fund the residential demolition and stabilization activities being overseen by the City’s Demolition Department. These remaining proceeds have been programmed and pay for demolition-related contracts (which are approved by the City Council) and for the Demolition Department staffing costs.

The $16.7 million in remaining MTF bond proceeds have been programmed as described in the City’s Capital Agenda.

We greatly appreciate your engagement on this topic. We would be pleased to discuss further in any follow up discussion requested by you or your staff.
MEMORANDUM

Council Member
Council President Pro Tem Mary Sheffield

Memorandum relative to (summary below):

* SUMMARY:
Please research possible grant or other outside funding sources for Translation and Sign Language services for public meetings. Please contact Ari Ruttenberg from my office at ruttenberga@detroitmi.gov if you have any questions.

* RECOMMENDATION:
Refer to Office of Development and Grants

* COMMITTEE REFERRED TO:
Budget, Finance, and Audit

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Ari Ruttenberg
Position: Policy Analyst

*=REQUIRED
MEMORANDUM

TO: Terri Daniels, Director of Grants, Office of Development and Grants
FROM: Mary Sheffield, President, Detroit City Council
DATE: January 13, 2022
RE: Grant Opportunities for Translation and Sign Language Services

Please research possible grant or other outside funding sources for Translation and Sign Language services for public meetings. Please contact Ari Ruttenberg from my office at ruttenberga@detroitmi.gov if you have any questions.

Cc: Honorable Colleagues
    City Clerk
MEMORANDUM

To: Alvin Horhn, Assessor/Deputy CFO, Office of the Assessor
Through: President Mary Sheffield, Detroit City Council President
From: Council Member Scott Benson submitting memorandum relative to
Date: 1/13/2022
RE: OVER ASSESSMENT TAXABLE VALUE INFORMATION

SUMMARY:

My office has been invited to attend the People's Forum by The Coalition for Property Tax Justice with the purpose to “…inform and dialogue with the community about compensating Detroit homeowners who the City of Detroit overcharged in property taxes or were foreclosed upon. Between 2010 and 2016 the City of Detroit improperly assessed and over taxed residential properties by as much as 600 million dollars.” To ensure my office is properly educated on the facts and historic context of this issue please prepare a report that includes the following:

1. The relationship between a property owner’s tax liability, assessed value and taxable value.
2. Identify the methodology used to arrive at a figure of $600 million dollars of over taxation on Detroit homeowners.
3. Identify the property owners impacted by over taxation of properties.
4. Identify the number of properties foreclosed upon as a direct result of the over taxation.
5. Identify the years of over taxation.
6. Identify the cause of the over taxation and solution implemented to end the situation.
7. Identify the amount of over taxation if different from the $600 million amount.
8. Identify the methodology used to arrive at an Office of the Assessor’s over taxation figure.
9. Identify the number of Third District homes, include addresses, negatively impacted by over taxation.
10. Please identify any litigation that included the City of Detroit, and results, regarding over taxation of property taxes.

Please submit this report to the City Clerk by 21 Jan 2022. Contact my office, at 313-224-1198, if you have any questions regarding this request.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Kerwin Wimberley
Position: Senior Policy Analyst
MEMORANDUM

TO: Alvin Horhn, Assessor/Deputy CFO, Office of the Assessor
FROM: Scott Benson, City Council District 3

CC: Hon. Fred Durhal III, Chair, Budget, Finance & Audit Standing Committee
    Hon. Janice Winfrey, City Clerk
    Gail Fulton, Mayor’s Liaison City Council

VIA: President Mary Sheffield, Detroit City Council President

DATE: 13 Jan 2022

RE: OVER ASSESSMENT TAXABLE VALUE INFORMATION

My office has been invited to attend the People’s Forum by The Coalition for Property Tax Justice with the purpose to “…inform and dialogue with the community about compensating Detroit homeowners who the City of Detroit overcharged in property taxes or were foreclosed upon. Between 2010 and 2016 the City of Detroit improperly assessed and over taxed residential properties by as much as 600 million dollars.” To ensure my office is properly educated on the facts and historic context of this issue please prepare a report that includes the following:

1. The relationship between a property owner’s tax liability, assessed value and taxable value.
2. Identify the methodology used to arrive at a figure of $600 million dollars of over taxation on Detroit homeowners.
3. Identify the property owners impacted by over taxation of properties.
4. Identify the number of properties foreclosed upon as a direct result of the over taxation.
5. Identify the years of over taxation.
6. Identify the cause of the over taxation and solution implemented to end the situation.
7. Identify the amount of over taxation if different from the $600 million amount.
8. Identify the methodology used to arrive at an Office of the Assessor’s over taxation figure.
9. Identify the number of Third District homes, include addresses, negatively impacted by over taxation.
10. Please identify any litigation that included the City of Detroit, and results, regarding over taxation of property taxes.

Please submit this report to the City Clerk by 21 Jan 2022. Contact my office, at 313-224-1198, if you have any questions regarding this request.
RE:

SUMMARY:
6003505 100% City Funding – AMEND 1 – To Provide an Increase of Funds Only for Legal Services as Experienced Police Litigation Attorneys, in the Danny Burton v CoD Litigation, Case No. 2:20-cv-11948, Conduct Jail Telephone Call Reviews and Other Matters. – Contractor: Nathan & Kamionski, LLP – Location: 719 Griswold Street Suite 280, Detroit, MI 48226 – Contract Period: March 12, 2021 through June 30, 2023 – Contract Increase Amount: $500,000.00 – Total Contract Amount: $900,000.00. LAW

RECOMMENDATION:
6003505 100% City Funding – AMEND 1 – To Provide an Increase of Funds Only for Legal Services as Experienced Police Litigation Attorneys, in the Danny Burton v CoD Litigation, Case No. 2:20-cv-11948, Conduct Jail Telephone Call Reviews and Other Matters. – Contractor: Nathan & Kamionski, LLP – Location: 719 Griswold Street Suite 280, Detroit, MI 48226 – Contract Period: March 12, 2021 through June 30, 2023 – Contract Increase Amount: $500,000.00 – Total Contract Amount: $900,000.00. LAW

BY Choose an item.

Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 6003505-A1 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Settlement in lawsuit of Affiliated Diagnostics of Oakland, LLC (Kimberly Sanford) v City of Detroit

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 20-011059-NF File No. L20-00649 (YRB) A20000, in the amount of $8,800.00, in full payment for any and all claims which Affiliated Diagnostics of Oakland, LLC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about December 11, 2019

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw warrants upon the proper account in favor OF AFFILIATED DIAGNOSTICS OF OAKLAND, LLC and ITS attorneys, THE DOLLAR LAW FIRM, PLLC, in the amount of EIGHT THOUSAND EIGHT HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($8,800.00), in full payment for any and all claims which AFFILIATED DIAGNOSTICS OF OAKLAND, LLC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about December 11, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 20-011059-NF, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. L20-00649 and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Andrea D'Agostino Keenan
Position: Paralegal

*=REQUIRED
January 5, 2022

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE:  Affiliated Diagnostics of Oakland, LLC (Kimberly Sanford) v City of Detroit
     Case No: 20-011059-NF
     File No: L20-00649 (YRB)

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts, and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of EIGHT THOUSAND EIGHT HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($8,800.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of EIGHT THOUSAND EIGHT HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($8,800.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft to AFFILIATED DIAGNOSTICS OF OAKLAND, LLC and ITS attorneys, THE DOLLAR LAW FIRM, PLLC, in the amount of EIGHT THOUSAND EIGHT HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($8,800.00), to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No.20-011059-NF, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,
/s/ Yuvonne R. Bradley
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY:  /s/Jerry L. Ashford
     Jerry L. Ashford
     Chief of Litigation

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER ____________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of EIGHT THOUSAND EIGHT HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($8,800.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw warrants upon the proper account in favor OF AFFILIATED DIAGNOSTICS OF OAKLAND, LLC and ITS attorneys, THE DOLLAR LAW FIRM, PLLC, in the amount of EIGHT THOUSAND EIGHT HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($8,800.00), in full payment for any and all claims which AFFILIATED DIAGNOSTICS OF OAKLAND, LLC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about December 11, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 20-011059-NF, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. L20-00649 and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY:    /s/Jerry L. Ashford

Jerry L. Ashford
Chief of Litigation

Approved by City Council: __________________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: __________________________________________
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho.Settlement in lawsuit of Image Therapeutic Care LLC (Kimberly Sanford) v City of Detroit

* SUMMARY:
Case No.21-150642-GC, File No. L21-00358 (YRB) A20000, in the amount of $8,950.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Image Therapeutic Care LLC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about December 11, 2019

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw warrants upon the proper account in favor of IMAGE THERAPEUTIC CARE LLC and ITS attorneys, AT LAW GROUP, in the amount of EIGHT THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($8,950.00), in full payment for any and all claims which IMAGE THERAPEUTIC CARE LLC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about December 11, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 21-150642-GC, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. L21-00358 and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Andrea D'Agostino Keenan
Position: Paralegal

*=REQUIRED
HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE:  Image Therapeutic Care LLC (Kimberly Sanford) v City of Detroit
     Case No: 21-150642-GC
     File No: L21-00358 (YRB)

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts, and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of EIGHT THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($8,950.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of EIGHT THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($8,950.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft to IMAGE THERAPEUTIC CARE LLC and ITS attorneys, AT LAW GROUP, in the amount of EIGHT THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($8,950.00), to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 21-150642-GC, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,
/s/ Yuvonne R. Bradley
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY:  /s/Jerry L. Ashford
Jerry L. Ashford
Chief of Litigation

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER ____________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of EIGHT THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($8,950.00);

and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw warrants upon the proper account in favor of IMAGE THERAPEUTIC CARE LLC and ITS attorneys, AT LAW GROUP, in the amount of EIGHT THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($8,950.00), in full payment for any and all claims which IMAGE THERAPEUTIC CARE LLC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about December 11, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 21-150642-GC, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. L21-00358 and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIRMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Jerry L. Ashford
Jerry L. Ashford
Chief of Litigation

Approved by City Council: _______________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: _______________________________________
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* RE:
Submitting reso. autho.Settlement in lawsuit of Rosemary Jakubus v City of Detroit

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 21-001805-NI, File No. L21-00265 (YRB) A20000, in the amount of Five $5,309.05, in full payment for any and all claims which Rosemary Jakubus may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about February 19, 2020.

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw warrants upon the proper account in favor of ROSEMARY JAKUBUS and HER attorneys, LEVINE BENJAMIN, P.C., in the amount of FIVE THOUSAND THREE HUNDRED NINE DOLLARS AND 05/CENTS ($5,309.05), in full payment for any and all claims which ROSEMARY JAKUBUS may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about February 19, 2020 and otherwise set forth in Case No. 21-001805-NI, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. L21-00265 and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Andrea D'Agostino Keenan
Position: Paralegal

*=REQUIRED
HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Rosemary Jakubus v City of Detroit
    Case No: 21-001805-NI
    File No: L21-00265 (YRB)

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of FIVE THOUSAND THREE HUNDRED NINE DOLLARS AND 05/CENTS ($5,309.05) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of FIVE THOUSAND THREE HUNDRED NINE DOLLARS AND 05/CENTS ($5,309.05) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft to ROSEMARY JAKUBUS and HER attorneys, LEVINE BENJAMIN, P.C., in the amount of FIVE THOUSAND THREE HUNDRED NINE DOLLARS AND 05/CENTS ($5,309.05), to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 21-001805-NI, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,
/s/ Yuvonne R. Bradley
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Jerry L. Ashford
    Jerry L. Ashford
    Chief of Litigation

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER ____________________________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of FIVE THOUSAND THREE HUNDRED NINE DOLLARS AND 05/CENTS ($5,309.05); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw warrants upon the proper account in favor of ROSEMARY JAKUBUS and HER attorneys, LEVINE BENJAMIN, P.C., in the amount of FIVE THOUSAND THREE HUNDRED NINE DOLLARS AND 05/CENTS ($5,309.05), in full payment for any and all claims which ROSEMARY JAKUBUS may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about February 19, 2020 and otherwise set forth in Case No. 21-001805-NI, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. L21-00265 and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Jerry L. Ashford

Jerry L. Ashford
Chief of Litigation

Approved by City Council: _________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: _________________________________
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: Law
FILE NUMBER: Law-1052

* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Settlement in lawsuit of Lahser Medical Campus Physical Therapy PC (Kimberly Sanford) v City of Detroit

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 21-159197-GC, File No. L21-00542 (YRB) A20000, in the amount of $3,500.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Lahser Medical Campus Physical Therapy PC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about December 11, 2019

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw warrants upon the proper account in favor of LAHSER MEDICAL CAMPUS PHYSICAL THERAPY PC and ITS attorneys, AT LAW GROUP, in the amount OF THREE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($3,500.00), in full payment for any and all claims which LAHSER MEDICAL CAMPUS PHYSICAL THERAPY PC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about December 11, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 21-159197-GC, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. L21-00542 and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Andrea D'Agostino Keenan
Position: Paralegal

* REQUIRED
HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Lahser Medical Campus Physical Therapy PC (Kimberly Sanford) v City of Detroit
   Case No: 21-159197-GC
   File No: L21-00542 (YRB)

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of THREE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($3,500.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of THREE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($3,500.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft to LAHSER MEDICAL CAMPUS PHYSICAL THERAPY PC and its attorneys, THE AT LAW GROUP, in the amount of THREE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($3,500.00), to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 21-159197-GC, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,
/s/ Yuvonne R. Bradley
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Jerry L. Ashford
   Jerry L. Ashford
   Chief of Litigation

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER _________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of THREE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($3,500.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw warrants upon the proper account in favor of LAHSER MEDICAL CAMPUS PHYSICAL THERAPY PC and ITS attorneys, AT LAW GROUP, in the amount OF THREE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($3,500.00), in full payment for any and all claims which LAHSER MEDICAL CAMPUS PHYSICAL THERAPY PC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about December 11, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 21-159197-GC, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. L21-00542 and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Jerry L. Ashford
Jerry L. Ashford
Chief of Litigation

Approved by City Council: ________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: ________________________________
* RE: Submitting reso. autho. Case Evaluation Acceptance Award of Get Well Medical Transport Company (Kenny Ferguson) v. City of Detroit

* SUMMARY: Case No. 21-004326-NI, File No. L21-00323 (MBC) A20000, in the amount of $5,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Get Well Medical Transport Co. may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained.

* RECOMMENDATION: RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of Get Well Medical Transport Co. and their attorney, Gary R. Blumberg, P.C, in the amount of Five Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($5,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims which Get Well Medical Transport Co. may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about November 20, 2020, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 21-004326-NI that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 21-004326-NI and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT: Name: Lisa Szwast
Position: Legal Assistant

*REQUIRED
HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Get Well Medical Transport Company v City of Detroit
Case No: 21-004326-NI
File No: L21-00323 (MBC)

On January 11, 2022 a case evaluation panel evaluated the above-captioned lawsuit and awarded Five Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($5,000) in favor of the plaintiff. The parties have until February 8, 2022, to either accept or reject the case evaluation.

Based upon our review of the facts and particulars of this lawsuit, which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of Five Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($5,000.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request Your Honorable Body to authorize settlement of the above referenced lawsuit and to direct the Finance Director to issue a draft in that amount of Five Thousand Dollars and no/cents ($5,000.00) payable to Get Well Medical Transport Co. and their attorney, Gary R. Blumberg, PC, to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No.21-004326-NI and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

Respectfully submitted,
/s/ Mary Beth Cobbs
Mary Beth Cobbs
Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/ James Noseda
James D. Noseda
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER ______________________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of Five Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($5,000.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of Get Well Medical Transport Co. and their attorney, Gary R. Blumberg, P.C, in the amount of Five Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($5,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims which Get Well Medical Transport Co. may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about November 20, 2020, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 21-004326-NI that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 21-004326-NI and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED:
Charles Raimi
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/James D. Noseda
    James D. Noseda
    Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Approved by City Council: ______________________________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: ______________________________________________________
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho.Settlement in lawsuit of Neal, Yvette v City of Detroit

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 19-016483-NF, File No. L19-00950 (PH) A20000, in the amount of $47,500.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Yvette Neal may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about 12/14/2018.

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of YVETTE NEAL and HER attorney, GOODMAN ACKER PC, in the amount of FORTY-SEVEN THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($47,500.00) in full payment for any and all claims which YVETTE NEAL may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about, and otherwise set forth in Case No.19-016483-NF, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 19-016483-NF and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Andrea D'Agostino Keenan
Position: Paralegal

*REQUIRED
HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Neal, Yvette v City of Detroit
Case No: 19-016483-NF
File No: L19-00950 PH

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of FORTY-SEVEN THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($47,500.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of FORTY-SEVEN THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($47,500.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft in that amount payable to YVETTE NEAL and HER attorney, GOODMAN ACKER PC, to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No.19-016483-NF, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,
Philip Hiltner
Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Krystal A. Crittendon
Krystal A. Crittendon
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER __________________________________________________:  

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount OF FORTY-SEVEN THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($47,500.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of YVETTE NEAL and HER attorney, GOODMAN ACKER PC, in the amount of FORTY-SEVEN THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($47,500.00) in full payment for any and all claims which YVETTE NEAL may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about 12/14/2018, and otherwise set forth in Case No.19-016483-NF, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 19-016483-NF and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Krystal A. Crittendon
Krystal A. Crittendon
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Approved by City Council: ____________________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: _____________________________________________
Neal, Yvette v City of Detroit  
19-016483-NF  
L19-00950PH

**PLAINTIFF’S NAME:** Yvette Neal  
**DATE OF INCIDENT:** December 14, 2018  
**TIME OF INCIDENT:** 10:40  
**LOCATION OF INCIDENT:** State Fair Avenue at/near Ralston

**SUMMARY OF INCIDENT:** On the above date and time, Plaintiff, Yvette Neal, was a passenger on a City of Detroit bus traveling eastbound on State Fair near the intersection of Ralston. Another driver made a left turn off of Ralston onto eastbound State Fair without ensuring the road was clear. The other car pulled in front of the bus and caused an accident. The accident caused severe damage to the other car, a 1995 Chevrolet Monte Carlo, crushing its entire back end. The bus’s windshield was shattered, the left front head light was broken, and the bike rack on the front of the bus was bent.

Plaintiff claim she was standing on the bus when the accident happened. The accident caused her to fall to the floor of the bus on her left side. At the scene of the accident, Plaintiff complained of wrist pain. She was taken to Henry Ford Hospital by the Detroit Fire Department.

**MEDICAL TREATMENT/DIAGNOSIS:** At the hospital, Plaintiff presented with complaints of left wrist pain, left arm pain, and left knee pain. The physician assistant at the hospital noted Plaintiff had tenderness around her scapula and her forearm. She was given x-rays of her left wrist, left forearm, left shoulder, and left knee. She had no broken bones, and she was discharged from the hospital.

Plaintiff saw her primary care doctor twice within the first few days after the accident. He prescribed Motrin and muscle relaxers, and on the first visit she was given a Toradol injection.

Exactly one week after the accident Plaintiff went to see Dr. Jeffrey Parker at Spine, Sports, and Occupational Health. Plaintiff had complaints of pain in her neck and lower back, she said she had tingling in her left leg, and she had limited movement in her left shoulder and wrist. He disabled her from work (Plaintiff was a self-employed hair stylist), household chores, and driving. He also referred her for MRIs of her neck and left shoulder.

Plaintiff underwent those MRIs at Premier MRI. The MRI of her neck showed disc bulging at C4-5 and C6-7. Her shoulder MRI showed a partial thickness tear of tendons in her rotator cuff.
In follow up to her shoulder MRI, Plaintiff saw orthopedic surgeon, Dr. Hussein Saad. He recommended surgery to repair Plaintiff’s rotator cuff. Plaintiff underwent surgery on April 23, 2019. By the time of her surgery, Plaintiff’s only remaining complaints were her pain in her left wrist and shoulder.

After surgery, Plaintiff continued to have pain in her shoulder, but her condition did improve. She did physical therapy for several months and she saw Dr. Craig Peppler, a pain medicine specialist at Spine, Sports, and Occupational Health, through November 2019.

**CASE EVALUATION:** $50,000

**LIABILITY/EVALUATION:** Plaintiff is suing the City of Detroit for No-Fault personal injury protection (PIP) benefits. Under the No-Fault Act, a passenger on a City of Detroit bus without automobile insurance of her own can sue the City of Detroit for PIP benefits regardless of the bus driver’s fault. PIP benefits include all medical expenses, up to $20 per day for help with household services, up to $20 per hour for help with personal care, and wage loss for up to three years.

In order to claim these benefits, Plaintiff must show that any benefits they are claiming are reasonably necessary and related to the injuries arising out of the accident. Plaintiff is also only able to claim a reasonable amount for these services. Thus, the City defends these claims by arguing Plaintiff’s services were not reasonably necessary or related to the accident and that the City is being overcharged for these services.

In this case, the City of Detroit paid for Plaintiff’s medical care from the time of the accident through October 2019. The City of Detroit did not pay for any household services or wage loss during that time, but that is only because Plaintiff did not submit the necessary forms showing she had someone providing household services and demonstrating her income.

Plaintiff is claiming she is owed $69,017.91 in unpaid benefits. She claims she is owed $15,441.86 in unpaid medical bills, $4,580 in household services, $10,782 in attendant care (599 hours at $18 per hour), and $38,214.15 in wage loss.

The City of Detroit has had Plaintiff evaluation by independent physicians. Their opinions are that Plaintiff’s issues with her wrist and shoulder were more likely degenerative conditions. She worked as a hair braider and she had a bone spur in her left shoulder. The bone spur in combination with her repetitive work with her arms raised could have caused the partial tearing in her shoulder. The constant rotating of her hands and wrists when braiding hair were likely the cause of her wrist pain.

Plaintiff’s physicians, and particularly Dr. Saad, the surgeon, disagree. He says Plaintiff’s shoulder tear was most likely caused by the fall on the bus.

In many of our PIP cases, the accident was very minor, the plaintiff has medical history from before the accident to undermine his or claims, or the medical bills are obviously overpriced (e.g. $3,000 for lidocaine cream). This is not one of those cases. Whether a jury will believe Plaintiff is
entitled to any of the benefits she is claiming will depend on whether they believe Plaintiff’s treaters or the City’s experts. This is very difficult to predict. Additionally, at her deposition, Plaintiff come across as a credible witness as did her friends who claim to have provided her household services.

On the other hand, Plaintiff’s claim that she was disabled from work for the entire three years is a stretch, and some of the medical bills she is claiming appear to be unrelated to the accident. Plaintiff’s own surgeon testified that the average recovery for the surgery he performed is three months.

Considering all of the above, the proposed settlement of $47,500 with a waiver of future benefits would be a good outcome for this case. Plaintiff has allegedly suffered additional wage loss since case evaluation, yet she is accepting less than the case evaluation amount. She is also agreeing to waive future PIP benefits. This means she cannot continue medical treatment and seek payment from the City of Detroit. The lawyer handling Plaintiff’s case has a track record of settling cases, having his client undergo additional treatment, and then suing the City again for the additional treatment. This settlement will resolve Plaintiff’s claims related to this accident forever and will do so for a reasonable amount.

**AMOUNT OF SETTLEMENT RECOMMENDED:** $47,500

**RISK MANAGEMENT MEASURES:** Not applicable as no individual City of Detroit employees were named in this matter and there is no allegation of fault against the City of Detroit or its employees.

**DATE CITY COUNCIL APPROVED EMPLOYEE REPRESENTATION:** Not applicable as no individual City of Detroit employees were named in this matter.

Philip Hiltner  
**LAWSUIT SETTLEMENT**  
$47,500.00
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Legal Representation and Indemnification of David Hornshaw in lawsuit of Detroit Will Breathe v City of Detroit et al

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 20-12363; L20-00669 (PMC) for David Hornshaw

* RECOMMENDATION:
Representation by the Law Department of the City employees or officers listed below is hereby recommended, as we concur with the recommendation of the Head of the Department and believe that the City Council should find and determine that the suit against the Defendants arises out of or involves the performance in good faith of the official duties of such Defendants. We, further, recommend that the City undertake to indemnify the Defendants if there is an adverse judgment. We, therefore, recommend a "YES" vote on the attached resolution. RESOLVED, that the Law Department is hereby authorized under Section 13-11-1 et. seq. of the Municipal Code of the City of Detroit and in accordance with the foregoing communication to provide legal representation and indemnification to the following Employees or Officers in the lawsuit of Detroit Will Breathe v City of Detroit et al; Civil Action Case No. 20-12363: P.O. David Hornshaw, Badge 1154

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Myria Ross
Position: Senior Legal Secretary

*=REQUIRED
November 10, 2021

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

Civil Action Case No. 20-12363

Representation by the Law Department of the City employees or officers listed below is hereby recommended, as we concur with the recommendation of the Head of the Department and believe that the City Council should find and determine that the suit against the Defendants arises out of or involves the performance in good faith of the official duties of such Defendants. We, further, recommend that the City undertake to indemnify the Defendants if there is an adverse judgment. We, therefore, recommend a "YES" vote on the attached resolution.

Copies of the relevant documents are submitted under separate cover.

Employees or Officers requesting representation:

P.O. David Hornshaw, Badge 1154

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature]

Douglas Baker, Chief of Criminal Enforcement and Quality of Life

APPROVED:

[Signature]

BY: LAWRENCE T. GARCIA
CORPORATION COUNSEL

DB/mr

Attachments
RESOLUTION

By Council Member ____________________________:

RESOLVED, that the Law Department is hereby authorized under Section 13-11-1 et. seq. of the Municipal Code of the City of Detroit and in accordance with the foregoing communication to provide legal representation and indemnification to the following Employees or Officers in the lawsuit of Detroit Will Breathe, et al v City of Detroit, et al; Case No. 20-12363:

P.O. David Hornshaw, Badge 1154

APPROVED:

BY: ________________________________
   LAWRENCE T. GARCIA
   CORPORATION COUNSEL
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: Law
FILE NUMBER: Law-1013

* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Legal Representation and Indemnification of Derrick Griffin in lawsuit of Tora Ree Clark v City of Detroit et al

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 19-12877; L19-00678 (TO) for Derrick Griffin

* RECOMMENDATION:
Representation by the Law Department of the City employees or officers listed below is hereby recommended, as we concur with the recommendation of the Head of the Department and believe that the City Council should find and determine that the suit against the Defendants arises out of or involves the performance in good faith of the official duties of such Defendants. We, further, recommend that the City undertake to indemnify the Defendants if there is an adverse judgment. We, therefore, recommend a "YES" vote on the attached resolution. RESOLVED, that the Law Department is hereby authorized under Section 13-11-1 et. seq. of the Municipal Code of the City of Detroit and in accordance with the foregoing communication to provide legal representation and indemnification to the following Employees or Officers in the lawsuit of Tora Ree Clark v City of Detroit et al; Civil Action Case No. 19-12877: Sgt. Derrick Griffin, Badge S-533

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Myria Ross
Position: Senior Legal Secretary

*=REQUIRED
November 10, 2021

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Tora Ree Clark v City of Detroit, et al
Civil Action Case No. 19-12877

Representation by the Law Department of the City employees or officers listed below is hereby recommended, as we concur with the recommendation of the Head of the Department and believe that the City Council should find and determine that the suit against the Defendants arises out of or involves the performance in good faith of the official duties of such Defendants. We, further, recommend that the City undertake to indemnify the Defendants if there is an adverse judgment. We, therefore, recommend a "YES" vote on the attached resolution.

Copies of the relevant documents are submitted under separate cover.

Employees or Officers requesting representation:

Sgt. Derrick Griffin, Badge S-533

Respectfully submitted,

Douglas Baker, Chief of Criminal
Enforcement and Quality of Life

APPROVED:

BY: LAWRENCE T. GARCIA
CORPORATION COUNSEL

DB/mr
Attachments
RESOLUTION

By Council Member: ________________________________:

RESOLVED, that the Law Department is hereby authorized under Section 13-11-1 et. seq. of the Municipal Code of the City of Detroit and in accordance with the foregoing communication to provide legal representation and indemnification to the following Employees or Officers in the lawsuit of Tora Ree Clark v City of Detroit, et al; Case No. 19-12877:

Sgt. Derrick Griffin, Badge S-533

APPROVED:

BY: Lawrence T. Garcia
    CORPORATION COUNSEL
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Legal Representation and Indemnification of Kevin Wight in lawsuit of Tora Ree Clark v City of Detroit et al

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 19-12877; L19-00678 (TO) for Kevin Wight

* RECOMMENDATION:
Representation by the Law Department of the City employees or officers listed below is hereby recommended, as we concur with the recommendation of the Head of the Department and believe that the City Council should find and determine that the suit against the Defendants arises out of or involves the performance in good faith of the official duties of such Defendants. We, further, recommend that the City undertake to indemnify the Defendants if there is an adverse judgment. We, therefore, recommend a "YES" vote on the attached resolution. RESOLVED, that the Law Department is hereby authorized under Section 13-11-1 et. seq. of the Municipal Code of the City of Detroit and in accordance with the foregoing communication to provide legal representation and indemnification to the following Employees or Officers in the lawsuit of Tora Ree Clark v City of Detroit et al; Case No. 19-12877: Sgt. Kevin Wight, Badge S-38

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Myria Ross
Position: Senior Legal Secretary

* = REQUIRED
November 10, 2021

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Tora Ree Clark v City of Detroit, et al
Civil Action Case No. 19-12877

Representation by the Law Department of the City employees or officers listed below is hereby recommended, as we concur with the recommendation of the Head of the Department and believe that the City Council should find and determine that the suit against the Defendants arises out of or involves the performance in good faith of the official duties of such Defendants. We, further, recommend that the City undertake to indemnify the Defendants if there is an adverse judgment. We, therefore, recommend a "YES" vote on the attached resolution.

Copies of the relevant documents are submitted under separate cover.

Employees or Officers requesting representation:

Sgt. Kevin Wight, Badge S-38

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature]

Douglas Baker, Chief of Criminal
 Enforcement and Quality of Life

APPROVED:

[Signature]

LAWRENCE T. GARCIA
CORPORATION COUNSEL

DB/mr

Attachments
RESOLUTION

By Council Member________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that the Law Department is hereby authorized under Section 13-11-1 et. seq. of the Municipal Code of the City of Detroit and in accordance with the foregoing communication to provide legal representation and indemnification to the following Employees or Officers in the lawsuit of Tora Rec Clark v City of Detroit, et al; Case No. 19-12877:

Sgt. Kevin Wight, Badge S-38

APPROVED:

BY: ________________________________

LAWRENCE T. GARCIA
CORPORATION COUNSEL
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Legal Representation and Indemnification of Mariah Erard in lawsuit of Detroit Will Breathe v City of Detroit et al

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 20-12363; L20-00669 (PMC) for Mariah Erard

* RECOMMENDATION:
Representation by the Law Department of the City employees or officers listed below is hereby recommended, as we concur with the recommendation of the Head of the Department and believe that the City Council should find and determine that the suit against the Defendants arises out of or involves the performance in good faith of the official duties of such Defendants. We, further, recommend that the City undertake to indemnify the Defendants if there is an adverse judgment. We, therefore, recommend a "YES" vote on the attached resolution. RESOLVED, that the Law Department is hereby authorized under Section 13-11-1 et. seq. of the Municipal Code of the City of Detroit and in accordance with the foregoing communication to provide legal representation and indemnification to the following Employees or Officers in the lawsuit of Detroit Will Breathe v City of Detroit et al; Civil Action Case No. 20-12363: Det. Mariah Erard, Badge D-1910

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Myria Ross
Position: Senior Legal Secretary

* = REQUIRED
November 10, 2021

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

Civil Action Case No. 20-12363

Representation by the Law Department of the City employees or officers listed below is hereby recommended, as we concur with the recommendation of the Head of the Department and believe that the City Council should find and determine that the suit against the Defendants arises out of or involves the performance in good faith of the official duties of such Defendants. We, further, recommend that the City undertake to indemnify the Defendants if there is an adverse judgment. We, therefore, recommend a "YES" vote on the attached resolution.

Copies of the relevant documents are submitted under separate cover.

Employees or Officers requesting representation:

Det. Mariah Erard, Badge D-1910

Respectfully submitted,

Douglas Baker, Chief of Criminal Enforcement and Quality of Life

APPROVED:

BY: LAWRENCE T. GARCIA
CORPORATION COUNSEL

DB/mr

Attachments
RESOLUTION

By Council Member ________________________________:

RESOLVED, that the Law Department is hereby authorized under Section 13-11-1 et. seq. of the Municipal Code of the City of Detroit and in accordance with the foregoing communication to provide legal representation and indemnification to the following Employees or Officers in the lawsuit of Detroit Will Breathe, et al v. City of Detroit, et al; Case No. 20-12363:

Det. Mariah Erard, Badge D-1910

APPROVED:

BY: [Signature]

LAWRENCE T. GARCIA
CORPORATION COUNSEL
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Legal Representation and Indemnification of Steven Anouti in Lawsuit of Detroit Will Breathe v City of Detroit et al

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 20-12363; L20-00669 (PH) for Steven Anouti

* RECOMMENDATION:
Representation by the Law Department of the City employees or officers listed below is hereby recommended, as we concur with the recommendation of the Head of the Department and believe that the City Council should find and determine that the suit against the Defendants arises out of or involves the performance in good faith of the official duties of such Defendants. We, further, recommend that the City undertake to indemnify the Defendants if there is an adverse judgment. We, therefore, recommend a "YES" vote on the attached resolution. RESOLVED, that the Law Department is hereby authorized under Section 13-11-1 et. seq. of the Municipal Code of the City of Detroit and in accordance with the foregoing communication to provide legal representation and indemnification to the following Employees or Officers in the lawsuit of Detroit Will Breathe v City of Detroit et al; Civil Action Case No. 20-12363: P.O. Steven Anouti, Badge 381

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Myria Ross
Position: Senior Legal Secretary

*REQUIRED
November 10, 2021

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

Civil Action Case No. 20-12363

Representation by the Law Department of the City employees or officers listed below is hereby recommended, as we concur with the recommendation of the Head of the Department and believe that the City Council should find and determine that the suit against the Defendants arises out of or involves the performance in good faith of the official duties of such Defendants. We, further, recommend that the City undertake to indemnify the Defendants if there is an adverse judgment. We, therefore, recommend a "YES" vote on the attached resolution.

Copies of the relevant documents are submitted under separate cover.

Employees or Officers requesting representation:

P.O. Steven Anouti, Badge 381

Respectfully submitted,

Douglas Baker, Chief of Criminal
Enforcement and Quality of Life

APPROVED:

BY: LAWRENCE T. GARCIA
CORPORATION COUNSEL

DB/mr

Attachments
RESOLUTION

By Council Member____________________________:

RESOLVED, that the Law Department is hereby authorized under Section 13-11-1 et. seq. of the Municipal Code of the City of Detroit and in accordance with the foregoing communication to provide legal representation and indemnification to the following Employees or Officers in the lawsuit of Detroit Will Breathe, et al v City of Detroit, et al; Case No. 20-12363:

P.O. Steven Anouti, Badge 381

APPROVED:

BY: ____________________________
    LAWRENCE T. GARCIA
    CORPORATION COUNSEL
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Legal Representation and Indemnification of Stuart Martin in lawsuit of Tora Ree Clark v City of Detroit et al

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 19-12877; L19-00678 (TO) for Stuart Martin

* RECOMMENDATION:
Representation by the Law Department of the City employees or officers listed below is hereby recommended, as we concur with the recommendation of the Head of the Department and believe that the City Council should find and determine that the suit against the Defendants arises out of or involves the performance in good faith of the official duties of such Defendants. We, further, recommend that the City undertake to indemnify the Defendants if there is an adverse judgment. We, therefore, recommend a "YES" vote on the attached resolution. RESOLVED, that the Law Department is hereby authorized under Section 13-11-1 et. seq. of the Municipal Code of the City of Detroit and in accordance with the foregoing communication to provide legal representation and indemnification to the following Employees or Officers in the lawsuit of Tora Ree Clark v City of Detroit et al; Civil Action Case No. 19-12877: P.O. Stuart Martin, Badge 325

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Myria Ross
Position: Senior Legal Secretary

*=REQUIRED
November 10, 2021

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Tora Ree Clark v City of Detroit, et al
Civil Action Case No. 19-12877

Representation by the Law Department of the City employees or officers listed below is hereby recommended, as we concur with the recommendation of the Head of the Department and believe that the City Council should find and determine that the suit against the Defendants arises out of or involves the performance in good faith of the official duties of such Defendants. We, further, recommend that the City undertake to indemnify the Defendants if there is an adverse judgment. We, therefore, recommend a "YES" vote on the attached resolution.

Copies of the relevant documents are submitted under separate cover.

Employees or Officers requesting representation:

P.O. Stuart Martin, Badge 325

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature]

Douglas Baker, Chief of Criminal
Enforcement and Quality of Life

APPROVED:

[Signature]

BY: LAWRENCE T. GARCIA
CORPORATION COUNSEL

DB/mr

Attachments
RESOLUTION

By Council Member:

RESOLVED, that the Law Department is hereby authorized under Section 13-11-1 et. seq. of the Municipal Code of the City of Detroit and in accordance with the foregoing communication to provide legal representation and indemnification to the following Employees or Officers in the lawsuit of Tora Ree Clark v City of Detroit, et al; Case No. 19-12877:

P.O. Stuart Martin, Badge 325

APPROVED:

BY: LAURENCE T. GARCIA
    CORPORATION COUNSEL
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Legal Representation and Indemnification of Timothy Barr in lawsuit of Detroit Will Breathe v City of Detroit et al

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 20-12363; L20-00669 (PMC) for Timothy Barr

* RECOMMENDATION:
Representation by the Law Department of the City employees or officers listed below is hereby recommended, as we concur with the recommendation of the Head of the Department and believe that the City Council should find and determine that the suit against the Defendants arises out of or involves the performance in good faith of the official duties of such Defendants. We, further, recommend that the City undertake to indemnify the Defendants if there is an adverse judgment. We, therefore, recommend a "YES" vote on the attached resolution. RESOLVED, that the Law Department is hereby authorized under Section 13-11-1 et. seq. of the Municipal Code of the City of Detroit and in accordance with the foregoing communication to provide legal representation and indemnification to the following Employees or Officers in the lawsuit of Detroit Will Breathe v City of Detroit et al; Civil Action Case No. 20-12363: Sgt. Timothy Barr, Badge S-200

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Myria Ross
Position: Senior Legal Secretary

* = REQUIRED
November 10, 2021

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

   Civil Action Case No. 20-12363

Representation by the Law Department of the City employees or officers listed below is hereby recommended, as we concur with the recommendation of the Head of the Department and believe that the City Council should find and determine that the suit against the Defendants arises out of or involves the performance in good faith of the official duties of such Defendants. We, further, recommend that the City undertake to indemnify the Defendants if there is an adverse judgment. We, therefore, recommend a "YES" vote on the attached resolution.

Copies of the relevant documents are submitted under separate cover.

Employees or Officers requesting representation:

    Sgt. Timothy Barr, Badge S-200

Respectfully submitted,

Douglas Baker, Chief of Criminal Enforcement and Quality of Life

APPROVED:

BY:    LAWRENCE T. GARCIA
   CORPORATION COUNSEL

DB/mr

Attachments
RESOLUTION

By Council Member ____________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that the Law Department is hereby authorized under Section 13-11-1 et. seq. of the Municipal Code of the City of Detroit and in accordance with the foregoing communication to provide legal representation and indemnification to the following Employees or Officers in the lawsuit of  Detroit Will Breathe, et al v City of Detroit, et al; Case No. 20-12363:

    Sgt. Timothy Barr, Badge S-200

APPROVED:

BY:  [Signature]

LAWRENCE T. GARCIA
CORPORATION COUNSEL
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Resolution continuing procedures for City of Detroit public bodies to meet remotely through July 31, 2022

* SUMMARY:
Resolution continuing procedures for City of Detroit public bodies to meet remotely through July 31, 2022

* RECOMMENDATION:
For Consideration

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Sabrina Shockley
Position: Administrative Assistant

*=REQUIRED
TO: COUNCIL MEMBERS

FROM: David Whitaker, Director Legislative Policy Division Staff

DATE: January 10, 2022

RE: RESOLUTION CONTINUING PROCEDURES FOR CITY OF DETROIT PUBLIC BODIES TO MEET REMOTELY THROUGH JULY 31, 2022

Council President Mary Sheffield requested that the Legislative Policy Division (LPD) draft a RESOLUTION CONTINUING PROCEDURES FOR CITY OF DETROIT PUBLIC BODIES TO MEET REMOTELY THROUGH JULY 31, 2022.

Attached, please find our draft of the aforementioned resolution.

Attachment
BY COUNCIL PRESIDENT MARY SHEFFIELD

RESOLUTION CONTINUING PROCEDURES FOR CITY OF DETROIT PUBLIC BODIES TO MEET REMOTELY THROUGH JULY 31, 2022

WHEREAS, As a result of the ongoing and continuing COVID-19 pandemic, the City of Detroit’s public bodies, including City Council, were able to meet remotely through electronic means, from March 2020 through December 31, 2021; and

WHEREAS, By the wisdom of the Governor and the State legislature, the Open Meetings Act (OMA) was amended twice, which allowed public bodies to hold meetings electronically, under any circumstances, retroactive to March 18, 2020, through March 30, 2021 (MCL §15.263a(1)(a)), and further after March 31, 2021 through December 31, 2021, with a subsequent amendment to the act (MCL §15.263(2)) stating public bodies can meet remotely through electronic means pursuant to a “local state of emergency or state of disaster declared pursuant to law or charter or local ordinance by . . . a local official, governing body, or chief administrative officer”; and

WHEREAS, On March 30, 2021, the Chief Public Health Officer of the Detroit Health Department (DHD) issued an Emergency Order for Control of Epidemic (Emergency Order) determining that “action is necessary to reduce transmission of COVID-19 and to protect the public’s health in Detroit”, noting that cases in Detroit have been on a significant upward trend and that “certain in-person open meetings pose a substantial risk to members of the public and governmental bodies in the City of Detroit”; and

WHEREAS, On May 20, 2021, the Chief Public Health Officer of the DHD made the following findings in a newly issued Emergency Order for Control of Epidemic: “COVID-19 remains an imminent threat to the public of the City of Detroit; [p]er the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Michigan remains second in the nation in the number of SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 variant cases at this time; [t]he emergence and spread of the SARS-CoV-2 variants in Detroit and surrounding communities, and current vaccination rates; certain in-person meetings pose a substantial risk to members of the public and governmental bodies in the City of Detroit.”; and

WHEREAS, The Chief Public Health Officer found further that, “[a] number of public bodies in Detroit, subject to the OMA, MCL §15.261 et seq., will find it difficult, if not impossible, to conduct their business in live meetings that are open to the public, without violating safety guidelines issues by the CDC, the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services and the Detroit Health Department. For example, several public bodies do not have facilities that will allow for adequate physical
distancing or open attendance in an in-person setting. In those instances requiring public bodies to make their decisions in a meeting open to the public and in person (without the option of telephonic and video conferencing) would risk the personal health and safety of the member of the public or members of the public body.”; and

**WHEREAS,** The May 20, 2021, DHD Emergency Order declared “a local state of emergency”, effective from May 20, 2021 until June 30, 2021, or until an earlier time when the order is rescinded by a subsequent order. The Order states that public bodies subject to the OMA may hold in-person meetings only if, on the dates of the scheduled in-person meeting the public body is compliant with the then-current state guidance issued by the MDHHS, requiring that meeting size be limited to 25 members or fewer, and facility capacity allows for social distancing; and

**WHEREAS,** On June 23, 2021, the Chief Public Health Officer issued a new order entitled, Declaration of Continued Emergency Due to COVID-19 to Allow Public Meetings of Governmental Bodies to be Held Remotely per MCL §15.263 Extended From June 30, 2021 to July 31, 2021, declaring “a local public health emergency” and finding that COVID-19 “remains an imminent threat to the public throughout Detroit” because vaccination rates remain “below the level required to achieve herd immunity to limit the spread of the virus”, and that spread results in the emergence of more dangerous variants, such as B.1.617.2 (a/k/a, the “Delta variant”); and

**WHEREAS,** On August 25, 2021, the City’s Chief Public Health Officer issued “City of Detroit Public Health Department Emergency Epidemic Order in Response To The COVID-19 Pandemic Per MCL §333.2453, Declaration of Continued Emergency Due to COVID-19, To Allow Public Meetings of Governmental Bodies To Be Held Remotely Per MCL §15.263, Effective from September 1, 2021 to December 31, 2021” (Order). The Order states, the Chief Public Health Officer “hereby extends the Local Public Health Emergency Epidemic Order (originally issued March 20, 2021) for the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pursuant to §333.2453 of the Public Health Code of the State of Michigan, as it has been determined that doing so is necessary to continue to protect the public health from further transmission of COVID-19 within the City of Detroit.” The Order further recognizes the need to provide maximum access by the public to public meetings through remote, hybrid, and in-person meetings when a body determines that in-person meetings may be safely held within current state capacity guidelines; and

**WHEREAS,** Subsequently to these actions, the omicron variant, a highly transmissible form of the SARS-CoV-2 virus, has emerged and created millions of new cases of COVID in the U.S. and locally. It has also been reported that the Omicron variant has spread at a much more alarming rate than the original SARS-CoV-2 virus, thereby increasing the risks of mass infections; and
WHEREAS, After December 31, 2021, the OMA only allows for members of the military to participate electronically in meetings if the circumstances requiring accommodation of members absent due to military duty as described in MCL §15.263(2). This means that only those members that are on military duty can participate by electronic means under this provision. *Any member who is not on military duty must be physically present at the meeting in order to participate* (MCL §15.263a(1)(c)); and

WHEREAS, The City of Detroit has 15 public bodies that are subject to the OMA. Unfortunately, there are currently only two venues available to accommodate these bodies in a manner that would allow for social distancing. The OMA only allows for hybrid electronic meetings which requires that members of the public body attend the meetings and it permits 2-way communication so that members of the public can hear and participate in the meetings. (MCL §15.263(2)(a)(i), MCL §15.263a(2)); and

WHEREAS, A majority of the City of Detroit’s 15 public bodies are comprised of volunteers, many of which have indicated a hesitancy and some an outright refusal to continue serving in this capacity if they are forced to meet publicly during the current pandemic, in order to unnecessarily risk their health. If this were to happen, losing these valuable public servants could result in preventing critical functions of the City to continue and longstanding negative repercussions. Thereby, having the State extend the allowance for electronic attendance of public bodies via the OMA during the current health crisis, would allow for the City to retain these valuable public servants, **NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT**

RESOLVED, That the Detroit City Council respectfully requests that the Governor and the State Legislature modify the OMA, to allow for all public bodies in the City of Detroit whose meetings cannot accommodate necessary social distancing of attendees, may continue to meet remotely pursuant to the OMA, through July 31, 2022, by modifying the procedures as set forth in Public Act 228 of 2020, and by amending the pertinent part of the OMA, and, **BE IT FURTHER**

RESOLVED, That the Detroit City Clerk is directed to send this resolution to Governor Gretchen Whitmer and the Detroit delegation in Lansing, Detroit’s Lansing Lobbyist and to Mayor Mike Duggan, and to publicly and electronically post and distribute copies of the resolution widely.
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement
FILE NUMBER: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement-0879

RE:
Submitting Reso. Autho. Contract No. 6004145

SUMMARY:
6004145 100% 2018 UTGO Bond Funding – To Provide Boat Lift Install and Fence/Gate Replacement at DFD Fireboat Station. – Contractor: E C Korneffel Company – Location: 2691 Veterans Parkway, Trenton, MI 48183 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 3, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $257,000.00. GENERAL SERVICES

RECOMMENDATION:
6004145 100% 2018 UTGO Bond Funding – To Provide Boat Lift Install and Fence/Gate Replacement at DFD Fireboat Station. – Contractor: E C Korneffel Company – Location: 2691 Veterans Parkway, Trenton, MI 48183 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 3, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $257,000.00. GENERAL SERVICES

BY Choose an item.

Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 6004145 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
RE:
Submitting Reso. Autho. Contract No. 6002650

SUMMARY:
6002650 100% City Funding – To Provide Vactor Services for Cleaning Out Various Drains. – Contractor: LP Industries LTD – Location: 15366 Coyle Street, Detroit, MI 48227 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through February 4, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $275,000.00. GENERAL SERVICES

RECOMMENDATION:
6002650 100% City Funding – To Provide Vactor Services for Cleaning Out Various Drains. – Contractor: LP Industries LTD – Location: 15366 Coyle Street, Detroit, MI 48227 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through February 4, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $275,000.00. GENERAL SERVICES

BY Choose an item.

Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 6002650 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement
FILE NUMBER: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement-0877

RE:

SUMMARY:
6002560 100% Grant Funding – AMEND 1 – To Provide an Extension of Time Only for Architectural, Engineering and Construction Management Services for AB Fox Park – Lenox Recreation Center Rehab. – Contractor: inFORM Studio – Location: 235 East Main Street Suite 102b, Northville, MI 48167 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through April 30, 2023 – Amended Contract Amount: $0.00. GENERAL SERVICES
Total Contract Amount: $400,000.00

RECOMMENDATION:
6002560 100% Grant Funding – AMEND 1 – To Provide an Extension of Time Only for Architectural, Engineering and Construction Management Services for AB Fox Park – Lenox Recreation Center Rehab. – Contractor: inFORM Studio – Location: 235 East Main Street Suite 102b, Northville, MI 48167 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through April 30, 2023 – Amended Contract Amount: $0.00. GENERAL SERVICES
Total Contract Amount: $400,000.00

BY Choose an item.
Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 6002560-A1 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement

FILE NUMBER: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement-0880

RE:
Submitting Reso. Autho. Contract No. 6004203

SUMMARY:
6004203 100% 2018 UTGO Bond Funding – To Provide Gear Extractors and Dryers at Twenty-Two (22) Firehouses. – Contractor: DMC Consultants, Inc. – Location: 13500 Foley, Detroit, MI 48227 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 1, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $426,825.00.

GENERAL SERVICES

RECOMMENDATION:
6004203 100% 2018 UTGO Bond Funding – To Provide Gear Extractors and Dryers at Twenty-Two (22) Firehouses. – Contractor: DMC Consultants, Inc. – Location: 13500 Foley, Detroit, MI 48227 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 1, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $426,825.00.

GENERAL SERVICES

BY Choose an item.

Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 6004203 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Authorization to submit a grant application to the Economic Development Administration for the FY 2021 American Rescue Plan Act Economic Adjustment Assistance Grant

* SUMMARY:
The General Services Department is hereby requesting authorization from Detroit City Council to submit a grant application to the Economic Development Administration for the FY 2021 American Rescue Plan Act Economic Adjustment Assistance Grant. The amount being sought is $4,373,851.79. The Federal share is $4,373,851.79 of the requested amount and there is a required cash match of $1,306,475.21. The total project cost is $5,680,327.00.

* RECOMMENDATION:
Authorization to submit a grant application to the Economic Development Administration for the FY 2021 American Rescue Plan Act Economic Adjustment Assistance Grant. The General Services Department is hereby requesting authorization from Detroit City Council to submit a grant application to the Economic Development Administration for the FY 2021 American Rescue Plan Act Economic Adjustment Assistance Grant. The amount being sought is $4,373,851.79. The Federal share is $4,373,851.79 of the requested amount and there is a required cash match of $1,306,475.21. The total project cost is $5,680,327.00.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Jalesa Beck
Position: Administrative Assistant II

*REQUIRED
December 22, 2021

The Honorable Detroit City Council
ATTN: City Clerk Office
200 Coleman A. Young Municipal Center
Detroit MI 48226

RE: Authorization to submit a grant application to the Economic Development Administration for the FY 2021 American Rescue Plan Act Economic Adjustment Assistance Grant

The General Services Department is hereby requesting authorization from Detroit City Council to submit a grant application to the Economic Development Administration for the FY 2021 American Rescue Plan Act Economic Adjustment Assistance Grant. The amount being sought is $4,373,851.79. The Federal share is $4,373,851.79 of the requested amount and there is a required cash match of $1,306,475.21. The total project cost is $5,680,327.00.

The FY 2021 American Rescue Plan Act Economic Adjustment Assistance Grant will enable the department to:

- Make improvements to Historic Fort Wayne and transform it into a viable commercial district with real estate space for businesses organizations to operate
- Create economic opportunity and jobs for Detroiter
- Stabilize 21 buildings at the site and rehabilitate and replace the water mains supplying Historic Fort Wayne

If the application is approved, a cash match will be provided from appropriation 20507, in the amount of $706,475.21, and from future FY 2023 CDBG funds, in the amount of $300,000.00, and from future FY 2024 CDBG funds, in the amount of $300,000.00. If awarded, the Director of the General Services Department will serve as the Authorized Official for this grant.

We respectfully request your approval to submit the grant application by adopting the attached resolution.

Sincerely,

Terri Daniels
Director of Grants, Office of Development and Grants

CC: Sajjiah Parker, Assistant Director, Grants

Terri Daniels
Director of Grants, Office of Development and Grants

Sincerely,

Terri Daniels
Director of Grants, Office of Development and Grants

Sincerely,

Terri Daniels
Director of Grants, Office of Development and Grants

Sincerely,

Terri Daniels
Director of Grants, Office of Development and Grants

Sincerely,

Terri Daniels
Director of Grants, Office of Development and Grants

Sincerely,

Terri Daniels
Director of Grants, Office of Development and Grants

Sincerely,

Terri Daniels
Director of Grants, Office of Development and Grants
RESOLUTION

Council Member__________________________________________

WHEREAS, the General Services Department has requested authorization from City Council to submit a grant application to the Economic Development Administration, for the FY 2021 American Rescue Plan Act Economic Adjustment Assistance Grant, in the amount of $4,373,851.79, to make improvements to Historic Fort Wayne and transform it into a viable commercial district with real estate space for businesses and organizations to operate; and

WHEREAS, the General Services Department has $706,475.21 available in its Departmental allocation in appropriation 20507, which will be provided for the City match requirement, for the FY 2021 American Rescue Plan Act Economic Adjustment Assistance Grant; and

WHEREAS, the Housing and Revitalization Department will provide $300,000.00 in match from future FY 2023 CDBG funds, and $300,000.00 in match from future FY 2024 CDBG funds, for the City match requirement for the FY 2021 American Rescue Plan Act Economic Adjustment Assistance Grant; and

WHEREAS, the City of Detroit will provide a total of $1,306,475.21 for the City match requirement for this grant application, and if awarded, the Director of the General Services Department will serve as the Authorized Official for this grant; and

WHEREAS, this request has been approved by the Office of Budget; now

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the General Services Department is hereby authorized to submit a grant application to the Economic Development Administration for the FY 2021 American Rescue Plan Act Economic Adjustment Assistance Grant.
Grant Application Request Form (GARF)

In order to secure the Office of Development and Grants (ODG) approval required under Section 17-4-2 of the Detroit City Code, this form is to be filled out by City Departments as soon as possible upon learning of an opportunity that the Department would like to pursue. This form must be signed and submitted not later than 20 business days prior to the application deadline.

Please submit this form to the following ODG staff: Sajjiah Parker, Assistant Director, parkersa@detroitmi.gov and Greg Andrews, Program Analyst IV, andrewsgr@detroitmi.gov

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City Department</th>
<th>General Services Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>12/22/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department Contact Name</td>
<td>Juliana Fulton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department Contact Phone</td>
<td>313-628-2363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department Contact Email</td>
<td><a href="mailto:fulton@detroitmi.gov">fulton@detroitmi.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Link to Opportunity Information</td>
<td><a href="https://eda.gov/arpa/economic-adjustment-assistance/">https://eda.gov/arpa/economic-adjustment-assistance/</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Grant Opportunity Title | FY 2021 American Rescue Plan Act Economic Adjustment Assistance |
| Grant Opportunity Funding Agency | Economic Development Administration |

| Award Amount (that Department will apply for) | $4,373,851.79 |
| Application Due Date | 3/31/22 |
| Anticipated Proposed Budget Amount | $5,680,327 |
| City Match Contribution Amount | $1,306,475.21 |

| Source of City Match (include Appropriation Number, Cost Center, and Object Code) | $706,475.21 from 4533-20507-470012-644124-000000; $300,000 from FY 2023 CDBG funds and $300,000 from FY 2024 CDBG funds |

| List of programs/services/activities to be funded and the Budget for each Sample: | Make improvements to Historic Fort Wayne. Stabilize 21 buildings at the site. Rehab and replace the water mains supplying Historic Fort Wayne, including water main connections and service line replacements |
| - ABC Afterschool program: $150,000 | |
| - XYZ Youth leadership program: $100,000 | |
| - Salary/Benefits: $95,000 | |
| - Supplies: $5,000 | |

| Brief Statement of Priorities/Purpose for the Application Sample: To support expansion of promising youth development programs in MNO neighborhood. | Create economic opportunity and jobs for Detroiters. Make Historic Fort Wayne into a viable commercial district with space for organizations to rent and operate |

| Key Performance Indicators to be Used to Measure the Programs/Services/Activities Sample: # of kids newly enrolled in ABC and XYZ % of kids from ABC who demonstrate improved educational performance | Completing reconstruction/rehabilitation by 2024 |

Dara O'Byrne
Director’s Name (Please Print)

Dara O'Byrne
Director’s Signature

12/22/2021

Date

Page 106 of 448
All Recipients

Tina Tolliver - 12/22/2021 | 5:12:24 PM
tollivert@detroitmi.gov

Donnie is good with the Capital Funding for the match. Forwarded unsigned draft letter from Julie on HRDs commitment for the match. Grantor has agreed to accept application with a draft CC Reso. that is pending approval w/ the expectation it will be approved as soon as possible.
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Authorization to submit a grant application to the Federal Transit Administration for the Buses and Bus Facilities Program Grant

* SUMMARY:
The Detroit Department of Transportation is hereby requesting authorization from Detroit City Council to submit a grant application to the Federal Transit Administration for the Buses and Bus Facilities Program Grant. The amount being sought is $6,386,050.00. The Federal share is $6,386,050.00 of the requested amount and there is a required State match of $1,031,950.00. There is no local match requirement. The total project cost is $7,418,000.00.

* RECOMMENDATION:
Authorization to submit a grant application to the Federal Transit Administration for the Buses and Bus Facilities Program Grant. The Detroit Department of Transportation is hereby requesting authorization from Detroit City Council to submit a grant application to the Federal Transit Administration for the Buses and Bus Facilities Program Grant. The amount being sought is $6,386,050.00. The Federal share is $6,386,050.00 of the requested amount and there is a required State match of $1,031,950.00. There is no local match requirement. The total project cost is $7,418,000.00.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Jalesa Beck
Position: Administrative Assistant II

*=REQUIRED
November 24, 2021

The Honorable Detroit City Council
ATTN: City Clerk Office
200 Coleman A. Young Municipal Center
Detroit MI  48226

RE: Authorization to submit a grant application to the Federal Transit Administration for the Buses and Bus Facilities Program Grant

The Detroit Department of Transportation is hereby requesting authorization from Detroit City Council to submit a grant application to the Federal Transit Administration for the Buses and Bus Facilities Program Grant. The amount being sought is $6,386,050.00. The Federal share is $6,386,050.00 of the requested amount and there is a required State match of $1,031,950.00. There is no local match requirement. The total project cost is $7,418,000.00.

The Buses and Bus Facilities Program Grant will enable the department to:

- Replace diesel buses that have exceeded their useful life with zero-emission battery-electric buses
- Purchase two 40-foot buses, two 60-foot buses, and support equipment, including chargers, diagnostic tools, spare parts, and other required equipment for the maintenance of battery-electric buses

If the application is approved, a cash match will be provided by the Michigan Department of Transportation.

We respectfully request your approval to submit the grant application by adopting the attached resolution.

Sincerely,

Terri Daniels
Director of Grants, Office of Development and Grants

CC:
Sajjiah Parker, Assistant Director, Grants
RESOLUTION

Council Member ________________________________

WHEREAS, the Detroit Department of Transportation has requested authorization from City Council to submit a grant application to the Federal Transit Administration, for the Buses and Bus Facilities Program Grant, in the amount of $6,386,050.00, to purchase two 40-foot electric buses, two 60-foot electric buses, and support equipment, including chargers, diagnostic tools, spare parts, and other required equipment for the maintenance of battery-electric buses; and

WHEREAS, if the application is approved, a cash match will be provided by the Michigan Department of Transportation, in the amount of $1,031,950.00; and

WHEREAS, this request has been approved by the Office of Budget; now

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Detroit Department of Transportation is hereby authorized to submit a grant application to the Federal Transit Administration for the Buses and Bus Facilities Program Grant.
Grant Application Request Form (GARF)

In order to secure the Office of Development and Grants (ODG) approval required under Section 17-4-2 of the Detroit City Code, this form is to be filled out by City Departments as soon as possible upon learning of an opportunity that the Department would like to pursue. This form must be signed and submitted not later than 20 business days prior to the application deadline.

Please submit this form to the following ODG staff: Sajjiah Parker, Assistant Director, parkersa@detroitmi.gov and Greg Andrews, Program Analyst IV, andrewsgr@detroitmi.gov

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City Department</th>
<th>Department of Transportation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>10/20/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department Contact Name</td>
<td>Maggie Zhang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department Contact Phone</td>
<td>734-408-1742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department Contact Email</td>
<td><a href="mailto:zhangm@detroitmi.gov">zhangm@detroitmi.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Opportunity Title</td>
<td>FY 2021 Competitive Funding Opportunity: Grants for Buses and Bus Facilities Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Opportunity Funding Agency</td>
<td>DOT/Federal Transit Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Award Amount (that Department will apply for)</td>
<td>$6,386,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Due Date</td>
<td>11/19/2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticipated Proposed Budget Amount</td>
<td>$7,418,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Match Contribution Amount</td>
<td>MDOT match: $1,031,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of City Match (include Appropriation Number, Cost Center, and Object Code)</td>
<td>MDOT will provide match</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of programs/services/activities to be funded and the Budget for each</td>
<td>- Battery Electric Buses + Warranty + Spare Parts + Diagnostic Tools: $5.628M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample:</td>
<td>- Chargers + Warranty + Installation: $1.615M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC Afterschool program: $150,000</td>
<td>Replace diesel buses that have exceeded their useful life with zero-emission battery-electric buses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XYZ Youth leadership program: $100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salary/Benefits: $95,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies: $5,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brief Statement of Priorities/Purpose for the Application</td>
<td>Project Milestones Completion:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample: To support expansion of promising youth development programs in MNO neighborhood.</td>
<td>Contract Award</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Key Performance Indicators to be Used to Measure the Programs/Services/Activities</td>
<td>Deliverable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample: # of kids newly enrolled in ABC and XYZ % of kids from ABC who demonstrate improved educational performance</td>
<td>Contract Close-out</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Mikel Oglesby
Director’s Name (Please Print)  
Director’s Signature  
11/15/2021  
Date
November 19, 2021

Tom Wilson  
Acting Program Manager for the Buses and Bus Facilities Competitive Grants Program  
Emergency Relief Program Manager  
Federal Transit Administration  
U.S. Department of Transportation  
1200 New Jersey Ave. SE  
Washington, DC 20590

Dear Tom:

It is our understanding that the Detroit Department of Transportation (DDOT) is applying for the Federal Transit Administration’s FY 2021 Section 5339(b) Bus and Bus Facilities Grant Program. DDOT is seeking federal funding in the amount of $7,418,000 to support the purchase of four battery-electric buses.

DDOT will replace four diesel buses that have exceeded their useful life with advanced, heavy-duty, zero-emission, battery-electric buses. DDOT intends to move towards an all-electric fleet and this request will double DDOT’s fleet of battery-electric buses from four to eight. Support equipment, installation, and technical project management support is also included in this request. By deploying battery-electric buses in place of the existing diesel vehicles, DDOT will reduce the energy consumption and harmful emissions, including the emission of greenhouse gases, associated with its fleet. As a result of the proposed project, it will increase quality life by providing new, quiet, reliable transportation for riders. It will also provide access to resources through improved service and reliability, ensuring dependable, safe, and quality mobility options within the region to decrease barriers to opportunity for these community members.

The Michigan Department of Transportation will provide the required match, in the amount of $1,031,950 provided the funding is available. State funding availability will be determined once all FY 2022 federal discretionary funding has been allocated.

If you have any questions, please contact me at 517-335-1692 or RuestmanJ@Michigan.gov.

Sincerely,

Jean Ruestman  
Jean Ruestman  
Nov 19 2021 9:56 AM

Jean Ruestman, Administrator  
Office of Passenger Transportation
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Correction: Request to Accept and Appropriate the Save Americas Treasures Grant for the Historic Fort Wayne

* SUMMARY:
The U.S. Department of the Interior – National Park Service has awarded the City of Detroit General Services Department with the Save Americas Treasures Grant for the Historic Fort Wayne, for a total of $500,000.00. The Federal share is $500,000.00 of the approved amount, and there is a required cash match of $600,000.00. The total project cost is $1,100,000.00. This grant was approved by City Council on December 9, 2021, through the special recess process. This request is to correct the match appropriation for this grant. If approval is granted to accept and appropriate this funding, the appropriation number is 20992, with the match amount coming from appropriation number 20507

* RECOMMENDATION:
Correction: Request to Accept and Appropriate the Save Americas Treasures Grant for the Historic Fort Wayne. The U.S. Department of the Interior – National Park Service has awarded the City of Detroit General Services Department with the Save Americas Treasures Grant for the Historic Fort Wayne, for a total of $500,000.00. The Federal share is $500,000.00 of the approved amount, and there is a required cash match of $600,000.00. The total project cost is $1,100,000.00. This grant was approved by City Council on December 9, 2021, through the special recess process. This request is to correct the match appropriation for this grant. If approval is granted to accept and appropriate this funding, the appropriation number is 20992, with the match amount coming from appropriation number 20507

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Jalesa Beck
Position: Administrative Assistant II

*=REQUIRED
December 20, 2021

The Honorable Detroit City Council
ATTN: City Clerk Office
200 Coleman A. Young Municipal Center
Detroit MI  48226

RE: Correction: Request to Accept and Appropriate the Save Americas Treasures Grant for the Historic Fort Wayne

The U.S. Department of the Interior – National Park Service has awarded the City of Detroit General Services Department with the Save Americas Treasures Grant for the Historic Fort Wayne, for a total of $500,000.00. The Federal share is $500,000.00 of the approved amount, and there is a required cash match of $600,000.00. The total project cost is $1,100,000.00. This grant was approved by City Council on December 9, 2021, through the special recess process. This request is to correct the match appropriation for this grant. If approval is granted to accept and appropriate this funding, the appropriation number is 20992, with the match amount coming from appropriation number 20507.

The objective of the grant is to preserve the Collection Resource Center and the Commanding Officer’s House at the Historic Fort Wayne. The funding allotted to the department will be utilized to reconstruct and rehabilitate these historic buildings. This is a reimbursement grant.

I respectfully ask your approval to accept and appropriate funding in accordance with the attached resolution.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Terri Daniels
Director of Grants, Office of Development and Grants

CC:
Sajjiah Parker, Assistant Director, Grants
RESOLUTION

Council Member ________________________________

WHEREAS, the General Services Department is requesting authorization to accept a grant of reimbursement from the U.S. Department of the Interior – National Park Service, in the amount of $500,000.00, to preserve the Collection Resource Center and the Commanding Officer’s House at the Historic Fort Wayne; and

WHEREAS, this grant was approved by City Council on December 9, 2021, through the special recess process; this request is to correct the match appropriation for this grant; if approval is granted to accept and appropriate this funding, the appropriation number is 20992, with the match, in the amount of $600,000.00, coming from appropriation number 20507; and

WHEREAS, this request has been approved by the Office of Budget; now

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Director for the Office of Development and Grants is hereby authorized to accept the grant on behalf of the City of Detroit, and that the Director or Head of the Department is authorized to accept the grant on behalf of the City of Detroit, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Budget Director is authorized to establish Appropriation number 20992, in the amount of $1,100,000.00, which includes a cash match coming from Appropriation 20507, for the Save Americas Treasures Grant for the Historic Fort Wayne.
NOTICE OF AWARD

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

54 U.S.C. §308902 Save America's Treasures Program

AUTHORIZATION (Legislation/Regulations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3. ASSISTANCE TYPE</th>
<th>Project Grant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4. GRANT NO. P21AP11769-02</td>
<td>5. TYPE OF AWARD Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. PROJECT PERIOD MM/DD/YYYY</td>
<td>M/MD/DD/YYYY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From 09/30/2021</td>
<td>Through 09/30/2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. BUDGET PERIOD MM/DD/YYYY</td>
<td>M/MD/DD/YYYY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From 09/30/2021</td>
<td>Through 09/30/2024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. TITLE OF PROJECT (OR PROGRAM)
Preserve the Collection Resource Center and Commanding Officer's House at Historic Fort Wayne in Detroit, MI

9a. GRANTEE NAME AND ADDRESS
DETOIT, CITY OF
2 Woodward Ave Rm 1126
Detroit, MI 48226-3443

9b. GRANTEE PROJECT DIRECTOR
Ms. Juliana Fulton
2 Woodward Ave
Parks and Recreation Unit
Detroit, MI 48226-2503
Phone: 7334-353-0170

10a. GRANTEE AUTHORIZING OFFICIAL
Ms. Terri Daniels
2 Woodward Ave Ste 1126
Detroit, MI 48226-3443
Phone: 3136100457

10b. FEDERAL PROJECT OFFICER
Ms. Marta Collum
1849 C Street NW
Mail Stop 7360
STLPNG
Washington, DC 20240-0001
Phone: 202 354 2062

11. APPROVED BUDGET (Excludes Direct Assistance)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial Assistance from the Federal Awarding Agency Only</th>
<th>Total project costs including grant funds and all other financial participation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. Salaries and Wages ............................................</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Fringe Benefits ................................................</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Total Personnel Costs ........................................</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Equipment ................................................................</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Supplies ..................................................................</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Travel ...................................................................</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Construction ..........................................................</td>
<td>$1,100,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h. Other .......................................................................</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i. Contractual ..............................................................</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j. TOTAL DIRECT COSTS ..................................................</td>
<td>$1,100,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k. INDIRECT COSTS ..........................................................</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l. TOTAL APPROVED BUDGET ...............................................</td>
<td>$1,100,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. AWARD COMPUTATION

| a. Amount of Federal Financial Assistance (from item 11a) | $500,000.00 |
| b. Less Unobligated Balance From Prior Budget Periods | $0.00 |
| c. Less Cumulative Prior Award(s) This Budget Period | $500,000.00 |
| d. AMOUNT OF FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE THIS ACTION | $0.00 |
| 13. Total Federal Funds Awarded to Date for Project Period | $500,000.00 |

14. RECOMMENDED FUTURE SUPPORT
(Subject to the availability of funds and satisfactory progress of the project):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>TOTAL DIRECT COSTS</th>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>TOTAL DIRECT COSTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a. 2</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>d. 5</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. 3</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>e. 6</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. 4</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>f. 7</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15. PROGRAM INCOME SHALL BE USED IN ACCORD WITH ONE OF THE FOLLOWING ALTERNATIVES:

| a. DEDUCTION |
| b. ADDITIONAL COSTS |
| c. MATCHING |
| d. OTHER RESEARCH (Add / Deduct Option) |
| e. OTHER (See REMARKS) |

16. THIS AWARD IS BASED ON AN APPLICATION SUBMITTED TO, AND AS APPROVED BY, THE FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY ON THE ABOVE TITLED PROJECT AND IS SUBJECT TO THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS INCORPORATED EITHER DIRECTLY OR BY REFERENCE IN THE FOLLOWING:

| a. The grant program legislation |
| b. The grant program regulations |
| c. This award notice including terms and conditions, if any, noted below under REMARKS |
| d. Federal administrative requirements, cost principles and audit requirements applicable to this grant |

In the event there are conflicting or otherwise inconsistent policies applicable to the grant, the above order of precedence shall prevail. Acceptance of the grant terms and conditions is acknowledged by the grantee when funds are drawn or otherwise obtained from the grant payment system.

REMARKS (Other Terms and Conditions Attached - Yes No)

GRANTS MANAGEMENT OFFICIAL:
Megan Brown, Chief - State, Tribal, Local, Plans & Grants
1849 C Street NW
7360
Washington, DC 20240-1000
Phone: 202 354 2062

17. VENDOR CODE 0071394328
18. DUNS 006530661
19. CONG. DIST. 14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LINE#</th>
<th>FINANCIAL ACCT</th>
<th>AMT OF FIN ASST</th>
<th>START DATE</th>
<th>END DATE</th>
<th>TAS ACCT</th>
<th>PO LINE DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0051011693-00010</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>09/30/2021</td>
<td>09/30/2024</td>
<td>5140</td>
<td>FY201 SAT MI Rehabilitation of the Comma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REMARKS

1. Grant Agreement
   between
   the United States Department of the Interior
   National Park Service
   and
   the Above-Named Recipient

Articles

1. ARTICLE I – DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR STANDARD TERMS AND CONDITIONS

   Recipients must also adhere the Department of Interior Standard Terms and Conditions located at https://www.doi.gov/grants/doi-standard-terms-and-conditions.

2. Legal Authority

   NPS enters into this Agreement pursuant to:

   1. National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), 54 USC 300101 et seq.

3. Performance Goals and Project Objectives
The objective of this Agreement is to provide preservation and/or conservation assistance to nationally significant historic properties and collections. Grants are awarded through a competitive process and require a dollar-for-dollar, non-Federal match, which can be cash or documented in-kind. The grant program is administered by the National Park Service (NPS) in partnership with the National Endowment for the Arts (NEA), the National Endowment for the Humanities (NEH), and the Institute of Museum and Library Services (IMLS) to provide Historic Preservation Funds (HPF), through the Save America’s Treasures grant program, to protect culturally and historically significant properties by funding pre-development and development on nationally significant properties for the benefit of future generations.

4. Performance Goals and Project Objectives

This grant program enables eligible grantees, as stated in the Notice of Funding Opportunity, across the nation to participate in a nationwide historic preservation program and meet the goals of 54 U.S.C. 300101 et seq., commonly known as the National Historic Preservation Act.


Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, access to National Park Service (NPS) property, personnel, or resources may be limited at the start of the agreement. Any performance that requires access to National Park Service property, personnel, or resources shall not commence until the recipient receives confirmation from the NPS Financial Assistance Awarding Officer of the availability of those resources. The recipient shall contact the NPS Financial Assistance Awarding Officer for approval prior to incurring any costs for performance that requires access to National Park Service property or resources. Such approvals can only be provided by the NPS Financial Assistance Awarding Officer. In the event of a prolonged unavailability of resources, the period of performance may be modified to a later date, or the agreement may be cancelled, by either the National Park Service or the recipient, in its entirety. In addition, the recipient shall contact the NPS Financial Assistance Awarding Officer to coordinate any other changes to the agreement that may be needed to ensure successful performance during the COVID-19 pandemic.

6. Statement of Work

The Statement of Work to be performed in accordance with the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation and as determined eligible in the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), 54 U.S.C 300101 et seq., and in the Historic Preservation Grant Fund Manual.

The Statement of Work is further defined in an addendum at the end of this Notice of Award.

7. Responsibilities of the Parties

1. The Recipient agrees to:
   1. 1. The Recipient shall carry out the Statement of Work in accordance with the terms and conditions stated herein, such as the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation.
   2. The Recipient shall adhere to all applicable Federal, state, and local laws, regulations, and codes, such as the National Historic Preservation Act.

2. No substantial involvement on the part of the NPS is anticipated for the successful completion of
the statement of work detailed in this award. It is anticipated that involvement will be limited to 
actions related to monitoring project performance and technical assistance at the request of the 
recipient.

8. Cost-Share Requirement

Non-Federal cost-share is required for costs incurred under this Agreement, as identified in the attached 
project budget. If pre-award costs are authorized, reimbursement of these costs is limited to Federal cost 
share percentage identified in this agreement.

9. Pre-Award Incurrence of Costs

The Recipient is not authorized to incur costs prior to the award of this Agreement. Costs incurred prior 
to the award of this agreement are not allowable.

10. Administrative & Indirect Costs

The Recipient has chose not to use a federally approved negotiated indirect cost rate.

The federally-negotiated indirect rate plus administrative costs to be applied against this agreement, by 
statute 54 U.S.C. § 302902, shall not exceed 25% of the total budget.

Administrative costs are defined as: Allowable, reasonable, and allocable costs related to the overall 
management of activities directly related to finance (accounting, auditing, budgeting, contracting), 
general administrative salaries and wages (grant administration, personnel, property management, equal 
opportunity) and other overhead functions (general legal services, general liability insurance, depreciation 
on buildings and equipment, etc.) not directly attributable to specific program areas identified in the grant 
agreement. All administrative costs reported must be absolutely necessary for project and/or program 
implementation, such as the cost items identified in the final grant agreement or items otherwise approved 
in writing by the NPS Awarding Officer (AO).

11. Key Officials

1. Communications. Recipient shall address any communication regarding this Agreement to the 
ATR/Program Officer with a copy to the Awarding/Grants Management Officer. Communications 
that relate solely to technical matters may be sent only to the ATR/Program Officer.

2. Changes in Key Officials. Neither the NPS nor Recipient may make any permanent change in a key 
official without written notice to the other party reasonably in advance of the proposed change. The 
notice will include a justification with sufficient detail to permit evaluation of the impact of such a 
change on the scope of work specified within this Agreement. Any permanent change in key 
officials will be made only by modification to this Agreement.

12. Award and Payment

1. The NPS will provide funding to the Recipient in an amount not to exceed the figure in block 11m 
of the Notice of Award for the Statement of Work described in Article VI and in accordance with 
the NPS approved budget. The approved budget detail is incorporated herein. Any award beyond 
the current fiscal year is subject to availability of funds. Acceptance of a Federal financial 
assistance award from the Department of the Interior carries with it the responsibility to be aware 
of, and comply with the terms and conditions within this award document. Acceptance is defined as 
the start of work, drawing down funds, or accepting the award via electronic means.

2. Recipient shall request payment in accordance with the following:
1. **Method of Payment.** Payment will be made by advance and/or reimbursement through the Department of Treasury’s Automated Standard Application for Payments (ASAP) system.

2. **Requesting Advances.** Requests for advances must be submitted via the ASAP system. Requests may be submitted as frequently as required to meet the needs of the Financial Assistance (FA) Recipient to disburse funds for the Federal share of project costs. If feasible, each request should be timed so that payment is received on the same day that the funds are dispersed for direct project costs and/or the proportionate share of any allowable indirect costs. If same-day transfers are not feasible, advance payments must be as close to actual disbursements as administratively feasible.

3. **Requesting Reimbursement.** Requests for reimbursements must be submitted via the ASAP system. Requests for reimbursement should coincide with normal billing patterns. Each request must be limited to the amount of disbursements made for the Federal share of direct project costs and the proportionate share of allowable indirect costs incurred during that billing period.

4. **Adjusting Payment Requests for Available Cash.** Funds that are available from repayments to, and interest earned on, a revolving fund, program income, rebates, refunds, contract settlements, audit recoveries, credits, discounts, and interest earned on any of those funds must be disbursed before requesting additional cash payments.

5. **Bank Accounts.** All payments are made through electronic funds transfer to the bank account identified in the ASAP system by the FA Recipient.

6. **Supporting Documents and Agency Approval of Payments.** Additional supporting documentation and prior NPS approval of payments may be required when/if a FA Recipient is determined to be “high risk” or has performance issues. If prior Agency payment approval is in effect for an award, the ASAP system will notify the FA Recipient when they submit a request for payment. The Recipient must then notify the NPS AO that a payment request has been submitted. The NPS AO may request additional information from the Recipient to support the payment request prior to approving the release of funds, as deemed necessary. The FA Recipient is required to comply with these requests. Supporting documents may include invoices, copies of contracts, vendor quotes, and other expenditure explanations that justify the reimbursement requests.

3. In order to receive a financial assistance award and to ensure proper payment, it is required that the Recipient maintain their registration with the System for Award Management (SAM), accessed at http://www.sam.gov. Failure to maintain registration can impact obligations and payments under this Agreement and/or any other financial assistance or procurement documents the Recipient may have with the Federal government.

4. Any award beyond the current fiscal year is subject to availability of funds; funds may be provided in subsequent fiscal years if project work is satisfactory and funding is available.

5. **Allowable and Eligible Costs.** Expenses charged against awards under the Agreement may not be incurred prior to the beginning of the Start Date of the Agreement, and may be incurred only as necessary to carry out the approved objectives, scope of work and budget with prior approval from the NPS AO. The Recipient shall not incur costs or obligate funds for any purpose pertaining to the operation of the project, program, or activities beyond the expiration date stipulated in the award.

6. **Travel Costs.** For travel costs charged against awards under the Agreement, costs incurred must be considered reasonable and otherwise allowable only to the extent such costs do not exceed charges normally allowed by the Recipient in its regular operations as a result of the Recipient’s written travel policy. If the Recipient does not have written travel policies established, the Recipient and its contractors shall follow the travel policies in the Federal Travel Regulation, and may not be reimbursed for travel costs that exceed the standard rates. All charges for travel must conform to the applicable cost principles.

7. **Indirect Costs.** Indirect costs will not be allowable charges against the award unless specifically
included as a line item in the approved budget incorporated into the award.

8. **Recipient Cost Share or Match.** Any non-Federal share, whether in cash or in-kind, is expected to be paid out at the same general rate as the Federal share. Exceptions to this requirement may be granted by the AO based on sufficient documentation demonstrating previously determined plans for or later commitment of cash or in-kind contributions. In any case, the Recipient must meet their cost share commitment over the life of the award.

13. Prior Approval

   The Recipient shall obtain prior approval for budget and program revisions, in accordance with 2 CFR 200.308.

14. Insurance and Liability

   Flow-down: For the purposes of this clause, "recipient" includes such sub-recipients, contractors, or subcontractors as, in the judgment of the recipient and subject to the Government's determination of sufficiency, have sufficient resources and/or maintain adequate and appropriate insurance to achieve the purposes of this clause.

15. Reports and/or Outputs/Outcomes

   1. Refer to the last page of the Notice of Award document for Federal Financial reporting frequency and due dates. Performance reports are also required at the same reporting frequency and due dates as the FFR. Reports must be submitted through the GrantSolutions "Manage Reports" functionality.

   2. A final Performance Report and a final Federal Financial Report will be due 120 days after the end-date of the Term of Agreement. If the recipient does not submit the final report before the required due date, NPS is required to submit a finding of non-compliance to the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS). Each report shall be submitted as described above.

   3. The Secretary of the Interior and the Comptroller General of the United States, or their duly authorized representatives, will have access, for the purpose of financial or programmatic review and examination, to any books, documents, papers, and records that are pertinent to the Agreement at all reasonable times during the period of retention in accordance with 2 CFR 200.333.

   4. Specific projects, tasks, or activities for which funds are reimbursed and/or advanced will be tracked and reported by the grantee's submission as defined in an addendum at the end of this Notice of Award.

16. Property Utilization

   All tools, equipment, and facilities furnished by NPS will be on a loan basis. Tools, equipment and facilities will be returned in the same condition received except for normal wear and tear in project use. Property management standards set forth in 2 CFR 200.310 through 200.316 applies to this Agreement.

17. Modification, Remedies for Noncompliance, Termination

   1. This Agreement may be modified at any time, prior to the expiration date, only by a written instrument executed by both parties. Modifications will be in writing and approved by the NPS Awarding Officer and the authorized representative of Recipient.
2. Additional conditions may be imposed by NPS if it is determined that the Recipient is non–compliant to the terms and conditions of this agreement. Remedies for Noncompliance can be found in 2 CFR 200.339.

3. This Agreement may be terminated consistent with applicable termination provisions for Agreements found in 2 CFR 200.340 through 200.343.

18. Reporting of Matters Related to Recipient Integrity and Performance

1. General Reporting Requirement
   If the total value of your currently active grants, cooperative agreements, and procurement contracts from all Federal awarding agencies exceeds $10,000,000 for any period of time during the period of performance of this Federal award, then you, as the recipient, during that period of time must maintain the currency of information reported to the System for Award Management (SAM) that is made available in the designated integrity and performance system (currently the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS)) about civil, criminal, or administrative proceedings described in paragraph 2 of this award term and condition. This is a statutory requirement under section 872 of Public Law 110-417, as amended (41 U.S.C. 2313). As required by section 3010 of Public Law 111-212, all information posted in the designated integrity and performance system on or after April 15, 2011, except past performance reviews required for Federal procurement contracts, will be publicly available.

2. Proceedings You Must Report
   Submit the information required about each proceeding that:
   1. Is in connection with the award or performance of a grant, cooperative agreement, or procurement contract from the Federal Government;
   2. Reached its final disposition during the most recent five year period; and
   3. Is one of the following:
      1. A criminal proceeding that resulted in a conviction, as defined in paragraph 5 of this award term and condition;
      2. A civil proceeding that resulted in a finding of fault and liability and payment of a monetary fine, penalty, reimbursement, restitution, or damages of $5,000 or more;
      3. An administrative proceeding, as defined in paragraph 5 of this award term and condition, that resulted in a finding of fault and liability and payment of either a monetary fine or penalty of $5,000 or more; or reimbursement, restitution, or damages in excess of $100,000; or
      4. Any other criminal, civil, or administrative proceeding if:
         1. It could have led to an outcome described in paragraph 2.3.(1), (2), or (3) of this award term and condition;
         2. It had a different disposition arrived at by consent or compromise with an acknowledgment of fault on your part; and
         3. The requirement in this award term and condition to disclose information about the proceeding does not conflict with applicable laws and regulations.

3. Reporting Procedures
   Enter in the SAM Entity Management area the information that SAM requires about each proceeding described in paragraph 2 of this award term and condition. You do not need to submit the information a second time under assistance awards that you received if you already provided the information through SAM because you were required to do so under Federal procurement contracts that you were awarded.

4. Reporting Frequency
   During any period of time when you are subject to the requirement in paragraph 1 of this award term and condition, you must report proceedings information through SAM for the most recent five
year period, either to report new information about any proceeding(s) that you have not reported previously or affirm that there is no new information to report. Recipients that have Federal contract, grant, and cooperative agreement awards with a cumulative total value greater than $10,000,000 must disclose semiannually any information about the criminal, civil, and administrative proceedings.

5. Definitions
For purposes of this award term and condition:
1. Administrative proceeding means a non-judicial process that is adjudicatory in nature in order to make a determination of fault or liability (e.g., Securities and Exchange Commission Administrative proceedings, Civilian Board of Contract Appeals proceedings, and Armed Services Board of Contract Appeals proceedings). This includes proceedings at the Federal and State level but only in connection with performance of a Federal contract or grant. It does not include audits, site visits, corrective plans, or inspection of deliverables.
2. Conviction means a judgment or conviction of a criminal offense by any court of competent jurisdiction, whether entered upon a verdict or a plea, and includes a conviction entered upon a plea of nolo contendere.
3. Total value of currently active grants, cooperative agreements, and procurement contracts includes—
   1. Only the Federal share of the funding under any Federal award with a recipient cost share or match; and
   2. The value of all expected funding increments under a Federal award and options, even if not yet exercised.

19. Funding Used for the Operation of Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS)

If Federal funding is provided to a State, local, tribal, or territorial government for the use of UAS for their operations, the recipient must have in place policies and procedures to safeguard individuals' privacy, civil rights, and civil liberties prior to expending such funds.

Per the policy memorandum issued by National Park Service Director, dated June 19, 2014, the launching, landing, and operating of unmanned aircraft, that is not under the control of the Federal government, on lands and waters administered by the National Park Service is prohibited unless approval is received from the Associate Director for such purposes as: Scientific study, search and rescue operations, fire operations, and law enforcement.

Administrative use includes the use of unmanned aircraft by
- NPS personnel as operators or crew;
- cooperators such as government agencies and universities that conduct unmanned aircraft operations for the NPS pursuant to a written agreement; and
- other entities, including commercial entities, conducting unmanned aircraft operations for the NPS, provided such entities are in compliance with all applicable FAA and Department of the Interior requirements.

20. Patents and Inventions (37 CFR 401)

Recipients of agreements which support experimental, developmental, or research work shall be subject to applicable regulations governing patents and inventions, including the government-wide regulations issued by the Department of Commerce at 37 CFR 401, Rights to Inventions Made by Non-profit Organizations and Small Business Firms Under Government Grants, Contracts and Cooperative Agreements. These regulations do not apply to any agreement made primarily for educational purposes.
In accordance with 37 CFR 401.3(a), the provision at 37 CFR 401.14(a), with authorized modifications for the National Park Service, is hereby included in this agreement:

1. **Definitions**
   1. *Invention* means any invention or discovery which is or may be patentable or otherwise protectable under Title 35 of the United States Code, or any novel variety of plant which is or may be protected under the Plant Variety Protection Act (7 U.S.C. 2321 et seq.).
   2. *Subject invention* means any invention of the recipient conceived or first actually reduced to practice in the performance of work under this agreement, provided that in the case of a variety of plant, the date of determination (as defined in section 41(d) of the Plant Variety Protection Act, 7 U.S.C. 2401(d)) must also occur during the period of agreement performance.
   3. *Practical Application* means to manufacture in the case of a composition or product, to practice in the case of a process or method, or to operate in the case of a machine or system; and, in each case, under such conditions as to establish that the invention is being utilized and that its benefits are, to the extent permitted by law or government regulations, available to the public on reasonable terms.
   4. *Made* when used in relation to any invention means the conception or first actual reduction to practice of such invention.
   5. *Small Business Firm* means a small business concern as defined at section 2 of Public Law 85-536 (15 U.S.C. 632) and implementing regulations of the Administrator of the Small Business Administration. For the purpose of this provision, the size standards for small business concerns involved in government procurement and subcontracting at 13 CFR 121.3-8 and 13 CFR 121.3-12, respectively, will be used.
   6. *Nonprofit Organization* means a university or other institution of higher education or an organization of the type described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (26 U.S.C. 501(c) and exempt from taxation under section 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code (25 U.S.C. 501(a)) or any nonprofit scientific or educational organization qualified under a state nonprofit organization statute.

2. **Allocation of Principal Rights**
   The Recipient may retain the entire right, title, and interest throughout the world to each subject invention subject to this provision and 35 U.S.C. 203. With respect to any subject invention in which the Recipient retains title, the Federal government shall have a nonexclusive, nontransferable, irrevocable, paid-up license to practice or have practiced for or on behalf of the United States the subject invention throughout the world.

3. **Invention Disclosure, Election of Title and Filing of Patent Application by Recipient**
   1. The Recipient will disclose each subject invention to the National Park Service within two months after the inventor discloses it in writing to Recipient personnel responsible for patent matters. The disclosure to the National Park Service shall be in the form of a written report and shall identify the agreement under which the invention was made and the inventor(s). It shall be sufficiently complete in technical detail to convey a clear understanding to the extent known at the time of the disclosure, of the nature, purpose, operation, and the physical, chemical, biological or electrical characteristics of the invention. The disclosure shall also identify any publication, on sale or public use of the invention and whether a manuscript describing the invention has been submitted for publication and, if so, whether it has been accepted for publication at the time of disclosure. In addition, after disclosure to the National
Park Service, the Recipient will promptly notify the National Park Service of the acceptance of any manuscript describing the invention for publication or of any on sale or public use planned by the Recipient.

2. The Recipient will elect in writing whether or not to retain title to any such invention by notifying the National Park Service within two years of disclosure to the National Park Service. However, in any case where publication, on sale or public use has initiated the one year statutory period wherein valid patent protection can still be obtained in the United States, the period for election of title may be shortened by the National Park Service to a date that is no more than 60 days prior to the end of the statutory period.

3. The Recipient will file its initial patent application on a subject invention to which it elects to retain title within one year after election of title or, if earlier, prior to the end of any statutory period wherein valid patent protection can be obtained in the United States after a publication, on sale, or public use. The Recipient will file patent applications in additional countries or international patent offices within either ten months of the corresponding initial patent application or six months from the date permission is granted by the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks to file foreign patent applications where such filing has been prohibited by a Secrecy Order.

4. Requests for extension of the time for disclosure, election, and filing under subparagraphs (1), (2), and (3) may, at the discretion of the National Park Service, be granted.

4. **Conditions When the Government May Obtain Title**

   The Recipient will convey to the National Park Service, upon written request, title to any subject inventions

   1. If the Recipient fails to disclose or elect title to the subject invention within the times specified in paragraph 3, above, or elects not to retain title; provided that the National Park Service may only request title within 60 days after learning of the failure of the Recipient to disclose or elect within the specified times.

   2. In those countries in which the Recipient fails to file patent applications within the times specified in paragraph 3 above; provided, however, that if the Recipient has filed a patent application in a country after the times specified in paragraph 3 above, but prior to its receipt of the written request of the National Park Service, the Recipient shall continue to retain title in that country.

   3. In any country in which the Recipient decides not to continue the prosecution of any application for, to pay the maintenance fees on, or defend in reexamination or opposition proceeding on, a patent on a subject invention.

5. **Minimum Rights to Recipient and Protection of the Recipient Right to File**

   1. The Recipient will retain a nonexclusive royalty-free license throughout the world in each subject invention to which the Government obtains title, except if the Recipient fails to disclose the invention within the times specified in paragraph 3, above. The Recipient's license extends to its domestic subsidiary and affiliates, if any, within the corporate structure of which the Recipient is a party and includes the right to grant sublicenses of the same scope to the extent the Recipient was legally obligated to do so at the time the agreement was awarded. The license is transferable only with the approval of the National Park Service except when transferred to the successor of that party of the Recipient's business to which the invention pertains.

   2. The Recipient's domestic license may be revoked or modified by the National Park Service to
the extent necessary to achieve expeditious practical application of the subject invention pursuant to an application for an exclusive license submitted in accordance with applicable provisions at 37 CFR part 404 and the National Park Service licensing regulations (if any). This license will not be revoked in that field of use or the geographical areas in which the Recipient has achieved practical application and continues to make the benefits of the invention reasonably accessible to the public. The license in any foreign country may be revoked or modified at the discretion of the National Park Service to the extent the Recipient, its licensees, or the domestic subsidiaries or affiliates have failed to achieve practical application in that foreign country.

3. Before revocation or modification of the license, the National Park Service will furnish the Recipient a written notice of its intention to revoke or modify the license, and the Recipient will be allowed thirty days (or such other time as may be authorized by the National Park Service for good cause shown by the Recipient) after the notice to show cause why the license should not be revoked or modified. The Recipient has the right to appeal, in accordance with applicable regulations in 37 CFR part 404 and National Park Service regulations (if any) concerning the licensing of Government-owned inventions, any decision concerning the revocation or modification of the license.

6. Recipient Action to Protect the Government's Interest
   1. The Recipient agrees to execute or to have executed and promptly deliver to the National Park Service all instruments necessary to
      1. establish or confirm the rights the Government has throughout the world in those subject inventions to which the Recipient elects to retain title, and
      2. convey title to the National Park Service when requested under paragraph 4 above and to enable the government to obtain patent protection throughout the world in that subject invention.
   2. The Recipient agrees to require, by written agreement, its employees, other than clerical and non-technical employees, to disclose promptly in writing to personnel identified as responsible for the administration of patent matters and in a format suggested by the Recipient each subject invention made under agreement in order that the Recipient can comply with the disclosure provisions of paragraph (c), above, and to execute all papers necessary to file patent applications on subject inventions and to establish the government's rights in the subject inventions. This disclosure format should require, as a minimum, the information required by (3)(1), above. The Recipient shall instruct such employees through employee agreements or other suitable educational programs on the importance of reporting inventions in sufficient time to permit the filing of patent applications prior to U.S. or foreign statutory bars.
   3. The Recipient will notify the National Park Service of any decisions not to continue the prosecution of a patent application, pay maintenance fees, or defend in a reexamination or opposition proceeding on a patent, in any country, not less than thirty days before the expiration of the response period required by the relevant patent office.
   4. The Recipient agrees to include, within the specification of any United States patent applications and any patent issuing thereon covering a subject invention, the following statement, "This invention was made with government support under (identify the agreement) awarded by (identify the Federal agency). The government has certain rights in the invention."

7. Subcontracts
   The Recipient will include this provision, suitably modified to identify the parties, in all sub-agreements or subcontracts, regardless of tier, for experimental, developmental or research work.
The sub-recipient or subcontractor will retain all rights provided for the Recipient in this provision, and the Recipient will not, as part of the consideration for awarding the sub-agreement or subcontract, obtain rights in the sub-recipient's or subcontractor's subject inventions.

8. Reporting on Utilization of Subject Inventions
   The Recipient agrees to submit on request periodic reports no more frequently than annually on the utilization of a subject invention or on efforts at obtaining such utilization that are being made by the Recipient or its licensees or assignees. Such reports shall include information regarding the status of development, date of first commercial sale or use, gross royalties received by the Recipient, and such other data and information as the National Park Service may reasonably specify. The Recipient also agrees to provide additional reports as may be requested by the National Park Service in connection with any march-in proceeding undertaken by the National Park Service in accordance with paragraph (10) of this provision. As required by 35 U.S.C. 202(c)(5), the National Park Service agrees it will not disclose such information to persons outside the government without permission of the Recipient.

9. Preference for United States Industry
   Notwithstanding any other part of this provision, the Recipient agrees that neither it nor any assignee will grant to any person the exclusive right to use or sell any subject inventions in the United States unless such person agrees that any products embodying the subject invention or produced through the use of the subject invention will be manufactured substantially in the United States. However, in individual cases, the requirement for such an agreement may be waived by the National Park Service upon a showing by the Recipient or its assignee that reasonable but unsuccessful efforts have been made to grant licenses on similar terms to potential licensees that would be likely to manufacture substantially in the United States or that under the circumstances domestic manufacture is not commercially feasible.

10. March-in Rights
   The Recipient agrees that with respect to any subject invention in which it has acquired title, the National Park Service has the right in accordance with the procedures in 37 CFR 401.6 and any supplemental regulations of the National Park Service to require the Recipient, an assignee or exclusive licensee of a subject invention to grant a nonexclusive, partially exclusive, or exclusive license in any field of use to a responsible applicant or applicants, upon terms that are reasonable under the circumstances, and if the Recipient, assignee, or exclusive licensee refuses such a request the National Park Service has the right to grant such a license itself if the National Park Service determines that:
   1. Such action is necessary because the Recipient or assignee has not taken, or is not expected to take within a reasonable time, effective steps to achieve practical application of the subject invention in such field of use.
   2. Such action is necessary to alleviate health or safety needs, which are not reasonably satisfied by the Recipient, assignee or their licensees;
   3. Such action is necessary to meet requirements for public use specified by Federal regulations and such requirements are not reasonably satisfied by the Recipient, assignee or licensees; or
   4. Such action is necessary because the agreement required by paragraph (i) of this provision has not been obtained or waived or because a licensee of the exclusive right to use or sell any subject invention in the United States is in breach of such agreement.

11. Special Provisions for Agreements with Nonprofit Organizations
If the Recipient is a nonprofit organization, it agrees that:

1. Rights to a subject invention in the United States may not be assigned without the approval of the National Park Service, except where such assignment is made to an organization which has as one of its primary functions the management of inventions, provided that such assignee will be subject to the same provisions as the Recipient;

2. The Recipient will share royalties collected on a subject invention with the inventor, including Federal employee co-inventors (when the National Park Service deems it appropriate) when the subject invention is assigned in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 202(e) and 37 CFR 401.10;

3. The balance of any royalties or income earned by the Recipient with respect to subject inventions, after payment of expenses (including payments to inventors) incidental to the administration of subject inventions, will be utilized for the support of scientific research or education; and

4. It will make efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to attract licensees of subject invention that are small business firms and that it will give a preference to a small business firm when licensing a subject invention if the Recipient determines that the small business firm has a plan or proposal for marketing the invention which, if executed, is equally as likely to bring the invention to practical application as any plans or proposals from applicants that are not small business firms; provided, that the Recipient is also satisfied that the small business firm has the capability and resources to carry out its plan or proposal. The decision whether to give a preference in any specific case will be at the discretion of the Recipient. However, the Recipient agrees that the National Park Service may review the Recipient's licensing program and decisions regarding small business applicants, and the Recipient will negotiate changes to its licensing policies, procedures, or practices with the National Park Service when this review discloses that the Recipient could take reasonable steps to implement more effectively the requirements of this paragraph (11)(4).

12. Communication

Communications regarding matters relating to this provision shall be directed to:

Deputy Associate Solicitor
Branch of Procurements and Patents
Office of the Solicitor
U.S. Department of the Interior
1849 C Street, NW
Washington, DC 20240

21. Strengthening Buy-American Preferences for Infrastructure Projects per E.O. 13858

Per Executive Order 13858, entitled "Strengthening Buy-American Preferences for Infrastructure Projects" the Recipient shall maximize, consistent with law, the use of iron and steel goods, products, and materials produced in the United States, for infrastructure projects as defined by the Executive Order when the statement of work includes alteration, construction, conversion, demolition, extension, improvement, maintenance, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or repair.


While the requirements of Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended (29 U.S.C. § 794d), do not apply to financial assistance agreements, the NPS is subject to the Act's requirements that all documents posted on an NPS or NPS-hosted website comply with the accessibility standards of the Act. Accordingly, final deliverable reports prepared under this agreement and submitted in electronic format
must be submitted in a format whereby NPS can easily meet the requirements of Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, as amended. NOTE: Quarterly Progress Reports and financial reports are not considered final deliverables and therefore the following requirements do not apply.


The following summarizes some of the requirements for preparing NPS reports in conformance with Section 508 for eventual posting by NPS to an NPS-sponsored website. For specific detailed guidance and checklists for creating accessible digital content, please go to https://section508.gov/create. All accessible digital content must conform to the requirements and techniques of the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (WCAG) 2.0 or later (https://www.w3.org/WAI/standards-guidelines/wcag/), Level AA Success Criteria.

- **Electronic documents with images**
  Provide a text equivalent for every non-text element (including photographs, charts and equations) in all publications prepared in electronic format. Use descriptions such as "alt" and "longdesc" for all non-text images or place them in element content. For all documents prepared, vendors must prepare one standard HTML format as described in this statement of work AND one text format that includes descriptions for all non-text images. "Text equivalent" means text sufficient to reasonably describe the image. Images that are merely decorative require only a very brief "text equivalent" description. However, images that convey information that is important to the content of the report require text sufficient to reasonably describe that image and its purpose within the context of the report.

- **Electronic documents with complex charts or data tables**
  When preparing tables that are heavily designed, prepare adequate alternate information so that assistive technologies can read them out. Identify row and column headers for data tables. Provide the information in a non-linear form. Markups will be used to associate data cells and header cells for data tables that have two or more logical levels of row and column headers.

- **Electronic documents with forms**
  When electronic forms are designed to be completed on-line, the form will allow people using assistive technology to access the information, field elements, and functionality required for completion and submission of the form, including all directions and cues.


1. **Lobbying Prohibition.** 18 U.S.C. §1913, Lobbying with Appropriated Moneys, as amended by Public Law 107–273, Nov. 2. 2002 Violations of this section shall constitute violations of section 1352(a) of title 31. In addition, the related restrictions on the use of appropriated funds found in Div. F, § 402 of the Omnibus Appropriations Act of 2008 (P.L. 110–161) also apply.

2. **Anti–Deficiency Act.** Pursuant to 31 U.S.C. §1341 nothing contained in this Agreement shall be construed as binding the NPS to expend in any one fiscal year any sum in excess of appropriations made by Congress, for the purposes of this Agreement for that fiscal year, or other obligation for the further expenditure of money in excess of such appropriations.

3. **Minority Business Enterprise Development.** Pursuant to Executive Order 12432 it is national
policy to award a fair share of contracts to small and minority firms. NPS is strongly committed to the objectives of this policy and encourages all recipients of its Cooperative Agreements to take affirmative steps to ensure such fairness by ensuring procurement procedures are carried out in accordance with the Executive Order.

4. **Assignment.** No part of this Agreement shall be assigned to any other party without prior written approval of the NPS and the Assignee.

5. **Member of Congress.** Pursuant to 41 U.S.C. § 22, no Member of Congress shall be admitted to any share or part of any contract or agreement made, entered into, or adopted by or on behalf of the United States, or to any benefit to arise thereupon.

6. **Agency.** The Recipient is not an agent or representative of the United States, the Department of the Interior, NPS, or the Park, nor will the Recipient represent its self as such to third parties. NPS employees are not agents of the Recipient and will not act on behalf of the Recipient.

7. **Non–Exclusive Agreement.** This Agreement in no way restricts the Recipient or NPS from entering into similar agreements, or participating in similar activities or arrangements, with other public or private agencies, organizations, or individuals.

8. **Partial Invalidity.** If any provision of this Agreement or the application thereof to any party or circumstance shall, to any extent, be held invalid or unenforceable, the remainder of this Agreement or the application of such provision to the parties or circumstances other than those to which it is held invalid or unenforceable, shall not be affected thereby and each provision of this Agreement shall be valid and be enforced to the fullest extent permitted by law.

9. **No Employment Relationship.** This Agreement is not intended to and shall not be construed to create an employment relationship between NPS and Recipient or its representatives. No representative of Recipient shall perform any function or make any decision properly reserved by law or policy to the Federal government.

10. **No Third–Party Rights.** This Agreement creates enforceable obligations between only NPS and Recipient. Except as expressly provided herein, it is not intended nor shall it be construed to create any right of enforcement by or any duties or obligation in favor of persons or entities not a party to this Agreement.

11. **Program Income.** If the Recipient earns program income, as defined in 2 CFR §200.80, during the period of performance of this agreement, to the extent available the Recipient must disburse funds available from program income, and interest earned on such funds, before requesting additional cash payments (2 CFR§200.305 (5)). As allowed under 2 CFR §200.307, program income may be added to the Federal award by the Federal agency and the non-Federal entity. The program income must be used for the purposes, and under the conditions of, the Federal award. Disposition of program income remaining after the end of the period of performance shall be negotiated as part of the agreement closeout process.

12. **Rights in Data.** The Recipient must grant the United States of America a royalty–free, non–exclusive and irrevocable license to publish, reproduce and use, and dispose of in any manner and for any purpose without limitation, and to authorize or ratify publication, reproduction or use by others, of all copyrightable material first produced or composed under this Agreement by the Recipient, its employees or any individual or concern specifically employed or assigned to originate and prepare such material.

13. **Conflict of Interest**
   1. **Applicability.**
      1. This section intends to ensure that non-Federal entities and their employees take appropriate steps to avoid conflicts of interest in their responsibilities under or with respect to Federal financial assistance agreements.
      2. In the procurement of supplies, equipment, construction, and services by recipients and by subrecipients, the conflict of interest provisions in 2 CFR 200.318 apply.
   2. **Requirements.**
1. Non-Federal entities must avoid prohibited conflicts of interest, including any significant financial interests that could cause a reasonable person to question the recipient's ability to provide impartial, technically sound, and objective performance under or with respect to a Federal financial assistance agreement.

2. In addition to any other prohibitions that may apply with respect to conflicts of interest, no key official of an actual or proposed recipient or subrecipient, who is substantially involved in the proposal or project, may have been a former Federal employee who, within the last one (1) year, participated personally and substantially in the evaluation, award, or administration of an award with respect to that recipient or subrecipient or in development of the requirement leading to the funding announcement.

3. No actual or prospective recipient or subrecipient may solicit, obtain, or use non-public information regarding the evaluation, award, or administration of an award to that recipient or subrecipient or the development of a Federal financial assistance opportunity that may be of competitive interest to that recipient or subrecipient.

3. Notification.
   1. Non-Federal entities, including applicants for financial assistance awards, must disclose in writing any conflict of interest to the DOI awarding agency or pass-through entity in accordance with 2 CFR 200.112, Conflicts of interest.

4. Recipients must establish internal controls that include, at a minimum, procedures to identify, disclose, and mitigate or eliminate identified conflicts of interest. The recipient is responsible for notifying the Financial Assistance Officer in writing of any conflicts of interest that may arise during the life of the award, including those that have been reported by subrecipients.

Restrictions on Lobbying. Non-Federal entities are strictly prohibited from using funds under this grant or cooperative agreement for lobbying activities and must provide the required certifications and disclosures pursuant to 43 CFR Part 18 and 31 USC 1352.

5. Review Procedures. The Financial Assistance Officer will examine each conflict of interest disclosure on the basis of its particular facts and the nature of the proposed grant or cooperative agreement, and will determine whether a significant potential conflict exists and, if it does, develop an appropriate means for resolving it.

6. Enforcement. Failure to resolve conflicts of interest in a manner that satisfies the Government may be cause for termination of the award. Failure to make required disclosures may result in any of the remedies described in 2 CFR 200.338, Remedies for Noncompliance, including suspension or debarment (see also 2 CFR Part 180).

24. Signatures

Recipients are not required to sign the Notice of Financial Assistance Award letter or any other award document. As per DOI standard terms and conditions, the recipient's acceptance of a financial assistance award is defined as the start of work, drawing down of funds, or accepting the award via electronic means.

25. Prohibition on Certain Telecommunications and Video Surveillance Services or Equipment

1. Recipients and subrecipients are prohibited from obligating or expending loan or grant funds to:
   1. Procure or obtain;
   2. Extend or renew a contract to procure or obtain; or
   3. Enter into a contract (or extend or renew a contract) to procure or obtain equipment, services or systems that uses covered telecommunications equipment or services as a substantial or essential component of any system, or as critical technology as part of any system. As described in Public Law 115-232, section 889, covered telecommunications equipment is
telemcommunications equipment produced by Huawei Technologies Company or ZTE Corporation (or any subsidiary or affiliate of such entities).

1. For the purpose of public safety, security of government facilities, physical security surveillance of critical infrastructure and other national security purposes, video surveillance and telecommunications equipment produced by Hytera Communications Corporation, Hangzhou Hikvision Digital Technology Company or Dahua Technology Company (or any subsidiary or affiliate of such entities).

2. Telecommunications or video surveillance services provided by such entities or using such equipment.

3. Telecommunications or video surveillance equipment or services produced or provided by an entity that the secretary of defense, in consultation with the director of the national intelligence or the director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, reasonably believes to be an entity owned or controlled by, or otherwise connected to, the government of a covered foreign country.

2. In implementing the prohibition under Public Law 115-232, section 889, subsection (f), paragraph (1), heads of executive agencies administering loan, grant or subsidy programs shall prioritize available funding and technical support to assist affected businesses, institutions and organizations as is reasonably necessary for those affected entities to transition from covered communications equipment and services, to procure replacement equipment and services and to ensure that communications service to users and customers is sustained.

3. See Public Law 115-232, section 889, for additional information.

4. See also §200.471.

Program Specific Requirements

1. NPS Oversight

The NPS will provide oversight of this grant project through the following NPS reviews:

1. Review and approval of annual and final reporting to include compliance with 2 CFR 200;

2. Review and approval for compliance with the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation;

3. Review and approval for compliance with Sections 106 (54 USC 306108) and 110f (54 USC 306107) of the National Historic Preservation Act in coordination with the appropriate State Historic Preservation Office;

4. Review and approval for compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA);

5. Review and approval of project signage to notify the public of federal involvement; and

6. Any other reviews as determined by the NPS based on program needs or financial/programmatic risk factors (i.e., draft National Register nomination if required, etc.).

2. Determination of Risk

In accordance with 2 C.F.R. § 200.205, the application for this award was subjected to a pre-award risk assessment which included a review of information contained within the application, past audits, Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), and/or past performance on previous
Federal financial assistance awards and other factors.

This award has been determined to be a low risk with the following requirements:

Requests for payment may be made directly from the ASAP grant account without prior NPS approval after expenses have been incurred, invoiced, and paid. All documentation of expenses must be kept on file for audit purposes and may be requested by the NPS at any time. If payments are drawn down prior to invoice and payment or in amounts larger than costs incurred, the Recipient may be determined medium or high risk and be subject to additional grant terms and conditions.

3. Eligible Costs

Eligible costs under this award are as described in this Notice, 2 CFR 200, and the Historic Preservation Fund Grants Manual (HPF Manual).

For this program eligible costs also include:

1. Projects under the eligible program areas as defined by the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA);

2. Administrative costs necessary to complete and administer the grant requirements;

3. Rehabilitation of properties;
   1. Eligible properties include historic districts, buildings, sites, structures and objects listed in the National Register of Historic Places at the National tier of significance;
   2. All work must meet the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation; and
   3. All projects receiving repair assistance must enter into a preservation agreement/covenant/easement

4. Cost for administering an easement/covenant for the property;

5. Cost for any required audits or financial requests;

6. Cost for the production of project signs;

7. Costs for public notice of grant opportunities;

8. Costs associated with required training or reporting; and/

9. Any other costs as determined eligible by the NPS in accordance with the OMB circulars, NPS policies, and the Historic Preservation Fund Grants Manual.

4. Equipment Purchases

Each item of equipment purchased under this award must be approved specifically and in writing by the NPS prior to purchase to confirm the allowability of the costs. Approval of the application is not approval of equipment included within the application. Equipment is defined by 2 CFR 200.1 as tangible personal property (including information technology systems) having a useful life of more than one year and a per-unit acquisition cost which equals or exceeds the lesser of the capitalization level established by the non-federal entity for financial statement purposes, or $5,000.
5. Consultants & Contractors

Consultant/contractor(s) must have the requisite experience and training in historic preservation or relevant field to oversee the project work. All consultants and contractors must be competitively selected and documentation of this selection must be maintained by the grantee and be made readily available for examination by the NPS. Federal contracting and procurement guidance can be found in 2 CFR 200.318. Maximum rates charged to this grant may not exceed 120% of a Federal Civil Service GS-15, step 10 salary per project location. Current regional salary tables can be found on the Office of Personnel and Management website: https://www.opm.gov/policy-data-oversight/pay-leave/salaries-wages/.

6. Requirement for Project Sign & Public Notification

As stipulated in 36 CFR Part 800, public views and comments regarding all Federally-funded undertakings on historic properties must be sought and considered by the authorizing Federal agency. Therefore, the grantee is required to post a public notification regarding the undertaking under this grant in one or more of the major newspapers or news sources that cover the area affected by the project within 30 days of receiving this awarded grant agreement. A copy of the posted release must be submitted to NPS within 30 days of the posting.

HPF funded projects must create public notification of the project in the form of a project sign, website posting, and proper credit for announcements and publications as appropriate. Signage/notification must be submitted for approval by the ATR in advance. Also the sign/notification must be of reasonable and adequate design and construction to withstand weather exposure (if appropriate); be of a size that can be easily read from the public right-of-way; and be accessible to the public throughout the project term as stipulated in this agreement. At a minimum, all notifications must contain the following statement:

"[Project Name] is being supported in part by a Save America's Treasures grant from the Historic Preservation Fund administered by the National Park Service, Department of the Interior."

Additional information briefly identifying the historical significance of the property and recognizing other contributors is encouraged and permissible. The NPS arrowhead logo may only be used in conjunction with the HPF approved signage format that can be provided upon request. Any other use of the logo is prohibited.

Cost of posting, fabricating, and erecting notification are eligible grant costs.

7. Publicity & Press Releases

Press releases about this project must acknowledge the grant assistance provided by the Historic Preservation Fund and the National Park Service, and copies of the press releases must be provided to the NPS. The Recipient must transmit notice of any public ceremonies planned to publicize funded or related projects in a timely enough manner so that the NPS, Department of the Interior, Congressional or other Federal officials can attend if desired. All publicity and press releases related to activities funded with this award should include a statement that funding for the activity was provided (in part or in whole) by the Historic Preservation Fund (HPF) administered by the National Park Service.

8. Funding Acknowledgement

The grantee must include acknowledgment of grant support from the Historic Preservation Fund of the National Park Service, Department of Interior, in all deliverables and publications concerning NPS grant-supported activities as referenced in the Statement of Work.
All deliverables must contain the following disclaimer and acknowledgement:

"This material was produced with assistance from the Historic Preservation Fund, administered by the National Park Service, Department of the Interior, under Grant Number [insert grant number, block 4a of this Notice of Award's coversheet]. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Department of the Interior."

1. Deliverables/publications include but are not limited to grant project reports; books, pamphlets, brochures or magazines; video or audio files; documentation of events, including programs; invitations and photos; websites; mobile apps; exhibits; and interpretive signs.

2. All digital copies must follow the file naming convention described in the attached Digital Product Submission Guidelines. Refer to the attached guidance document for instructions on creating, naming and submitting digital copies of deliverables/publications.

3. All consultants hired by the grantee must be informed of this requirement.

4. Grantees, subgrantees, contractors may not use the NPS Arrowhead in any form without written permission.

9. Copyright

Per 2 CFR 200.315(b), the NPS reserves a royalty-free right to reproduce, publish, or otherwise use the work for Federal purposes, and to authorize others to do so, any materials produced under this grant. All photos included as part of the interim & final reporting and deliverables/publication will be considered released to the NPS for future official use. Photographer, date, and caption should be identified on each photo, so NPS may provide proper credit for use.

A digital (preferred) or physical copy of all deliverables must be available for public access. Sensitive information may be redacted from the public access copy.

All consultants hired by the Recipient must be informed of this requirement.

10. Easement/Covenant Requirement

Section 54 USC 302902 of the National Historic Preservation Act requires Historic Preservation Fund grantees agree to assume, after the completion of the project, the total cost of continued maintenance, repair and administration of the grant-assisted property in a manner satisfactory to the Secretary of the Interior. Accordingly, recipients awarded funds for the physical preservation of a historic site shall sign a preservation agreement/covenant/easement (easement) with the State or Tribal Historic Preservation Officer in which the site is located or with a nonprofit preservation organization acceptable to the NPS. For competitive (project) grants, a draft copy of the preservation covenant/easement template must be submitted to the NPS ATR within one year of grant agreement execution for review and comment.

All preservation easements must be executed by registering it with the deed of the property. Baseline documentation of the character defining features of the site must be documented prior to construction through photographs. The preservation easement must document the grant assisted condition of the site and the historic character defining features as part of the document registered with the deed.

The term of the preservation easement is dependent on the amount of assistance the historic property receives from this opportunity:
1. If the historic property is not currently protected by a preservation easement, a preservation easement must be executed for the term as given in the table below per the amount of funding awarded.

2. If the historic property is currently subject to a preservation easement that meets the minimum federal preservation requirements, an extension must be executed for an additional duration to meet the requirements of the new funding awarded. Required term is identified in the table below. For example, if a property had 10 years remaining on a previous 20-year easement, and receives $300,000 in HPF funding, an amendment to add 15 years would be required.

3. If the historic property is currently protected by a perpetual or other preservation easement that meets or exceeds the requirements of this grant program as determined by the NPS, no additional duration or restrictions are necessary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount of Federal Assistance Awarded</th>
<th>Covenant/Easement Term Requirement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1-$50,000</td>
<td>5-year minimum preservation agreement; a covenant/easement amending the deed is not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,001 - $250,000</td>
<td>10-year minimum preservation covenant/easement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$250,001 - $500,000</td>
<td>15-year minimum preservation covenant/easement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$500,001- $750,000</td>
<td>20-year minimum preservation covenant/easement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$750,001+</td>
<td>25-year minimum preservation covenant/easement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


The grantee must submit the following through HPFOnline:

1. a site plan that has the north direction clearly marked;

2. a city/county map with the site of the property clearly labeled;

3. set of plans and specifications for the project;

4. photographs (or digital images) of all exterior elevations of the building or site, with views identified and oriented and keyed to the site plan;

5. interior photographs of all major rooms and those involved in the project, labeled and keyed to a
6. for NHL Districts include overall views of the district from the project area; and

7. any additional information that will better enable a technical review of the project to be completed.

The grantee must submit documents for the entire undertaking to the NPS for its review and approval to ensure conformance with the Secretary of the Interior’s *Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*, Historic Preservation Fund Grant Manual, and with the conditions listed in this Grant Agreement, prior to the beginning of grant-assisted work. Work that does not comply with these Standards in the judgment of the NPS will not be reimbursed, and may cause the grant to be terminated and funds deobligated.

Plans & specifications for the project must be marked on the cover with this statement:

_The [name of property] is designated a National Historic Landmark for its architectural and historic significance. It is considered to have irreplaceable cultural, material, and aesthetic value. The work is funded in part by the Historic Preservation Fund, administered by the National Park Service, Department of the Interior. The funding of which is subject to having all work items meet The Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties._

12. Compliance with Section 106

Pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (54 USC 306108), the NPS and the grantee must complete the consultation process stipulated in the regulations issued by the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP) in 36 CFR 800 prior to the commencement of all grant-assisted construction or ground disturbance on the property.

13. Compliance with Section 110

Section 110 of The National Historic Preservation Act identifies the responsibility of the federal agency in their treatment of historic properties. Section 110(f) (54 USC 306107) clarifies the responsibility of the agency to protect National Historic Landmarks (NHL) from harm. See this agreement for submission requirements regarding NHL properties. In addition, Section 110(k) (54 USC 306113) prohibits the NPS from funding any grantee or subgrantee that attempts to avoid the requirements of Section 106. Grantees must make every effort to fund preservation projects that do no harm or adverse effects to NHL properties. Should it be discovered a grantee has deliberately damaged a property (e.g., pre-emptive demolition) to avoid requirements, the NPS must be notified to determine, in consultation with the ACHP, if the project can proceed.

14. Requirement for NEPA Compliance

All HPF funded grants are subject to the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as amended. This Act requires Federal agencies to consider the reasonably foreseeable environmental consequences of all grant-supported activities. As part of the NPS implementation of NEPA, grantees are required to notify the NPS of any reasonably foreseeable impacts to the environment from grant–supported activities, or to certify that no such impacts will arise upon receipt of a grant award. In addition, the NPS has determined that most HPF grant funds are not expected to individually or cumulatively have a significant impact on the environment, unless the activity involves development...
(construction) or archeology. For construction or archeology projects, the applicant/grantee should submit an Environmental Screening Worksheet, in order to assist the NPS in determining if a Categorical Exclusion (found in NPS Director’s Order 12) can be utilized.

15. Compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Architectural Barriers Act

The use of federal funds to improve public buildings, to finance services or programs contained in public buildings, or alter any building or facility financed in whole or in part with Federal funds (except privately owned residential structures), requires compliance with the 1990 Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, and the Architectural Barriers Act (ABA). Work done to alter the property should be in compliance with all applicable regulations and guidance.

16. Unanticipated Discovery Protocols

At a minimum, unanticipated discovery protocols for subgrants or contracts shall require the sub-grantee or contractor to immediately stop construction in the vicinity of the affected historic resource and take reasonable measures to avoid and minimize harm to the resource until the SHPO or THPO, sub-grantee or contractor, and Indian Tribes, as appropriate, have determined a suitable course of action within 15 calendar days. With the express permission of the SHPO and/or THPO, the sub-grantee or contractor may perform additional measures to secure the jobsite if the sub-grantee or contractor determines that unfinished work in the vicinity of the affected historic property would cause safety or security concerns.

17. NAGPRA Costs Are Unallowable

Cost related to Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA) activities are unallowable under this agreement. Funds for NAGPRA activities are available through the NPS National NAGPRA Program.

18. GIS Spatial Data Transfer Standards

All GIS data collected with HPF funds shall be in compliance with the NPS Cultural Resource Spatial Data Transfer Standards with complete feature level metadata. Template GeoDatabases and guidelines for creating GIS data in the NPS cultural resource spatial data transfer standards can be found at the NPS Cultural Resource GIS Facility webpage:

https://www.nps.gov/crgis/crgis_standards.htm

Technical assistance to meet the NPS Cultural Resource Spatial Data Transfer Standard specifications will be made available if requested. Execution of a Data Sharing Agreement between the NPS and the Recipient shall take place prior to collection of GIS data using HPF funds.

19. Funding for Use of Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) (AKA Drones)

HPF funding for unmanned aircraft systems (UAS) usage is eligible only in the contracting of an experienced, licensed contractor of UAS who possesses the appropriate license, certifications, and training to operate UAS. The contractor is required to provide proof of liability insurance in the operation of UAS for commercial use.

If HPF funding is provided to a state, tribal, local, or territorial government, or other non-profit organization for the use of UAS as part of their scope of work, the recipient must have in place policies and procedures to safeguard individuals' privacy, civil rights, and civil liberties prior to expending such funds.
20. Subgrant Awards

The awarding of subgrants must follow the general criteria described below in addition to the eligibility factors outlined in the Notice of Funding Opportunity, OMB regulations in 2 CFR 200, and the Historic Preservation Fund Grant Manual.

The Grantee must publicly announce the availability of HPF funds and include the following information:

1. A summary statement of the priorities for funding;
2. Description of eligible activities for which funding is to be provided;
3. The total amount available, or expected to be available for subgrants;
4. An explanation of the required selection process used, including evaluation criteria, that will provide an opportunity for all eligible entities to submit applications and have them considered on an equal basis;
5. The deadline for submitting the completed application;
6. Directions to the applicant to include a detailed and specific list of the final products to be accomplished with the subgrant, and to provide a detailed line-item budget that includes all major work elements;
7. Identification of the donor, source, kind, and amount of nonfederal matching share to be contributed, if applicable;
8. An explanation that all elements funded must meet the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation;
9. An explanation that all subgrants must follow OMB regulations in 2 CFR 200, and the Historic Preservation Fund Grant Manual;
10. Notice of the requirement for easements or covenants for grant assisted preservation work.

To qualify a subgrantee as responsible, the grantee must ensure that a subgrantee will:

1. Have adequate financial resources for performance, the necessary experience, organization, technical qualifications, and facilities; or a firm commitment, arrangement, or ability to obtain such;
2. Be able to comply with the proposed or required completion schedule for the project;
3. Have a satisfactory record of integrity, sound judgment, and satisfactory performance, especially with prior performance upon grants and contracts;
4. Have an adequate accounting system and auditing procedures to provide effective accountability and control of property, funds, and assets sufficient to meet audit requirements.

NPS oversight of subgrants will include:
1. Review of selected subgrants;

2. Review of any physical preservation work for compliance with the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation;

3. Review of any physical preservation work or archeological surveys for compliance with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA);

4. Review, in concert with National Park Service regional office(s), physical preservation work as per Section 110(f) (54 USC 306107) which clarifies the responsibility of the agency to protect National Historic Landmarks (NHL) from harm;

5. Verification of submission of any subgrants over $30,000 federal share to Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) Subaward Reporting System (FSRS);

6. Review of final executed preservation easement/covenant;

7. Additional requirements as determined for the grantee based on risk or program requirements.

21. Requirement for Training

At the direction of the National Park Service, personnel associated with management of the grant program may be required to attend trainings and/or meetings. The grantee will be provided adequate notice to plan for any required activities; expenses incurred as part of this requirement are eligible to charge towards the grant.

22. Demonstration of Effort – Performance Goals

In order to ensure the timely and successful completion of all HPF grant awards, the NPS requires acceptable demonstration of effort by the grantee on project work supported by all HPF funded grants.

Demonstration of effort means acceptable performance by undertaking meaningful progress on grant-supported activities and complying with award terms and conditions.


As part of government-wide efforts to improve coordination of financial management and increase financial accountability and transparency in the receipt and use of federal funding, the grantee is hereby notified that this award may be subject to higher scrutiny. This may include a requirement to submit additional reporting documentation.

24. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance/Assistance Listing Inclusion in Single Audit

Non-Federal entities receiving financial assistance through the Historic Preservation Fund must include the appropriate Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) number in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Award in their Single-Audit. The CFDA number applicable to this award as identified in block 2 on the first page of this agreement document.

25. Audit Findings and Follow-Up

The Recipient is hereby informed that the NPS may withhold or suspend award funds, or may impose
other related conditions, if the recipient does not satisfactorily and promptly address findings from Single 
or program-specific audits, investigations, or reviews of NPS programs and awards. Each year the award 
is active, the Recipient must require its auditors to provide status report updates of all audit findings 
included in the prior audit's Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, as required by 2 CFR 200, 
Subpart F ("Grants and Agreements, Audit Requirements"). Upon review of subsequent annual audits, the 
NPS will determine if further corrective action is warranted.

When findings exist, the Recipient must submit a status report every six months to the NPS of all steps 
being taken to resolve related audit findings included in the prior audit's Schedule of Findings and 
Questioned Costs to remain in good standing for all NPS grant awards. If the Recipient fails to meet these 
deadlines without written approval of extension from the NPS, NPS may withhold remaining and future 
award funds, or may impose other related requirements to ensure compliance with this condition. 
Outstanding audit findings, if any, are included in the attachments of this Agreement.
AWARD ATTACHMENTS

1. Environmental Certification
2. Digital Products Submission Guidelines, SAT
3. Addenda of Budget, Scope, and Deliverables
ENVIRONMENTAL CERTIFICATION

Based upon a review of the application, proposed work, and the supporting documentation contacting in the applications, it has been determined that the proposed HPF funded work meets the criteria for categorical exclusion under the current Interim Director’s Order 12 Categorical Exclusions (replacing DO-12 Handbook, Chapter 3, Sections 3.3, 3.4, and 3.5).

Applicable categorical exclusion(s) below apply to all proposed projects except development and archeological survey which must be reviewed independently: F.1 – F.6 – Actions Related to Grant Programs

Megan J. Brown
Chief State, Tribal, Local, Plans & Grants
National Park Service

3/25/2021
Date
Digital Product Submission Guidelines

The National Park Service’s (NPS) State, Tribal, Local Plans & Grants (STLPG) Division developed these guidelines to outline the digital product submission process for grant recipients. These guidelines specify the types of products that should be submitted, supply guidance on the file names and formats grant recipients should use, and define how submissions should be made.

Products submitted digitally may be uploaded and shared with the general public through the Integrated Resource Management Application (IRMA), the NPS’s digital repository system. The see grant products that have already been uploaded, use the above link, choose Historic Preservation Fund (HPF) under “Select a Park, Office, Program or Region” and select a category of featured context.

What to submit:

- Provide one digital copy of each deliverable or publication under your grant agreement. Refer to the Reports, Outputs, & Outcomes article to find the deliverables and publications specified in your grant agreement.
- Deliverables and publications include, but are not limited to, the following materials:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUBMIT</th>
<th>DO NOT SUBMIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reports, plans and guidelines (including historic structure reports, design guidelines, economic impact studies, treatment reports, historic context statements, preservation plans)</td>
<td>Digital copies saved on CD/DVD-Rs or flash drives (unless arrangements have been made with your grant administrator)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantive event materials (including programs, proceedings, handouts, photographs)</td>
<td>Confidential/restricted reports that cannot be viewed by the general public (including archaeological reports, architectural reports on federal buildings or restricted sites)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professionally produced content (including books, documentaries, oral histories, presentations and PSAs)</td>
<td>Other documentation not intended for the general public (including survey forms, financial records, correspondence)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretive products (including books, brochures, posters, interpretive tours, coloring books or other youth-focused products, lesson plans)</td>
<td>Ephemeral products unlikely to be of future value to the general public (including flyers, postcards, invitations, meeting minutes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online content (including websites, story maps, and other web-based projects)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Final grant products may be made available to the general public and should, by default, feature the NPS disclaimer. Printed products must feature a printed disclaimer when feasible. Audio products must include a spoken version of the disclaimer. Video products must include the disclaimer as an on-screen graphic. A disclaimer is not required when it would be unreasonable to do so, such as on size-restrictive publications like postcards or flyers.

"This material was produced with assistance from the Save America’s Treasures grant program, administered by the National Park Service, Department of the Interior. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Department of the Interior."

- For additional questions about the required disclaimer, consult with your NPS grant manager.
Naming files for submission:

- Name each file you will be submitting using the following naming convention:
  SAT_[Fiscal Year]_[Grantee’s State Abbreviation]_[Legal Name of Grantee or Subgrantee]_[Grant Number]_[Short File Description]
- Do not use spaces or special characters (#, %, &, ?) in the file name.
- For “Short File Description,” write a brief (less than 50 characters), unique description that would help someone easily and quickly identify the file.
- If files are part of a series, append the number 001, 002, etc. to the end of the description.
  Ex: Audio files submitted under a FY2020 grant by the DC State Historic Preservation Office
  SAT_20_DC_DCSHPO_P21AP00001_JohnDoeInterview001.mp3
  SAT_20_DC_DCSHPO_P21AP00001_JohnDoeInterview002.mp3

Required file formats and resolution standards:

- **Reports and publications**: PDF files created at 300 ppi (pixels per inch) minimum and 100% of the original document size. Convert authoring formats to PDFs (for example, saving Word or InDesign files as PDFs). When born-digital is not available, provide high resolution scans of printed materials as PDFs. Preference is for PDF/A-1 or PDF/A-2 format over standard PDF.
- **Photos**: JPEG or TIFF files saved at a minimum resolution of 3000 x 2000 pixels (or 6 megapixels).
  - When submitting photographs, include captions, photo credit, and a signed release form (if needed). Photo release forms are available on the STLPG website.
  - Development (construction) grants must submit photographs of all work completed under the grant, including at least three views of the overall structure and all elements of the scope of work. Refer to the NPS Documenting Historic Places on Film guidelines for more information on photographing a variety of historic environments and buildings.
- **Videos**: MP4 files saved at a resolution of 1280 by 720 pixels. All videos produced with HPF funding should include closed captioning. When reasonable, provide transcripts of videos as Word documents.
- **Audio**: Uncompressed WAV files. When reasonable, provide transcripts of audio files as Word documents.
- For more information about formatting deliverables, consult the National Archives’ Tables of File Formats.

Creating an index file for your submission:

- Include this information in the index file for each product that is being submitted:
  Grant Number
  Subgrant Number (if applicable)
  Title of Product
  Filename
  Product Creator(s) (give full names and their roles include up to 5 names or organizations)
  Date Completed
  Extent (number of pages, photographs, or length of audio/video files; use when applicable)
  Description (up to 200 words)
- Save the index file as a Microsoft Word document using the following naming convention:
  SAT_[Fiscal Year]_[Grantee’s State Abbreviation]_[Legal Name of Grantee or Subgrantee]_[Grant Number]_Index.docx
  Ex: SAT_20_DC_DCSHPO_P21AP00001_Index.docx
- Only submit one index per submission, including all of the products in that submission.
Submitting Your Files:

1. Email stlpg@nps.gov to ask to be added to your grant folder.
2. You will receive an e-mail from the Records Management Assistant’s e-mail account (currently caitlin_white@partner.nps.gov) with the subject ‘White, Caitlin E shared the folder “[Grant Name]” with you’. Click ‘Open’ in the e-mail.
3. You will be sent to a page asking you to Request Verification Code. Click ‘Send Code.’
4. A second e-mail from no-reply@sharepointonline.com with the subject ‘Code [Eight digit number] is your Microsoft SharePoint verification code.’
   a. Copy the code from the e-mail and paste into the box on the ‘Enter Verification Code’ page that appeared after you requested a code be sent to you.
5. Click the ‘Upload’ button at the top of the page.
   a. It will give you the option to either upload file(s) or a folder.
6. In the new window, click on the file you wish to upload and then ‘Open’. The file should now appear on the page.
7. E-mail the stlpg@nps.gov account to notify them that the files have been submitted using the template provided in your welcome e-mail. Unlike the previous system, there is no notification given when a file is uploaded and YOUR FILES WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED SUBMITTED UNTIL THIS EMAIL IS RECEIVED.

Reviewing submitted files:

- When NPS receives the files, we will review your submitted products for compliance with the HPF grants manual, the Secretary of the Interior’s Standards of Archeology and Historic Preservation, and any other relevant requirements.
- If there are issues with the submitted files or grants products, your grant manager will contact you and may ask for corrections and resubmission if necessary.
- NPS will also determine whether the submitted products are suitable for sharing with the general public through the Integrated Resource Management Application (IRMA), the NPS’s digital repository system. If so, we will upload the files there and make them publicly available.
Addenda
to
Grant Agreement
P21AP11769

1. Addendum to Approved Budget

The approved budget to complete the work under this grant is further defined as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget Item</th>
<th>Federal Admin</th>
<th>Recipient Share Admin</th>
<th>Recipient Share Program</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe Benefits</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractual</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$ 500,000.00</td>
<td>$ 600,000.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$1,100,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Costs</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 500,000.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 600,000.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 1,100,000.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 1,100,000.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Addendum to Article VI - Statement of Work

The Statement of Work is further defined to include:

1. Preservation and repair of Historic Fort Wayne at 6325 W. Jefferson, Detroit, MI, per the Secretary of the Interior’s *Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation*, to include:
   i. Architectural/Consultant services*
   ii. Restoration work to include:
      1. Building 110 repairs including exterior repairs of wooden façade, windows, and replacing furnace/HVAC system.
      2. Building 111, 117, 207 repairs including masonry, roof and re-roofing, trim/paint at roof edge, gutters and downspouts, board up all openings (louvered vents in basement windows and other select windows).
      3. Building 314 repairs including roof repairs and re-roofing, including flashings.
      4. Warehouse 2B repairs including roofing and re-roofing and masonry repair.
      5. Warehouse 2C repairs including roofing and re-roofing.

*Requires approval by NPS ATR prior to hire.

3. Addendum to Article XV – Reports, Outcomes, & Deliverables

The Reports, Outcomes, and Deliverables are further defined to include:
1. Draft documents to be submitted digitally and reviewed as related to the Statement of Work:
   
i. Draft documents including text, layout, etc., for any public information releases concerning this award which refer to the Department of the Interior or any bureau or employee, by name or title (see Department of the Interior Standard Terms and Conditions)

   ii. Draft press release posted upon receipt of the grant funding (see Requirement for Project Sign & Public Notification)

   iii. Selected consultant qualifications (prior to signing contract)

   iv. NEPA Environmental Screening Worksheet (see Requirement for NEPA Compliance)
       https://www.nps.gov/preservation-grants/civil-rights/NEPA-Environmental-Screening-Worksheet_Project_Grants.docx

   v. Draft architectural and engineering plans and specifications will each be provided at 80% completion

   vi. Draft project sign (see Requirement for Project Sign & Public Notification)

   vii. Draft preservation easement/covenant (see Easement/Covenant Requirement)

2. The Final Report must be submitted digitally and include:

   i. Complete and attach the SF-428B Tangible Personal Property Report Final Report or SF-428C, Tangible Personal Property Disposition Request/Report, if applicable

   ii. Final project deliverables:
       1. Before and after images of the project
       2. Copy of the executed covenant or easement
       3. Photo of the installed required project sign
       4. Publications or products (workshops, handouts, pamphlets, videotapes, etc.) produced using this grant (one digital copy), if applicable
Please DocuSign: 47_PUB-NPS Save America's Treasures for Fort Wayne FY 2021 (Correction)

Sender: Bashar Dimitry

Envelope Id: efdb2e98-ed4e-4a27-8f34-81c8b4fd3b9f

Time Zone: (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)

Date Sent: 12/20/2021 | 9:32:33 AM

Date Completed: 1/10/2022 | 2:07:53 PM

All Recipients

Tina Tolliver -12/21/2021 | 11:01:48 AM
tollivert@detroitmi.gov
3rd version to change source of match funds from Fund 4503 - UTGO Bonds to Fund 4533 - Capital
* RE: 
Submitting reso. autho. Authorization to submit a grant application to the Total Health Care Foundation for the Total Health Care Grant

* SUMMARY: 
The General Services Department is hereby requesting authorization from Detroit City Council to submit a grant application to the Total Health Care Foundation for the Total Health Care Grant. The amount being sought is $325,000.00. There is no City match requirement. The total project cost is $325,000.00.

* RECOMMENDATION: 
Authorization to submit a grant application to the Total Health Care Foundation for the Total Health Care Grant. The General Services Department is hereby requesting authorization from Detroit City Council to submit a grant application to the Total Health Care Foundation for the Total Health Care Grant. The amount being sought is $325,000.00. There is no City match requirement. The total project cost is $325,000.00.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT: 
Name: Jalesa Beck 
Position: Administrative Assistant II

*REQUIRED
January 5, 2022

The Honorable Detroit City Council
ATTN: City Clerk Office
200 Coleman A. Young Municipal Center
Detroit MI 48226

RE: Authorization to submit a grant application to the Total Health Care Foundation for the Total Health Care Grant

The General Services Department is hereby requesting authorization from Detroit City Council to submit a grant application to the Total Health Care Foundation for the Total Health Care Grant. The amount being sought is $325,000.00. There is no City match requirement. The total project cost is $325,000.00.

The Total Health Care Grant will enable the department to:

- Provide recreation and education programming for residents at recreation centers around the city, including Butzel Family, Heilmann, Farwell, Lasky, Coleman A. Young, and Patton
- Create a Youth STEM Program and a Senior Computer Literacy Program and provide funding for recreation classes, including aerobics, martial arts, and youth fitness

We respectfully request your approval to submit the grant application by adopting the attached resolution.

Sincerely,

Terri Daniels
Director of Grants, Office of Development and Grants

CC:
Sajjiah Parker, Assistant Director, Grants
RESOLUTION

Council Member_______________________________

WHEREAS, the General Services Department has requested authorization from City Council to submit a grant application to the Total Health Care Foundation, for the Total Health Care Grant, in the amount of $325,000.00, to provide recreation and education programming for residents at recreation centers around the city, including Butzel Family, Heilmann, Farwell, Lasky, Coleman A. Young, and Patton; now

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the General Services Department is hereby authorized to submit a grant application to the Total Health Care Foundation for the Total Health Care Grant.
Grant Application Request Form (GARF)

In order to secure the Office of Development and Grants (ODG) approval required under Section 18-4-2 of the Detroit City Charter, this form is to be filled out by City Departments as soon as possible upon learning of an opportunity that the Department would like to pursue. This form must be signed and submitted not later than 20 business days prior to the application deadline.

Please submit this form to the following ODG staff: Sajjiah Parker, Assistant Director, parkersa@detroitmi.gov and Greg Andrews, Program Analyst IV, andrewsgr@detroitmi.gov.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City Department</th>
<th>General Services Department - Recreation Division</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>December 30, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department Contact Name</td>
<td>Donna Miller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department Contact Phone</td>
<td>313-224-1155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department Contact Email</td>
<td><a href="mailto:millerdo@detroitmi.gov">millerdo@detroitmi.gov</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Opportunity Title</td>
<td>Total Health Care Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Opportunity Funding Agency</td>
<td>Total Health Care Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Link to Opportunity Information</td>
<td>priorityhealth.com/thcfoundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Award Amount (that Department will apply for)</td>
<td>$325,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Due Date</td>
<td>December 31, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticipated Proposed Budget Amount</td>
<td>$325,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Match Contribution Amount</td>
<td>Not Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of City Match (include Appropriation Number, Cost Center, and Object Code)</td>
<td>Youth STEM Program ($96,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>List of programs/services/activities to be funded and the Budget for each</td>
<td>Sample: To support expansion of promising youth development programs in MNO neighborhood.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- ABC Afterschool program: $150,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- XYZ Youth leadership program: $100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Salary/Benefits: $95,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Supplies: $5,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Computer Literacy Program ($90,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Heilmann Recreation Center (20 Weeks)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Farwell Recreation Center (20 Weeks)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Lasky Recreation Center (20 Weeks)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Coleman Young Center (20 Weeks)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Patton Recreation Center (20 Weeks)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Tech Slam Events (2 Spring, 3 Fall) ($23,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerobics Instructors (5x per week for 20 weeks) ($8,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Fitness Program (5x per week for 20 weeks) ($13,500)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies for Chair Aerobics ($8,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies for Martial Arts ($4,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation ($72,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-Shirts, SWAG Bags, Giveaways ($6,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc ($4,500)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Total Health Care Foundation grant will be used to increase youth access to STEM and fitness programming and increase senior access to computer literacy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Key performance indicators to be used to measure the programs/services/activities:

- Sample:
  - 240 kids will be newly enrolled in STEM programming at 5 recreation centers across the city.
  - 80% of participants will show improved understanding of coding based on pre and post-tests.
  - 120 seniors will be newly enrolled in computer literacy programs at 5 recreation centers.
  - 100% of participants will show improved understanding of their personal electronics devices based on instructor observation.

- Il of kids newly enrolled in ABC and XY
- % of kids from ABC who demonstrate improved educational performance.

Director's Name (Please Print):

Keith Mourny

Deputy Director

Director's Signature: [Signature]

Date: 12/20/20
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement

FILE NUMBER: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement-0881

RE:
Submitting Reso. Autho. Contract No. 6004045

SUMMARY:

HOUSING & REVITALIZATION

RECOMMENDATION:

HOUSING & REVITALIZATION

BY

Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 6004045 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: Detroit Brownfield Redevelopment Authority
FILE NUMBER: Detroit Brownfield Redevelopment Authority-0044

* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. request for the CODA Brush Park Brownfield Plan to be referred to the Planning and Economic Development Standing Committee to hold a public hearing on February 3, 2022.

* SUMMARY:
Request for the CODA Brush Park Brownfield Plan to be referred to the Planning and Economic Development Standing Committee to hold a public hearing on February 3, 2022.

* RECOMMENDATION:
The CODA Brush Park Brownfield Plan to be referred to the Planning and Economic Development Standing Committee to hold a public hearing on February 3, 2022.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Brian Vosburg
Position: Brownfield Redevelopment Manager

*=REQUIRED
January 12, 2022

Honorable City Council
City of Detroit
1340 Coleman A. Young Municipal Center
Detroit, Michigan 48226

Re: CODA Brush Park Brownfield Redevelopment Plan

Dear Honorable Council Members:

The enclosed Brownfield Plan for the Jefferson-Holcomb Redevelopment Project (the “Plan”) (Exhibit A), was submitted by the Detroit Brownfield Redevelopment Authority Board (the “DBRA”) and to the Community Advisory Committee (the “CAC”). The Plan was considered and reviewed by the CAC at its November 10, 2021 meeting and a public hearing was held by the DBRA on November 22, 2021 to solicit public comments. The Committee’s communication to the City Council and the DBRA, dated November 10, 2021 (Exhibit B), recommending approval of the Plan, including the excerpt of the minutes of the CAC meeting pertaining to the plan and the minutes the public hearing held by the DBRA, are enclosed for the City Council’s consideration.

On December 1, 2021, the DBRA adopted a resolution (Exhibit C) approving the Plan and authorizing the submission of a copy of its resolution and the Plan to the City Clerk, together with a request that the Detroit City Council call a public hearing concerning the Plan and to take all other actions to approve the Plan in accordance with Act 381.

The Plan is now presented to the City Council for approval. The Detroit City Council will, after publication of the notices, hold a public hearing on the Plan. After the public hearing, the City Council shall determine whether the Plan constitutes a public purpose and, if so, may approve or reject the Plan or approve it with modifications.

Project Introduction
Brush Park Properties, LLC, is the project developer (“Developer”). The project includes the construction of an expansive addition to incorporate the existing carriage house building facade, built in 1890, into a 5-story modern, mixed-use development. The building will include approximately 84,000 square feet of commercial and residential spaces. Approximately ten (10) luxury condominium units will be provided for purchase, totaling an estimated 22,000 square feet of living space. Eight of the residential units will be located on floors 3-5 of the main carriage house building and the two remaining townhome units will be built along the Alfred Street facade of the development. It is anticipated that the remaining portion of the building will be occupied by a restaurant and bar and office space, totaling approximately 15,000 square feet. Additionally, the building will feature an integrated parking deck with approximately 105 total parking spaces. The parking structure will also serve as residential, commercial, and public parking, complete with multiple electric vehicle charging ports. The development will also feature alleyway improvements to serve as a community gathering space. It is currently anticipated that construction will begin in the Fall of 2021 and eligible activities will be completed in 2023.
The total investment is estimated to be $25.8 million. The Developer is requesting $4,807,400.00 in TIF reimbursement.

There will be approximately 218 temporary construction jobs and 37 permanent jobs are expected to be created by the project.

Property Subject to the Plan
The eligible property (the “Property”) consists of one (1) combined parcel bounded to the north by an alleyway, to the east by John R Street, to the south by Alfred Street, and to the west by the property line in the Brush Park neighborhood.

Basis of Eligibility
The Property is considered “eligible property” as defined by Act 381, Section 2 because (a) the Property was previously utilized for a commercial purpose; (b) it is located within the City of Detroit, a qualified local governmental unit under Act 381; and (c) the Property parcel has been determined to be “functionally obsolete” as defined by Act 381.

Eligible Activities and Projected Costs
The “eligible activities” that are intended to be carried out at the Property are considered “eligible activities” as defined by Sec 2 of Act 381, because they include pre-approved activities, department specific activities, site demolition activities, infrastructure improvements, site preparation, and development, preparation and implementation of a brownfield plan and Act 381 Work Plan. The eligible activities and budgeted costs are intended as part of the development of the Property and will be financed solely by the Developer. The Authority is not responsible for any costs of eligible activities and will incur no debt. The eligible activities are estimated to commence within 18 months of approval of the Plan and be completed within 3 years.

Tax Increment Financing (TIF) Capture
The Developer desires to be reimbursed for the costs of eligible activities. Tax increment revenue generated by the Property will be captured by the DBRA and used to reimburse the cost of the eligible activities completed on the Property after approval of this Plan pursuant to the terms of a Reimbursement Agreement with the DBRA.

**COSTS TO BE REIMBURSED WITH TIF**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Pre-Approved Activities</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Demolition</td>
<td>$138,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Site Preparation</td>
<td>$268,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Infrastructure Improvements</td>
<td>$3,674,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Urban Storm Water Management System</td>
<td>$45,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Brownfield Plan &amp; Work Plan</td>
<td>$60,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Contingency (15%)</td>
<td>$618,900.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Reimbursement to Developer</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,807,400.00</strong>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Authority Administrative Costs</td>
<td>$1,215,776.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. State Brownfield Redevelopment Fund</td>
<td>$380,492.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Local Brownfield Revolving Fund</td>
<td>$1,280,803.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL Estimated Costs</strong></td>
<td><strong>$7,684,471.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Request is pro-rated to account for the portion of the parking structure related to residential and commercial operations, excluding valet

The actual cost of those eligible activities encompassed by this Plan that will qualify for reimbursement from tax increment revenues of the DBRA from the Property shall be governed by the terms of the Reimbursement Agreement.

Other Incentives
The Developer is seeking additional incentives, which will include local and/or state approval of a Neighborhood Enterprise Zone (PA 147) Tax Abatement.

**DBRA’s Request**
The DBRA is respectfully requesting the following actions from the City Council:

a.) January 18, 2021
City Council adoption of the Resolution (Exhibit D), setting the CODA Brush Park Brownfield Redevelopment Plan public hearing, as approved by the Planning and Economic Development Standing Committee Chair and the City of Detroit Clerk, for February 3, 2022 at 11:40 AM.

b.) February 3, 2022, 11:35 AM
Discussion with taxing jurisdictions regarding the fiscal impact of the Plan.

c.) February 3, 2022, 11:40 AM
Public Hearing at City Council’s Planning and Economic Development Standing Committee concerning the CODA Brush Park Brownfield Redevelopment Plan.

d.) February 8, 2022
City Council adoption of the Resolution approving the CODA Brush Park Brownfield Redevelopment Plan (Exhibit E).

Sincerely,

Jennifer Kanalos
Authorized Agent
CITY OF DETROIT
BROWNFIELD REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

BROWNFIELD PLAN FOR THE
CODA BRUSH PARK REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

Prepared by:

Brush Park Properties, LLC
79 Alfred Street
Detroit, MI 48201
Contact Person: Michael VanOverbeke
Phone: (248) 217-3876

PM Environmental, Inc
4080 W Eleven Mile Road
Berkley, MI 48072
Contact Person: Elizabeth Masserang
Phone: (248) 414-1441

11/4/2021
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I. Eligibility Documentation
I. INTRODUCTION

In order to promote the revitalization of environmentally distressed and blighted areas within the boundaries of the City of Detroit, Michigan (the “City”), the City has established the City of Detroit Brownfield Redevelopment Authority (the “DBRA”) pursuant to Michigan Public Act 381 of 1996, as amended (“Act 381”).

The primary purpose of this Brownfield Plan (“Plan”) is to promote the redevelopment of and private investment in certain “brownfield” properties within the City. Inclusion of property within this Plan will facilitate financing of environmental response and other eligible activities at eligible properties, and will also provide tax incentives to eligible taxpayers willing to invest in revitalization of eligible sites, commonly referred to as “brownfields.” By facilitating redevelopment of brownfield properties, this Plan is intended to promote economic growth for the benefit of the residents of the City and all taxing units located within and benefited by the DBRA.

This Plan is intended to apply to the eligible property identified in this Plan and, if tax increment revenues are proposed to be captured from that eligible property, to identify and authorize the eligible activities to be funded by such tax increment revenues.

This Plan is intended to be a living document, which may be modified or amended in accordance with the requirements of Act 381, as necessary to achieve the purposes of Act 381. A subsequent change to the identification or designation of developer after the approval of this Plan by the governing body (as defined in Act 381) shall not necessitate an amendment to the Plan, affect the application of this Plan to the eligible property or impair the rights available to the DBRA under this Plan. The applicable sections of Act 381 are noted throughout the Plan for reference purposes.

This Plan describes the project to be completed (see Attachment C) and contains all of the information required by Section 13(2) of Act 381.
II. GENERAL PROVISIONS

A. Description of the Eligible Property (Section 13 (2)(h)) and the Project
The approved combined parcel includes approximately 1.07 acres. The property address and parcel identification number will be determined at a later date but prior to its incorporation on the 2022 tax roll. The former property addresses are 2827 John R Street, 105 Alfred Street, and 79 Alfred Street and are located in the City of Detroit, Wayne County, Michigan. The parcels and all tangible personal property located thereon will comprise the eligible property and is collectively referred to herein as the “Property.” The property includes 2827 John R Street, which is functionally obsolete, as further described in Section B herein.

Attachment A includes a site map of the Property. The Property is located in Detroit’s Brush Park, north of Downtown Detroit. The Property is bounded to the north by an alleyway, to the east by John R Street, to the south by Alfred Street, and to the west by the property line.

The eligible property will include all tangible personal property to be located on the real property. Former parcel information is outlined below as the current combined parcel has not yet been added to the tax roll. Please see Attachment A for proposed site layout and Attachment B for the legal description of the subject property parcel.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Former Addresses</th>
<th>Former Tax ID</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Eligibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2827 John R Street</td>
<td>01000669</td>
<td>Brush Park Properties, LLC</td>
<td>Functionally Obsolete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105 Alfred Street</td>
<td>01000668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A portion of) 79 Alfred Street</td>
<td>01000666-7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attachment B provides the legal descriptions for the eligible property.

Brush Park Properties, LLC is the project developer (“Developer”) and proposed future owner of the Property. The project includes constructing an expansive addition to incorporate the original building into a 5-story modern, mixed-use development. The building will include approximately 84,000 square feet of commercial and residential spaces. Approximately ten (10) luxury condominium units will be provided for purchase, totaling an estimated 22,000 square feet of living space. It is anticipated that the remaining portion of the building will be occupied by a restaurant and bar and office space, totaling approximately 15,000 square feet. Additionally, the building will feature an integrated parking deck for on-site parking.

It is currently anticipated construction will begin in the fall of 2021 and eligible activities will be completed in the fall of 2023. The project description provided herein is a summary of the proposed development at the Property at the time of the adoption of the Plan. The actual development may vary from the project description provided herein, without necessitating an amendment to this Plan, so long as such variations are not material and/or
arise as a result of changes in market and/or financing conditions affecting the project and/or are related to the addition or immaterial removal of amenities to the project. Any material changes, as determined by DBRA in its sole discretion, to the project description are subject to the approval of the DBRA staff and shall be consistent with the overall nature of the proposed development, its proposed public purpose, and the purposes of Act 381.

Attachment C provides a description of the project to be completed at the Property (the “Project”) and Attachment D includes letters of support for the Project.

B. Basis of Eligibility (Section 13 (2)(h) and Section 2 (o))

The Property is considered “eligible property” as defined by Act 381, Section 2 because (a) the Property was formerly utilized for a commercial purpose; (b) it is located within the City of Detroit, a qualified local governmental unit under Act 381; and (c) the Property is determined to be functionally obsolete as defined by Act 381.

The building’s deterioration has left it dangerous and unable to be used to adequately perform the function for which it was intended due to a substantial loss in value. The requisite affidavit signed by a level 3 or level 4 assessor certifying the assessor’s expert opinion that the Property is functionally obsolete shall be provided by Developer to the DBRA prior to approval of this Plan by the governing body. Further description of its eligibility is outlined below.

- The current configurations do not meet market demand for the original commercial purpose of the building, nor does it meet market demand for its future residential use.
- Mechanical and electrical systems must be replaced.
- Interior finishes have been stripped.
- Life safety systems (smoke detectors, fire alarm systems, exit signs and fire sprinklers) must be installed.
- The windows must be rehabilitated or replaced.
- The entire roof must be replaced.

C. Summary of Eligible Activities and Description of Costs (Section 13 (2)(a),(b))

The “eligible activities” that are intended to be carried out at the Property are considered “eligible activities” as defined by Section 2 of Act 381 because they include pre-approved activities, department specific activities, site demolition activities, infrastructure improvements, site preparation, and the development, preparation and implementation of a brownfield plan and/or Act 381 work plan.

A summary of the eligible activities and the estimated cost of each eligible activity intended to be reimbursed with tax increment revenues generated and captured from the Property are shown in the table attached hereto as Attachment E. The eligible activities described in Attachment E are not exhaustive. Subject to the approval of DBRA staff in writing, additional eligible activities may be carried out at the Property, without requiring an amendment to this Plan, so long as such eligible activities are permitted by Act 381 and the costs of such eligible activities do not exceed the total costs stated in Attachment E.
Unless otherwise agreed to in writing by the DBRA, all eligible activities shall commence within twenty-four (24) months after the date the governing body approves this Plan and be completed within three (3) years after execution of the Reimbursement Agreement (as that term is defined below). Any long-term monitoring or operation and maintenance activities or obligations that may be required will be performed in compliance with the terms of this Plan and any documents prepared pursuant to this Plan.

The Developer or its affiliate desires to be reimbursed for the costs of eligible activities as described below. Some eligible activities may commence prior to the adoption of this Plan and, to the extent permitted by Act 381, the costs of such eligible activities shall be reimbursable pursuant to the Reimbursement Agreement. Tax increment revenue generated by the Property will be captured by the DBRA and used to reimburse the cost of the eligible activities completed on the Property pursuant to the terms of a Reimbursement Agreement to be executed by the DBRA and the Developer (or its affiliate) after approval of this Plan (the “Reimbursement Agreement”), to the extent permitted by Act 381. In the event this Plan contemplates the capture of tax increment revenue derived from “taxes levied for school operating purposes” (as defined by Section 2 uu) of Act 381 and hereinafter referred to as “School Taxes”), the Developer and its affiliate acknowledge and agree that DBRA’s obligation to reimburse the Developer or its affiliate for the cost of eligible activities with tax increment revenue derived from Local Taxes, or Specific Taxes that are considered Local Taxes, (as these capitalized terms are defined by Act 381) is contingent upon: (i) the Developer or its affiliate receiving at least the initial applicable work plan approvals by the Michigan Strategic Fund (“MSF”) and the Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (“EGLE”), as may be required pursuant to Act 381; or (ii) the Developer or its affiliate providing the DBRA with evidence, satisfactory to DBRA, that the Developer or its affiliate has the financial means to complete the Project without the capture of, and subsequent reimbursement with, the contemplated School Taxes.

The costs listed in Attachment E are estimated costs and may increase or decrease depending upon the nature and extent of environmental contamination and other unknown conditions encountered on the Property. The actual cost of those eligible activities encompassed by this Plan that will qualify for reimbursement from tax increment revenues generated from the Property and captured by the DBRA shall be governed by the terms of the Reimbursement Agreement. No costs of eligible activities will be qualified for reimbursement except to the extent permitted in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Reimbursement Agreement and Act 381. The Reimbursement Agreement and this Plan will dictate the total cost of eligible activities subject to payment or reimbursement, provided that the total cost of eligible activities subject to payment or reimbursement under the Reimbursement Agreement shall not exceed the estimated costs set forth in Attachment E. As long as the total costs are not exceeded, line item costs of eligible activities may be adjusted after the date this Plan is approved by the governing body, to the extent the adjustments do not violate the terms of the approved EGLE or MSF work plan.
D. Estimate of Captured Taxable Value and Tax Increment Revenues (Section 13(2)(c)); Beginning Date of Capture of Tax Increment Revenues (Section (13)(2)(f); Impact of Tax Increment Financing on Taxing Jurisdictions (Section 13(2)(g))

This Plan anticipates the capture of tax increment revenues to reimburse the Developer or its affiliate for the costs of eligible activities under this Plan in accordance with the Reimbursement Agreement. Subject to Section 13(b)(16) of Act 381, a table of estimated tax increment revenues to be captured is attached to this Plan as Attachment F.

Tax increments are projected to be captured and applied to (i) reimbursement of eligible activity costs and payment of DBRA administrative and operating expenses, (ii) make deposits into the State Brownfield Redevelopment Fund, and (iii) make deposits into the DBRA’s Local Brownfield Revolving Fund, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reimbursement Costs</th>
<th>Admin. Costs</th>
<th>State Brownfield Fund</th>
<th>Local Brownfield Revolving Fund</th>
<th>Totals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School Operating Tax</td>
<td>$1,036,117</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,036,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Education Tax</td>
<td>$184,355</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$380,492</td>
<td>$564,847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Operating</td>
<td>$2,732,710</td>
<td>$587,301</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2,959,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>$402,148</td>
<td>$136,908</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$890,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Charter (summer)</td>
<td>$489,340</td>
<td>$165,861</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$655,201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Charter (winter)</td>
<td>$85,949</td>
<td>$29,132</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$114,215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County jail</td>
<td>$81,468</td>
<td>$7,214</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$88,682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Parks</td>
<td>$21,355</td>
<td>$7,238</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$29,225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCMC</td>
<td>$18,272</td>
<td>$6,159</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$24,430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESA Enhancement</td>
<td>$173,358</td>
<td>$58,760</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$232,118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County RESA</td>
<td>$8,380</td>
<td>$2,841</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$11,241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Community College</td>
<td>$281,444</td>
<td>$76,456</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$347,956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Special Ed</td>
<td>$292,473</td>
<td>$99,129</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$390,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$4,807,404</td>
<td>$1,215,776</td>
<td>$380,492</td>
<td>$7,685,551</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, the following taxes are projected to be generated but shall not be captured during the life of this Plan:

- City Debt $1,325,834
- School Debt and Judgments $1,915,093
- DIA $29,463
- ZDO $14,731
- Total $5,285,131

In no event shall the duration of this Plan exceed thirty-five (35) years following the date of the governing body’s resolution approving this Plan, nor shall the duration of the tax capture exceed the lesser of the period authorized under subsection (5) of Section 13 of Act 381 or 30 years. Further, in no event shall the beginning date of the capture of tax increment revenues be later than five (5) years after the date of the governing body’s resolution approving this Plan or such other date authorized by Act 381. The beginning date of the capture of tax increment revenues is anticipated to be the 2023 tax year (commencing with the Winter property taxes).

E. Plan of Financing (Section 13(2)(d)); Maximum Amount of Indebtedness (Section 13(2)(e))

The eligible activities are to be financed solely by the Developer or its affiliate. The DBRA will reimburse the Developer or its affiliate for the cost of approved eligible activities, but only from tax increment revenues generated and captured from the Property. No advances
have been or shall be made by the City or the DBRA for the costs of eligible activities under this Plan.

All reimbursements authorized under this Plan shall be governed by the Reimbursement Agreement. The inclusion of eligible activities and estimates of costs to be reimbursed in this Plan are intended to authorize the DBRA to fund such reimbursements and does not obligate the DBRA or the City to fund any reimbursement or to enter into the Reimbursement Agreement providing for the reimbursement of any costs for which tax increment revenues may be captured under this Plan, or which are permitted to be reimbursed under this Plan. The amount and source of any tax increment revenues that will be used for purposes authorized by this Plan, and the terms and conditions for such use and upon any reimbursement of the expenses permitted by this Plan, will be provided solely under the Reimbursement Agreement contemplated by this Plan.

If agreed upon by the Developer (or its affiliate) and the DBRA, and so long as the applicable agency/department of the State of Michigan approves an Act 381 Work Plan including this Plan, the DBRA may incur note or bonded indebtedness to finance the purposes of this Plan; provided that any such note or bonded indebtedness contemplated by this section shall be (i) subject to approval by the DBRA Board of Directors and other approvals required in accordance and compliance with Act 381 and applicable law; (ii) non-recourse to the DBRA; and (iii) in an amount not to exceed the maximum amount of tax increment revenues authorized for capture under this Plan and any subsequent Act 381 work plan approvals.

The Developer or its affiliate intends to apply for tax abatements under the Neighborhood Enterprise Zone Act Exemption. If approved, these abatements will reduce the property tax obligations of the Property for the periods applicable under the tax abatement certificates, thereby reducing the amount of tax increment revenues available pursuant to this Plan. These abatements are included in the tax capture assumptions provided with this Plan in Attachment F.

Reimbursements under the Reimbursement Agreement shall not exceed the cost of eligible activities permitted under this Plan.

F. Duration of Plan (Section 13(2)(f))

Subject to Section 13b(16) of Act 381, the beginning date of capture of tax increment revenues for the Property shall occur in accordance with the tax increment financing (“TIF”) table described in Attachment F. In no event, however, shall this Plan extend beyond the maximum term allowed by Section 13(2)(f) of Act 381 for the duration of this Plan.

Furthermore, this Plan, or any subsequent amendment thereto, may be abolished or terminated in accordance with Section 14(8) of Act 381 in the event of any of the following:

a. The governing body may abolish this Plan (or any subsequent amendment thereto) when it finds that the purposes for which this Plan was established have been accomplished.
b. The governing body may terminate this Plan (or any subsequent amendment thereto) if the project for which eligible activities were identified in this Plan (or any subsequent amendment thereto) fails to occur with respect to the eligible property for at least two (2) years following the date of the governing body resolution approving this Plan (or any subsequent amendment thereto), provided that the governing body first does both of the following: (i) gives 30 days’ written notice to the Developer at its last known address by certified mail or other method that documents proof of delivery attempted; and (ii) provides the Developer with an opportunity to be heard at a public meeting.

Notwithstanding anything in this subsection to the contrary, this Plan (or any subsequent amendment thereto) shall not be abolished or terminated until the principal and interest on bonds, if any, issued under Section 17 of Act 381 and all other obligations to which the tax increment revenues are pledged have been paid or funds sufficient to make the payment have been identified or segregated.

G. Effective Date of Inclusion in Brownfield Plan
The Property will become a part of this Plan on the date this Plan is approved by the governing body.

H. Displacement/Relocation of Individuals on Eligible Property (Section 13(2)(i-l))
There are no persons or businesses residing on the Property and no occupied residences or businesses will be acquired or cleared, therefore there will be no displacement or relocation of persons or businesses under this Plan.

I. Local Brownfield Revolving Fund (“LBRF”) (Section 8; Section 13(2)(m))
The DBRA has established a Local Brownfield Revolving Fund (LBRF). The LBRF will consist of all tax increment revenues authorized to be captured and deposited in the LBRF, as specified in Section 13(5) of Act 381, under this Plan and any other plan of the DBRA. It may also include funds appropriated or otherwise made available from public or private sources.

The amount of tax increment revenue authorized for capture and deposit in the LBRF is estimated at $1,281,842. All funds, if any, deposited in the LBRF shall be used in accordance with Section 8 of Act 381.

J. Brownfield Redevelopment Fund (Section 8a; Section 13(2)(m))
The DBRA shall pay to the Department of Treasury at least once annually an amount equal to fifty percent of the taxes levied under the state education tax, 1993 PA 331, MCL 211.901 to 211.906, that are captured under this Plan for up to the first twenty-five (25) years of the duration of capture of tax increment revenues for each eligible property included in this Plan. If the DBRA pays an amount equal to fifty percent of the taxes levied under the state education tax, 1993 PA 331, MCL 211.901 to 211.906, on a parcel of eligible property to the Department of Treasury under Section 13b(14) of Act 381, the percentage of local taxes levied on that parcel and used to reimburse eligible activities for
the Project under this Plan shall not exceed the percentage of local taxes levied on that
parcel that would have been used to reimburse eligible activities for the Project under this
Plan if the fifty percent of the taxes levied under the state education tax, 1993 PA 331,
MCL 211.901 to 211.906, on that parcel were not paid to the Department of Treasury under
Section 13b(14) of Act 381.

K. Developer’s Obligations, Representations and Warrants
The Developer and its affiliates shall comply with all applicable laws, ordinances,
executive orders, or other regulations imposed by the City or any other properly constituted
governmental authority with respect to the Property and shall use the Property in
accordance with this Plan.

The Developer and its affiliates, at their sole cost and expense, shall be solely responsible
for and shall fully comply with all applicable federal, state, and local relocation
requirements in implementing this Plan.

The Developer represents and warrants that a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment
(“ESA”), a Phase II ESA, baseline environmental assessment, and due care plan, pursuant
to Part 201 of Michigan’s Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act (MCL
324.20101 et seq.), have been performed on the Property (collectively, “Environmental
Documents”). Attached hereto as Attachment G is the City of Detroit’s Department of
Buildings, Safety Engineering and Environmental acknowledgement of its receipt of the
Environmental Documents.

The Developer and its affiliates further represent and warrant that the Project does not and
will not include a City of Detroit Land Bank Authority, Wayne County Land Bank
Authority or State of Michigan Land Bank financing component.

Except as otherwise agreed to by the DBRA, any breach of a representation or warranty
contained in this Plan shall render the Plan invalid, subject to the Developer’s or its
affiliate’s reasonable opportunity to cure as described in the Reimbursement Agreement.
III. ATTACHMENTS
PARCEL B

A parcel of land described as a part of Lot 18, Lot 19, and a part of Lot 20 of "Brush Subdivision" of part of Block 5 of Park Lots 11, 12, & 13, as recorded in Liber 1, Page 191 of Plats, City of Detroit, Wayne County, Michigan being more particularly described as:

COMMENCING at the southeasterly corner of Lot 15 of said "Brush Subdivision", also being the intersection of the westerly line of John R Street (60 feet wide) and the northerly line of Alfred Street (60 feet wide); thence along said northerly line of Alfred Street South 60 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds West 185.49 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING; thence continuing along said northerly line South 60 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds West 98.67 feet; thence North 29 degrees 50 minutes 49 seconds West 166.92 feet to the southerly line of a 20-foot wide Public Alley, on the northerly line of said Lot 20; thence along said southerly alley line North 60 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds East 98.14 feet; thence South 30 degrees 01 minutes 39 seconds East 166.92 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING. Containing 16,426 square feet, more or less and subject to easements and restrictions of record.

PARCEL C

A parcel of land described as Lots 15, 16, 17, and a part of Lot 18 of "Brush Subdivision" of part of Block 5 of Park Lots 11, 12, & 13, as recorded in Liber 1, Page 191 of Plats, City of Detroit, Wayne County, Michigan being more particularly described as:

BEGINNING at the southeasterly corner of Lot 15 of said "Brush Subdivision", also being the intersection of the westerly line of John R Street (60 feet wide) and the northerly line of Alfred Street (60 feet wide); thence along said northerly line of Alfred Street South 60 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds West 185.49 feet; thence North 30 degrees 01 minutes 39 seconds West 166.92 feet to the southerly line of a 20-foot wide Public Alley, on the northerly line of said Lot 18; thence along said southerly alley line North 60 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds East 178.57 feet to the westerly line of said John R Street; thence along said westerly line South 32 degrees 24 minutes 05 seconds East 167.07 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING. Containing 30,385 square feet, more or less and subject to easements and restrictions of record.
ATTACHMENT B

Legal Descriptions of Eligible Property to which the Plan Applies
PROPERTY COMBINATION

PARCEL B

A parcel of land described as a part of Lot 18, Lot 19, and a part of Lot 20 of "Brush Subdivision" of part of Block 5 of Park Lots 11, 12, & 13, as recorded in Liber 1, Page 191 of Plats, City of Detroit, Wayne County, Michigan being more particularly described as:

COMMENCING at the southeasterly corner of Lot 15 of said "Brush Subdivision", also being the intersection of the westerly line of John R Street (60 feet wide) and the northerly line of Alfred Street (60 feet wide); thence along said northerly line of Alfred Street South 60 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds West 185.49 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING; thence continuing along said northerly line South 60 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds West 98.67 feet; thence North 29 degrees 50 minutes 49 seconds West 166.92 feet to the southerly line of a 20-foot wide Public Alley, on the northerly line of said Lot 20; thence along said southerly alley line North 60 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds East 98.14 feet; thence South 30 degrees 01 minutes 39 seconds East 166.92 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING. Containing 16,426 square feet, more or less and subject to easements and restrictions of record.

PARCEL C

A parcel of land described as Lots 15, 16, 17, and a part of Lot 18 of "Brush Subdivision" of part of Block 5 of Park Lots 11, 12, & 13, as recorded in Liber 1, Page 191 of Plats, City of Detroit, Wayne County, Michigan being more particularly described as:

BEGINNING at the southeasterly corner of Lot 15 of said "Brush Subdivision", also being the intersection of the westerly line of John R Street (60 feet wide) and the northerly line of Alfred Street (60 feet wide); thence along said northerly line of Alfred Street South 60 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds West 185.49 feet; thence North 30 degrees 01 minutes 39 seconds West 166.92 feet to the southerly line of a 20-foot wide Public Alley, on the northerly line of said Lot 18; thence along said southerly alley line North 60 degrees 00 minutes 00 seconds East 178.57 feet to the westerly line of said John R Street; thence along said westerly line South 32 degrees 24 minutes 05 seconds East 167.07 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING. Containing 30,385 square feet, more or less and subject to easements and restrictions of record.

I CERTIFY THAT I HAVE SURVEYED AND MAPPED THE LAND SHOWN HEREON, THAT THE RATIO OF CLOSURE OF THE UNADJUSTED FIELD OBSERVATIONS DOES NOT EXCEED 1 IN 5000 AND THAT THE REQUIREMENTS OF PA 132 OF 1970 HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH.

DAVID L. NEPPER, PS
Michigan Professional Surveyor No. 56855
Agent for PEA, Inc.

CLIENT: BRUSH PARK PROPERTIES, LLC
79 ALFRED STREET
DETROIT, MI 48207

SCALE: N.T.S. JOB No: 2018-056
DATE: 6-09-20 DWG. No: 3 of 3

PEA, Inc.
2430 Rochester Rd., Ste. 100
Troy, MI 48098-1972
E: 248.868.3052
F: 248.896.1044
www.peainc.com
Brush Park Development
2827 John R Street, 105 and 79 Alfred Street

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Development Team and Company Synopsis

Brush Park Properties, LLC, (BPP) is the project developer (“the Developer”). As the founder of BPP, Michael J. VanOverbeke, has a long history of development and historic preservation in Detroit’s Brush Park Neighborhood. VanOverbeke began restoring historic properties within the Neighborhood in 1993. Completed projects include the HP Pulling Home at 48 Edmund, the Hudson/Evans Home at 79 Alfred, the Lucien Moore Estate at 104 Edmund, and the Mt. Sinai Grand Lodge at 312 Watson. The Hudson/Evans Home has been enrolled on the National and State Register of Historic Places and is now home to Michael’s law firm; VanOverbeke, Michaud & Timmony P.C. It is here that VanOverbeke developed his vision for the current development as the property encompasses the lots adjacent to his law office. VanOverbeke envisions a contemporary response and a sustainable re-use of the historic carriage house into a mixed-use development. This project represents a first-of-its-kind design in Detroit.

The development team has selected AM Higley as the General Contractor and OOMBRA Architects as the architect.

Project Synopsis

The Developer has combined three parcels to prepare the land for the development of a mixed-use building located in Detroit’s Brush Park, north of Downtown. By renovating and expanding upon the existing commercial building, the development will total nearly 84,000 square feet.

The existing carriage house building (2827 John R Street) totals approximately 3,267 square feet and was constructed primarily in approximately 1890. The building has been used for a variety of commercial and light industrial purposes. Most recently, the building has served as an art design studio, auto trailer manufacturing, electric motor manufacturing, warehousing, and glazing operations. It is currently vacant.

The remaining land (formerly identified as 105 Alfred Street and a portion of 79 Alfred Street) are undeveloped.
The Brush Park Project will incorporate the original building facade and expansive additions to construct a five-story mixed-use development featuring commercial and residential spaces. Commercial spaces will be located on the first and second floors and will include a bar and restaurant (spanning approximately 9,306 square feet) and office space (totaling 5,456 square feet).

Residential space will consist of ten (10) luxury condominiums available for purchase. Eight (8) condos will be located on floors three through five of the main carriage house building and offered living spaces will include a variety of configurations. Units will vary in size, ranging from approximately 1,242 to 3,796 square feet. Two (2) townhomes will be built along the Alfred Street façade of the development. All condo units will include an outdoor patio space. In total, approximately 21,873 square feet of living space will be made available.

In addition, the building will incorporate an integrated, multi-story parking garage, providing approximately 70 onsite parking spaces with an additional 35 valet spaces. The parking structure will also serve as residential, commercial and public parking, complete with multiple Electric Vehicle charging ports.

Furthermore, the Brush Park Development will feature alleyway improvements to serve as a community gathering space. The space will include artfully crafted lighting, murals, and public seating areas with raised bed landscaping. Pavers and signage will complete the alley that will be accessible to the public creating an entertainment destination.

The building’s redesign incorporates the original building façade and essential considerations specific to modern urban living. Development projects in Brush Park to date fall into two baskets, historic preservation of historic structures or contemporary new construction. This will be the first development in Brush Park incorporating the adaptive re-use of the remaining historic carriage house façade into the contemporary new construction of the five story building. The rehabilitation of the property also includes the repair or replacement of the deteriorated and/or damaged plaster, masonry, brick, and stone. Particular attention will be given to the preservation of the historic nature of the property when economically feasible by ensuring that any damaged decorative details will be replaced with material consistent with the current profile, finish, and color.

Upon completion, this project will bring a functionally obsolete building back to productive use, addressing the growing demand for residential, commercial, and parking space within Brush Park, and will further catalyze economic development in the area.
Project Investment Estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capital Cost</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renovation/Rehabilitation</td>
<td>$18,951,805</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft Costs</td>
<td>$4,862,268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Capital Costs</strong></td>
<td><strong>$25,814,073</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional Financing Incentives Associated with the Redevelopment

Substantial investment is necessary to rehabilitate the existing building and construct the expansive addition. In efforts to grow this project into a viable, long-term redevelopment, the Developer will also apply for a Neighborhood Enterprise Zone Tax Credit (NEZ) for the project’s future residential occupants.

Cost/Benefit Analysis

The proposed project will help meet demand for restaurant, office space, housing stock, and parking in Detroit’s Brush Park Neighborhood. Simultaneously, the Project will bring the property back to productive use after years of vacancy and neglect. This redevelopment is also part of a larger effort involving the Detroit Planning and Development Department to bring vibrancy, connectivity, and housing to Brush Park. Detroit’s Master Plan describes plans to “[i]ncrease the vitality of commercial thoroughfares” by “encouraging high density mixed uses” which should incorporate infill housing. The project will incorporate the existing commercial building facade at 2827 John R Street, closing complying with the Plan’s call to action.

The additional commercial and residential space within the Brush Park Neighborhood will contribute to new income tax for Detroit as a result of the creation of private investment. The project will provide a culinary destination, increase the density, and improve security by creating 24-hour occupancy. The project will create an aesthetically pleasing, yet functional development, further catalyzing additional economic development in the surrounding area. Local businesses in the area will benefit from an influx of new jobs into the area and an increase in spinoff consumer spending.

On a short-term basis, approximately 218 construction jobs will be created during the estimated 16-month construction period. On a long-term basis, the Developer anticipates the creation of approximately 37 full time equivalent (FTE) permanent indirect jobs at the new project. These are anticipated to be created by tenants and third-parties, which include parking services, property maintenance, and positions related to the restaurant which include management, food preparation, and waitstaff.

As needed, the development team will present at a skilled trades task force meeting and utilized the Michigan Minority Contractors Association to solicit bidders and garner opportunities to employ Detroit residents. If the D2D program is available within the necessary timeframes, the developer will utilize this as an additional procurement source.
September 8, 2021

Ms. Jennifer Kanalos  
Authorized Agent  
Detroit Brownfield Redevelopment Authority  
500 Griswold, Suite 2200  
Detroit, Michigan 48226

RE: John R & Alfred St. Brownfield Redevelopment Plan

Dear Ms. Kanalos,

The Detroit Brownfield Redevelopment Authority (DBRA) has asked that the Planning and Development Department to review and comment on the John R & Alfred St. Brownfield Redevelopment Plan (the “Plan”).

Brush Park Properties, LLC’s, is the project developer (“Developer”). The property in the Plan consists of a future single parcel bounded by an alleyway to the north, John R to the east, Alfred Street to the south, and the property line to the west in the Brush Park neighborhood of Detroit.

The project consists of the redevelopment of a functionally obsolete structure, as well as significant new construction, to create a 5 story, mixed-use development. The development will consist of a single building containing 8 residential condominiums totaling approximately 22,000 s.f. of living space, approximately 15,000 s.f. of commercial space leased to a restaurant/bar and office users, and an approximately 70 space parking structure that also has space for an additional approximately 35 valet spaces. The project includes the following Brownfield eligible activities: demolition, site preparation, infrastructure improvements (including the parking structure), and environmental studies.

The project will redevelop a vacant and functionally obsolete property and greatly increase density and provide new, for-sale housing stock, commercial space, and off-street parking in the Brush Park neighborhood of Detroit. Total investment is estimated at $24.8 million.

The review for this brownfield plan is complete and all comments have been forwarded to the developer. No adverse comments were received. The Planning and Development Department recommends approval of the brownfield plan as submitted.

Sincerely,

Russell Baltimore  
Assistant Director Design Review  
Planning and Development Department

c:   B. Vosburg  
     C. Capler
Michael,

Happy to hear your project is moving forward at 2827 John R. This is an important project for our neighborhood. As you know, I am a neighborhood stakeholder in many aspects:

- Brush Park Resident (and property owner)
- Developer and business owner in Brush Park (Crystal Lofts, Brush Watson)
- Member of the Brush Park CDC Board
- Member of the Midtown Detroit Inc. Board

Your carriage house project is unique and well planned. The design incorporates the historic nature of the neighborhood while leaning into the contemporary design elements.

I strongly support your efforts and look forward to seeing it completed soon.

Please feel free to use me as a reference (share my cell number if needed).

Thanks!

Mike
March 19, 2021

Michael VanOverbeke
Brush Park Properties
79 Alfred Street
Detroit, Michigan 48201

RE: Proposed Development at 2827 John R

Dear Mr. VanOverbeke,

This letter is in support of your new development to be located at 2827 John R in Historic Brush Park in the City of Detroit. I have had the opportunity to review plans for your mixed-use development consisting of 8 condominiums, 2 townhomes, commercial office space, parking garage and commercial restaurant/lounge space. Your development plans will convert a dilapidated building and several vacant lots into an exciting, vibrant corner in the heart of this developing neighborhood.

I understand that this project requires the use of Brownfield Tax Increment Financing (TIF) in order to be financially feasible. By using this program, I appreciate that you will be able to successfully revitalize this important corner of our neighborhood and provide housing at an attainable price point. I look forward to meeting the new neighbors that this new development will bring to our community.

I am the owner/developer of the historic Mt. Sinai Grand Lodge building at 312 Watson in Brush Park as well as the developer of several other projects in Brush Park (i.e., the Lucien Moore home at 105 Edmund Pl, and new construction on the vacant lot at 112 Edmund Pl). I have been in Brush Park for over a decade and applaud all your previous developments in this neighborhood. I am certain this new development will be a great success in building our neighborhood.

Very Truly Yours,

[Signature]

Carlo Liburdi, Managing Member
March 5, 2021

Michael VanOverbeke
Brush Park Properties
79 Alfred Street
Detroit, Michigan 48207

RE: Proposed Project at 2827 John R in Brush Park

Dear Mr. VanOverbeke,

On behalf of Midtown Detroit, Inc. (MDI), I am writing this letter to express support of your proposed project at 2827 John R. As the local non-profit community and economic development organization in this neighborhood, we look forward to seeing another property in Brush Park be redeveloped.

The proposed mixed-use development celebrates the brick and mortar of the past with the contemporary spacious residences of Brush Park. This project is centrally located in the Brush Park neighborhood and will complement your past projects, as well as existing and future developments planned for this area.

We are very excited to hear that your project will bring to the neighborhood eight condominiums ranging between 1,120SF-3,700SF; two townhomes at 3,005SF each; 4,300SF of commercial office space; and 12,000SF commercial restaurant/lounge space. Additionally, we were pleased to hear the project proposes an 80-space parking deck to support this development as well as spaces for visitors.

The presence and commitment you have for Brush Park since the early 1990’s is remarkable, and we have witnessed and applaud your previous rehabilitation and historic renovations projects. We look forward to seeing this larger project break ground and continue the positive development momentum in the Brush Park neighborhood.

Sincerely,

Susan T. Mosey
Executive Director
To whom it may concern,

As a stakeholder in the Brush Park community (312 Watson; 112 Edmund Pl) I support the proposed CODA project. Michael VanOverbeke has been a member of this community for almost 30 years and his commitment to Brush Park is impressive. This project will integrate nicely with the recent development projects as well as the existing historic homes. Moreover, the developers commitment to integrating the historic carriage house regardless of construction challenges is commendable and shows his dedication to the preservation of the neighborhood’s dwindling historic structures. I look forward to seeing the completion of this important project!

Best,

Carlo Liburdi
July 29, 2021

Michael VanOverbeke
Brush Park Properties, LLC
79 Alfred Street
Detroit, Michigan 48201

RE: Brownfield TIF - Proposed Development at 2827 John R

Dear Mr. VanOverbeke,

This firm is pleased to write this letter of support for the development of the site located at 2827 John R and 105 Alfred Street in Detroit’s Brush Park neighborhood. These lots are adjacent to our law firm. Redeveloping the vacant lot at 105 Alfred and renovating portions of the building at 2827 John R will have a significant impact on this neighborhood. The design of this development will be a great addition to the architecture of the surrounding neighborhood.

As with many urban redevelopment projects that are developed on contaminated sites considered ‘facilities’ requiring extensive and costly environmental remediation, we understand that this project requires the use of Brownfield Tax Increment Financing (TIF) in order to be financially feasible. By using this program, you will be able to successfully revitalize this important corner of our neighborhood and provide housing at an attainable price point, bringing new resident to our community.

We acknowledge your relationship with this firm as a senior partner and accept that the support of this firm might be considered disingenuous by others. However, as the adjacent property owner at 79 Alfred Street, this firm will be directly impacted by this development. We sincerely believe this development will be beneficial to our property interests and the interests of the surrounding neighbors. We also appreciate your endeavors to engage the neighborhood residents to solicit input on the project’s design.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Thomas Michaud
Managing Partner
ATTACHMENT E

Estimated Cost of Eligible Activities Table
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item/Activity</th>
<th>Total Request</th>
<th>MSF Act 381 Eligible Activities</th>
<th>EGLE Act 381 Eligible Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pre-Approved Activities</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 1 ESA</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Approved Activities Sub-Total</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demolition</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Demolition Activities</td>
<td>$28,400</td>
<td>$28,400</td>
<td>$28,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Demolition Activities</td>
<td>$110,000</td>
<td>$110,000</td>
<td>$110,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demolition Sub-Total</td>
<td>$138,400</td>
<td>$138,400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Infrastructure Improvements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Storm Water Management Systems (Green Roof)</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underground and Multi-Level Parking Structures (public/private)*</td>
<td>$3,669,600</td>
<td>$3,669,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sidewalk Improvements</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure Sub-Total</td>
<td>$3,719,600</td>
<td>$3,719,600</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site Preparation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Site Control (fencing, gates, signage and/or lighting)</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relocation of Active Utilities (Electric, Gas, Water, Sewer)*</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excavation of Unstable Material</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Bracing/sheeting/shoring for Safety During Demo or to Address Special Soil Concerns during Construction of Open Cut Trenches</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Preparation Sub-Total</td>
<td>$268,000</td>
<td>$268,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Preparation of Brownfield Plan and Act 381 Workplan</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownfield Plan/381 Work Plan Preparation and Implementation</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownfield Plan and Act 381 Workplan Sub-Total</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligible Activities Sub-Total</td>
<td>$4,188,000</td>
<td>$4,188,000</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15% Contingency**</td>
<td>$618,300</td>
<td>$618,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developer Eligible Reimbursement Total</td>
<td>$4,807,400</td>
<td>$4,804,900</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIF Capture for Local Brownfield Revolving Fund</td>
<td>$1,280,800</td>
<td>$1,280,800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Fee</td>
<td>$1,215,776</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Brownfield Fund</td>
<td>$380,492</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$7,684,471</td>
<td>$4,804,900</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Request is pro-rated to account for the portion of the parking structure related to residential and commercial operations, excluding valet
**15% Contingency excludes preparation of Brownfield Plan/381 Work Plan and Pre-Approved Activities
ATTACHMENT F

TIF Tables
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brownfield Plan Year</th>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2026</th>
<th>2027</th>
<th>2028</th>
<th>2029</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2031</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base Taxable Value (TV - Ad Valorem)</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental Difference</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$1,980,000</td>
<td>$2,009,700</td>
<td>$2,039,846</td>
<td>$2,070,443</td>
<td>$2,101,500</td>
<td>$2,133,022</td>
<td>$2,165,018</td>
<td>$2,197,493</td>
<td>$2,230,455</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL BASE VALUE</strong></td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL INCREMENTAL DIFFERENCE</strong></td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$1,910,822</td>
<td>$1,940,522</td>
<td>$1,970,668</td>
<td>$2,001,265</td>
<td>$2,032,512</td>
<td>$2,063,844</td>
<td>$2,095,840</td>
<td>$2,128,315</td>
<td>$2,161,277</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### School Capture

| School | Millage Rate | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| State Education Tax (SET) | 6.0000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| School Operating Tax | 16.8579 | | | | | | | | | | |
| School Total | 22.8579 | | | | | | | | | | |
| School Brownfield Capturable Total | 22.8579 | | | | | | | | | | |

### Local Capture

| Location | Millage Rate | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| City Operating | 19.9520 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Library | 4.6307 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wayne County Charter (summer) | 5.6347 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wayne County Charter (winter) | 0.9897 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wayne County Jails | 0.9381 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wayne County Parks | 0.2459 | | | | | | | | | | |
| HCMA | 0.2104 | | | | | | | | | | |
| RESA Enhancement | 1.9962 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wayne County RESA | 0.0965 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wayne County Community College | 3.2408 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wayne County Special Ed | 3.36780 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Local Total | 41.3028 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Local Brownfield Capturable Total | 41.3028 | | | | | | | | | | |

### Non-Capturable Millages

| Millage | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| City Debt | 9.0000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| School Debt | 13.0000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wayne County DIA | 0.2000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wayne County Zoo | 0.1000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Total Non-Capturable Taxes** | 22.3000 | | | | | | | | | | |
| **State and Local Total** | 86.4607 | | | | | | | | | | |
| **State and Local Total Capturable** | 64.1607 | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Local Brownfield Total Capturable** | 41.3028 | | | | | | | | | | |

### Footnotes (*):
## Commercial Portrait Capture Table

### November 4, 2021 - Brownfield / NEZ

**Proposed Brush Park Development - 105 Alfred St, Detroit**

### Table: Value (TV) Increase ($)

#### Brownfield Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>2032</th>
<th>2033</th>
<th>2034</th>
<th>2035</th>
<th>2036</th>
<th>2037</th>
<th>2038</th>
<th>2039</th>
<th>2040</th>
<th>2041</th>
<th>2042</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base Taxable Value (TV) - Ad Valo</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total BASE VAN** | $69,178 | $69,178 | $69,178 | $69,178 | $69,178 | $69,178 | $69,178 | $69,178 | $69,178 | $69,178 | $69,178 |

**Total Incremental Difference** | $2,194,734 | $2,128,693 | $2,263,161 | $2,298,146 | $2,333,656 | $2,369,698 | $2,406,281 | $2,443,413 | $2,481,102 | $2,519,356 | $2,558,104 |

#### School Capture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Millage Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Education Tax (SET)</td>
<td>6.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Operating Tax</td>
<td>16.8579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Brownfield Capturable Total</td>
<td>22.8579</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Local Capture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Millage Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Operating</td>
<td>19.9200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Charter (summer)</td>
<td>5.6347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Charter (winter)</td>
<td>0.9897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Jails</td>
<td>0.9381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Parks</td>
<td>0.2459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Special Ed</td>
<td>3.36780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Community College</td>
<td>3.2408</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Non-Capturable Millages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Capturable Millages</th>
<th>City Debt</th>
<th>School Debt</th>
<th>Wayne County DIA</th>
<th>Wayne County Zoo</th>
<th>Total Non-Capturable Taxes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Debt</td>
<td>9.0000</td>
<td>189,758</td>
<td>20,058</td>
<td>20,388</td>
<td>20,683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Debt</td>
<td>13.0000</td>
<td>28,532</td>
<td>28,973</td>
<td>29,421</td>
<td>29,876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County DIA</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Zoo</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Non-Capturable Taxes** | 22.3000 | 48,943 | 49,700 | 50,468 | 51,249 |

#### State and Local Total

| State and Local Total | 86.4607 | $189,758 | $192,694 | $195,674 | $198,699 |

**Footnotes (**)**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brownfield Plan</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>23</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>27</th>
<th>28</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Base Taxable Value (TV) - Ad Valo</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental Difference</td>
<td>$2,666,773</td>
<td>$2,706,775</td>
<td>$2,747,376</td>
<td>$2,788,587</td>
<td>$2,830,416</td>
<td>$2,872,872</td>
<td>$2,915,965</td>
<td>$2,959,704</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL BASE VAL</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL INCREMENTAL DIFFERENT</td>
<td>$2,597,595</td>
<td>$2,637,597</td>
<td>$2,678,198</td>
<td>$2,719,409</td>
<td>$2,761,238</td>
<td>$2,803,694</td>
<td>$2,846,767</td>
<td>$2,890,526</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**School Capture**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mileage Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>State Education Tax (SET)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>School Operating Tax</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wayne County Charter (summer)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wayne County Charter (winter)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wayne County Jails</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wayne County Parks</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HCCM</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RESA Enhancement</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wayne County REA</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wayne County Community College</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wayne County Special Ed</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Total</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Brownfield Capturable Total</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Local Capture**

| City Operating | 19.9520 |
| Library | 4.6307 |
| Wayne County Charter [summer] | 5.6347 |
| Wayne County Charter [winter] | 0.9897 |
| Wayne County Jails | 0.9381 |
| Wayne County Parks | 0.2459 |
| HCCM | 0.2104 |
| RESA Enhancement | 1.9962 |
| Wayne County REA | 0.0965 |
| Wayne County Community College | 3.2408 |
| Wayne County Special Ed | 3.36780 |
| Local Total | 41.3028 |
| Local Brownfield Capturable Total | 41.3028 |

**Non-Capturable Millages**

| City Debt | 9.0000 |
| School Debt | 13.0000 |
| Wayne County DIA | 0.1000 |
| Wayne County Zoo | 0.1000 |
| Total Non-Capturable Taxes | 22.3000 |
| State and Local Total | 86.4607 |
| State and Local Total Capturable | 64.1607 |

---

**November 4, 2021 - Brownfield / NEZ**

**Commercial Portion Capture Table**

**Proposed Brush Park Development - 105 Alfred St, Detroit**

---
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### NEZ New Facility

#### Detroit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Value (TV) Increase Rate</td>
<td>1.50%</td>
<td>Multiplier 1.015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NEZ Year**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>2021</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2026</th>
<th>2027</th>
<th>2028</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brownfield Plan Year</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### TOTAL BASE VALUE

- $0
- $0
- $0
- $0
- $0
- $0
- $0
- $0

#### TOTAL INCREMENTAL DIFFERENCE

- $3,400,000
- $3,451,000
- $3,502,765
- $3,555,306
- $3,608,636
- $3,662,766

---

#### Footnotes [**]:

1. Base Taxable Value is estimated proportionally based on proposed portion of the building anticipated to be renovated as residential.
2. In Year 13 of NEZ, NEZ Tax Rate = Sum of 5/8 of total mills levied for operating purposes by local government unit (LGU) and county, plus total mills collected under General Property Tax Act.
3. In Year 14 of NEZ, NEZ Tax Rate = Sum of 3/4 of total mills levied for operating purposes by LGU and county, plus total mills collected under General Property Tax Act.
4. In Year 15 of NEZ, NEZ Tax Rate = Sum of 1/8 of total mills levied for operating purposes by LGU and county, plus total mills collected under General Property Tax Act.

---

#### Residential Portion Capture Table

**November 4, 2021 - Brownfield / NEZ**

**Proposed Brush Park Development - 105 Alfred St, Detroit**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2026</th>
<th>2028</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Total</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11,126</td>
<td>17,382</td>
<td>17,855</td>
<td>18,123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3,963</td>
<td>4,023</td>
<td>4,083</td>
<td>4,144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4,822</td>
<td>4,895</td>
<td>4,968</td>
<td>5,043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>847</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>873</td>
<td>886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>827</td>
<td>840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>944</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1,054</td>
<td>1,073</td>
<td>1,094</td>
<td>1,117</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

#### Non-Capturable Millages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2026</th>
<th>2028</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Debt</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7,012</td>
<td>7,818</td>
<td>7,935</td>
<td>8,054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Debt</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11,126</td>
<td>11,293</td>
<td>11,462</td>
<td>11,634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County DIA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Zoo</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Non-Capturable Taxes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19,085</td>
<td>19,371</td>
<td>19,662</td>
<td>19,957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>59,568</td>
<td>60,462</td>
<td>61,368</td>
<td>62,289</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

#### Total Tax Increment Revenue (TIR) Available for Capture

- $0
- $0
- $0
- $0
- $0
- $0
- $0
- $0

---
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### NEZ NEW FACILITY

**DETROIT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>State Education Tax (SET)</th>
<th>School Operating Tax</th>
<th>School Total</th>
<th>School Brownfield Capturable Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2029</td>
<td>$5,615</td>
<td>$5,699</td>
<td>$5,878</td>
<td>$5,959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030</td>
<td>$5,615</td>
<td>$5,699</td>
<td>$5,878</td>
<td>$5,959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2031</td>
<td>$5,878</td>
<td>$5,878</td>
<td>$5,878</td>
<td>$5,878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2032</td>
<td>$5,959</td>
<td>$6,049</td>
<td>$5,959</td>
<td>$6,049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2033</td>
<td>$6,049</td>
<td>$6,049</td>
<td>$5,959</td>
<td>$6,049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2034</td>
<td>$6,049</td>
<td>$6,049</td>
<td>$5,959</td>
<td>$6,049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2035</td>
<td>$6,049</td>
<td>$6,049</td>
<td>$5,959</td>
<td>$6,049</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Local Capture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Millage Rate</th>
<th>2029</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2031</th>
<th>2032</th>
<th>2033</th>
<th>2034</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Operating</td>
<td>19.952</td>
<td>$18,671</td>
<td>$18,951</td>
<td>$19,235</td>
<td>$19,524</td>
<td>$19,817</td>
<td>$20,114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Charter (summer)</td>
<td>5.6347</td>
<td>$4,333</td>
<td>$4,398</td>
<td>$4,464</td>
<td>$4,531</td>
<td>$4,599</td>
<td>$4,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Charter (winter)</td>
<td>0.9879</td>
<td>$926</td>
<td>$940</td>
<td>$954</td>
<td>$968</td>
<td>$983</td>
<td>$998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Jails</td>
<td>0.9381</td>
<td>$878</td>
<td>$891</td>
<td>$904</td>
<td>$918</td>
<td>$932</td>
<td>$946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Parks</td>
<td>0.2459</td>
<td>$230</td>
<td>$234</td>
<td>$237</td>
<td>$241</td>
<td>$244</td>
<td>$248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCMA</td>
<td>0.2104</td>
<td>$197</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>$203</td>
<td>$206</td>
<td>$209</td>
<td>$212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESA Enhancement</td>
<td>1.9962</td>
<td>$1,868</td>
<td>$1,896</td>
<td>$1,925</td>
<td>$1,953</td>
<td>$1,983</td>
<td>$2,012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County RESA</td>
<td>0.0965</td>
<td>$90</td>
<td>$92</td>
<td>$93</td>
<td>$94</td>
<td>$96</td>
<td>$97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Community College</td>
<td>3.2408</td>
<td>$3,033</td>
<td>$3,078</td>
<td>$3,124</td>
<td>$3,171</td>
<td>$3,219</td>
<td>$3,267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Special Ed</td>
<td>3.36786</td>
<td>$3,152</td>
<td>$3,199</td>
<td>$3,247</td>
<td>$3,296</td>
<td>$3,345</td>
<td>$3,395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Total</td>
<td>41.3028</td>
<td>$38,651</td>
<td>$39,231</td>
<td>$39,819</td>
<td>$40,417</td>
<td>$41,023</td>
<td>$41,638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Brownfield Capturable Total</td>
<td>41.3028</td>
<td>$38,651</td>
<td>$39,231</td>
<td>$39,819</td>
<td>$40,417</td>
<td>$41,023</td>
<td>$41,638</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Non-Capturable Millages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Millages</th>
<th>Millage Rate</th>
<th>2029</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2031</th>
<th>2032</th>
<th>2033</th>
<th>2034</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Debt</td>
<td>9.0000</td>
<td>$8,422</td>
<td>$8,549</td>
<td>$8,677</td>
<td>$8,807</td>
<td>$8,939</td>
<td>$9,073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Debt</td>
<td>13.0000</td>
<td>$12,165</td>
<td>$12,348</td>
<td>$12,533</td>
<td>$12,721</td>
<td>$12,912</td>
<td>$13,106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County DIA</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>$187</td>
<td>$190</td>
<td>$191</td>
<td>$192</td>
<td>$193</td>
<td>$194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Zoo</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>$94</td>
<td>$95</td>
<td>$96</td>
<td>$97</td>
<td>$99</td>
<td>$101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Non-Capturable Taxes</td>
<td>23.3000</td>
<td>$20,868</td>
<td>$21,181</td>
<td>$21,499</td>
<td>$21,822</td>
<td>$22,149</td>
<td>$22,481</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2029</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2031</th>
<th>2032</th>
<th>2033</th>
<th>2034</th>
<th>2035</th>
<th>2036</th>
<th>2037</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$69,608</td>
<td>$65,134</td>
<td>$66,111</td>
<td>$67,103</td>
<td>$68,109</td>
<td>$69,131</td>
<td>$70,168</td>
<td>$71,206</td>
<td>$72,248</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Tax Increment Revenue**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2029</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2031</th>
<th>2032</th>
<th>2033</th>
<th>2034</th>
<th>2035</th>
<th>2036</th>
<th>2037</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$44,266</td>
<td>$44,930</td>
<td>$45,604</td>
<td>$46,288</td>
<td>$46,982</td>
<td>$47,687</td>
<td>$48,392</td>
<td>$49,106</td>
<td>$49,820</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### NEZ NEW FACILITY

**DEPTO**

#### Residential Portion Capture Table
November 4, 2021 - Brownfield / NEZ
Proposed Brush Park Development - 105 Alfred St, Detroit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total Non-Capturable Taxes</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>23</th>
<th>24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2038</td>
<td>2039</td>
<td>2040</td>
<td>2041</td>
<td>2042</td>
<td>2043</td>
<td>2044</td>
<td>2045</td>
<td>2046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Local Capture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Millage Rate</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>23</th>
<th>24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Operating</td>
<td>19.952</td>
<td>84,812</td>
<td>86,284</td>
<td>87,375</td>
<td>88,686</td>
<td>90,016</td>
<td>91,366</td>
<td>92,737</td>
<td>94,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Charter (summer)</td>
<td>5.6347</td>
<td>23,952</td>
<td>24,311</td>
<td>24,676</td>
<td>25,046</td>
<td>25,422</td>
<td>25,803</td>
<td>26,190</td>
<td>26,583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Charter (winter)</td>
<td>0.9381</td>
<td>4,207</td>
<td>4,270</td>
<td>4,334</td>
<td>4,399</td>
<td>4,465</td>
<td>4,532</td>
<td>4,600</td>
<td>4,669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Jails</td>
<td>0.2459</td>
<td>1,045</td>
<td>1,061</td>
<td>1,077</td>
<td>1,093</td>
<td>1,109</td>
<td>1,126</td>
<td>1,143</td>
<td>1,160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Parks</td>
<td>0.2104</td>
<td>894</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>921</td>
<td>935</td>
<td>949</td>
<td>963</td>
<td>978</td>
<td>993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCMA</td>
<td>1.9962</td>
<td>8,485</td>
<td>8,613</td>
<td>8,742</td>
<td>8,873</td>
<td>9,006</td>
<td>9,141</td>
<td>9,278</td>
<td>9,418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESA Enhancement</td>
<td>0.0965</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>449</td>
<td>455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County RESA</td>
<td>3.2408</td>
<td>13,776</td>
<td>13,983</td>
<td>14,192</td>
<td>14,405</td>
<td>14,621</td>
<td>14,841</td>
<td>15,063</td>
<td>15,289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Community College</td>
<td>3.3678</td>
<td>14,316</td>
<td>14,531</td>
<td>14,749</td>
<td>14,970</td>
<td>15,194</td>
<td>15,422</td>
<td>15,654</td>
<td>15,888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Special Ed</td>
<td>41.3028</td>
<td>175,569</td>
<td>178,203</td>
<td>180,876</td>
<td>183,589</td>
<td>186,343</td>
<td>189,138</td>
<td>191,975</td>
<td>194,855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Total</td>
<td>41.3028</td>
<td>175,569</td>
<td>178,203</td>
<td>180,876</td>
<td>183,589</td>
<td>186,343</td>
<td>189,138</td>
<td>191,975</td>
<td>194,855</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Non-Capturable Millages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Millage Rate</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>23</th>
<th>24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Debt</td>
<td>9.0000</td>
<td>38,257</td>
<td>38,831</td>
<td>39,413</td>
<td>40,005</td>
<td>40,605</td>
<td>41,214</td>
<td>41,832</td>
<td>42,459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Debt</td>
<td>13.0000</td>
<td>55,260</td>
<td>56,089</td>
<td>56,930</td>
<td>57,784</td>
<td>58,651</td>
<td>59,531</td>
<td>60,424</td>
<td>61,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County DIA</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>876</td>
<td>889</td>
<td>902</td>
<td>916</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Zoo</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>451</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>465</td>
<td>472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Non-Capturable Taxes</td>
<td>22.3000</td>
<td>94,739</td>
<td>96,214</td>
<td>97,658</td>
<td>99,123</td>
<td>100,609</td>
<td>102,119</td>
<td>103,650</td>
<td>105,205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>69.6028</td>
<td>295,867</td>
<td>300,305</td>
<td>304,809</td>
<td>309,382</td>
<td>314,022</td>
<td>318,733</td>
<td>323,514</td>
<td>328,366</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Total Tax Increment Revenue | $ | 201,074 | 204,090 | 207,152 | 210,259 | 213,413 | 216,614 | 219,863 | 223,161 | 226,509 |
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### School Capture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Millage Rate</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>27</th>
<th>28</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2047</td>
<td>2048</td>
<td>2049</td>
<td>2050</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Education Tax (SET)</td>
<td>6.0000</td>
<td>$29,162</td>
<td>$29,599</td>
<td>$30,043</td>
<td>$30,494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Operating Tax</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Total</td>
<td>6.0000</td>
<td>$29,162</td>
<td>$29,599</td>
<td>$30,043</td>
<td>$30,494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Brownfield Capturable Total</td>
<td>6.0000</td>
<td>$29,162</td>
<td>$29,599</td>
<td>$30,043</td>
<td>$30,494</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Local Capture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Millage Rate</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>27</th>
<th>28</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2047</td>
<td>2048</td>
<td>2049</td>
<td>2050</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Operating</td>
<td>19.9520</td>
<td>$96,973</td>
<td>$98,427</td>
<td>$99,904</td>
<td>$101,402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Charter (summer)</td>
<td>5.6347</td>
<td>$27,386</td>
<td>$27,797</td>
<td>$28,214</td>
<td>$28,637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Charter (winter)</td>
<td>0.9897</td>
<td>$4,810</td>
<td>$4,882</td>
<td>$4,956</td>
<td>$5,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Jails</td>
<td>0.9381</td>
<td>$4,559</td>
<td>$4,628</td>
<td>$4,697</td>
<td>$4,768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Parks</td>
<td>0.2459</td>
<td>$1,195</td>
<td>$1,213</td>
<td>$1,231</td>
<td>$1,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCMA</td>
<td>0.2104</td>
<td>$1,023</td>
<td>$1,038</td>
<td>$1,054</td>
<td>$1,069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESA Enhancement</td>
<td>1.9962</td>
<td>$9,702</td>
<td>$9,848</td>
<td>$9,995</td>
<td>$10,145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County RESA</td>
<td>0.0965</td>
<td>$469</td>
<td>$476</td>
<td>$483</td>
<td>$490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Community College</td>
<td>3.2408</td>
<td>$15,751</td>
<td>$15,988</td>
<td>$16,227</td>
<td>$16,471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Special Ed</td>
<td>3.36780</td>
<td>$16,369</td>
<td>$16,614</td>
<td>$16,863</td>
<td>$17,116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Total</td>
<td>41.3028</td>
<td>$200,744</td>
<td>$203,756</td>
<td>$206,812</td>
<td>$209,914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Brownfield Capturable Total</td>
<td>41.3028</td>
<td>$200,744</td>
<td>$203,756</td>
<td>$206,812</td>
<td>$209,914</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Non-Capturable Millages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Millage Rate</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>27</th>
<th>28</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2047</td>
<td>2048</td>
<td>2049</td>
<td>2050</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Debt</td>
<td>9.0000</td>
<td>$43,743</td>
<td>$44,399</td>
<td>$45,065</td>
<td>$45,741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Debt</td>
<td>13.0000</td>
<td>$63,184</td>
<td>$64,132</td>
<td>$65,094</td>
<td>$66,070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County DIA</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>$972</td>
<td>$987</td>
<td>$1,001</td>
<td>$1,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Zoo</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>$486</td>
<td>$493</td>
<td>$501</td>
<td>$508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Non-Capturable Taxes</td>
<td>22.3000</td>
<td>$108,385</td>
<td>$110,011</td>
<td>$111,661</td>
<td>$113,336</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>25</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>27</th>
<th>28</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$69,6028</td>
<td>$338,291</td>
<td>$343,366</td>
<td>$348,516</td>
<td>$353,744</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total Tax Increment Revenue

<p>| $    | $    | $    | $    | $    |
| 229,906 | 233,355 | 236,855 | 240,408 | $504,348 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Capture</th>
<th>Millage Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Education Tax (SET)</td>
<td>6.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Operating Tax</td>
<td>16.8579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Total</td>
<td>22.8579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Brownfield Capturable Total</td>
<td>22.8579</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Capture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
City Operating 19.9520  
Wayne County Charter (summer) 5.6347  
Wayne County Charter (winter) 0.9897  
Wayne County Jails 0.9381  
Wayne County Parks 0.2459  
HCMC 0.2104  
RESA Enhancement 1.9962  
Wayne County RESA 0.0965  
Wayne County Community College 3.2408  
Wayne County Special Ed 3.36780  
Local Total 41.3028  
Local Brownfield Capturable Total 41.3028  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Capturable Millages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
City Debt 9.0000  
School Debt 13.0000  
Wayne County DI6 0.2000  
Wayne County Zoo 0.1000  
Total Non-Capturable Taxes 22.3000  
Total 86.4607  

Footnotes [*]:

**Combined Capture Table**  
November 4, 2021 - Brownfield / NEZ  
Proposed Brush Park Development - 105 Alfred St, Detroit  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tax Abatement Year</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calendar Year</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>2022</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>2025</td>
<td>2026</td>
<td>2027</td>
<td>2028</td>
<td>2029</td>
<td>2030</td>
<td>2031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Base Taxable Value (TV) - Ad Valorem</strong></td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Estimated TV</strong></td>
<td>$5,380,000</td>
<td>$5,460,700</td>
<td>$5,542,611</td>
<td>$5,625,750</td>
<td>$5,710,136</td>
<td>$5,795,788</td>
<td>$5,882,725</td>
<td>$5,970,966</td>
<td>$6,060,530</td>
<td>$6,151,438</td>
<td>$6,242,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Incremental Difference</strong></td>
<td>$2021</td>
<td>69,178</td>
<td>5,310,822</td>
<td>5,391,522</td>
<td>5,473,433</td>
<td>5,556,572</td>
<td>5,640,958</td>
<td>5,726,610</td>
<td>5,813,547</td>
<td>5,901,788</td>
<td>5,991,352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL INCREMENTAL DIFFERENCE</strong></td>
<td>$2021</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL BASE VALUE</strong></td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Combined Capture Table
November 4, 2021 - Brownfield / NEZ
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Capture</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Education Tax (SET)</td>
<td>6.0000</td>
<td>$19,311</td>
<td>$19,628</td>
<td>$38,179</td>
<td>$38,758</td>
<td>$39,346</td>
<td>$39,942</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Operating Tax</td>
<td>16.8579</td>
<td>$37,571</td>
<td>$38,152</td>
<td>$38,742</td>
<td>$39,341</td>
<td>$39,948</td>
<td>$40,565</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Total</td>
<td>22.8579</td>
<td>$56,903</td>
<td>$57,780</td>
<td>$76,921</td>
<td>$78,099</td>
<td>$79,294</td>
<td>$80,507</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Brownfield Capturable Total</td>
<td>22.8579</td>
<td>$56,903</td>
<td>$57,780</td>
<td>$76,921</td>
<td>$78,099</td>
<td>$79,294</td>
<td>$80,507</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Capture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Operating</td>
<td>19.9520</td>
<td>$64,284</td>
<td>$65,269</td>
<td>$90,544</td>
<td>$108,304</td>
<td>$120,394</td>
<td>$132,822</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>4.6307</td>
<td>$14,920</td>
<td>$15,148</td>
<td>$22,407</td>
<td>$23,440</td>
<td>$25,136</td>
<td>$27,942</td>
<td>$30,827</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Charter (summer)</td>
<td>5.6347</td>
<td>$18,155</td>
<td>$18,433</td>
<td>$27,265</td>
<td>$30,586</td>
<td>$34,001</td>
<td>$37,511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Charter (winter)</td>
<td>0.9897</td>
<td>$3,189</td>
<td>$3,238</td>
<td>$4,789</td>
<td>$5,372</td>
<td>$5,972</td>
<td>$6,589</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Jails</td>
<td>0.9381</td>
<td>$3,022</td>
<td>$3,069</td>
<td>$4,339</td>
<td>$5,092</td>
<td>$5,661</td>
<td>$6,245</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Parks</td>
<td>0.2459</td>
<td>$792</td>
<td>$804</td>
<td>$1,190</td>
<td>$1,325</td>
<td>$1,488</td>
<td>$1,637</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCMA</td>
<td>0.2104</td>
<td>$678</td>
<td>$688</td>
<td>$1,018</td>
<td>$1,142</td>
<td>$1,270</td>
<td>$1,401</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESA Enhancement</td>
<td>1.9962</td>
<td>$6,442</td>
<td>$6,530</td>
<td>$9,659</td>
<td>$10,836</td>
<td>$12,045</td>
<td>$13,289</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County RESA</td>
<td>0.0965</td>
<td>$311</td>
<td>$316</td>
<td>$467</td>
<td>$524</td>
<td>$582</td>
<td>$642</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Community College</td>
<td>3.2408</td>
<td>$10,442</td>
<td>$10,602</td>
<td>$15,682</td>
<td>$17,592</td>
<td>$19,556</td>
<td>$21,574</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Special Ed</td>
<td>3.36780</td>
<td>$10,851</td>
<td>$11,017</td>
<td>$16,296</td>
<td>$18,281</td>
<td>$20,322</td>
<td>$22,420</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Total</td>
<td>41.3028</td>
<td>$133,074</td>
<td>$135,113</td>
<td>$199,857</td>
<td>$224,200</td>
<td>$249,228</td>
<td>$274,956</td>
<td>$274,956</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Brownfield Capturable Total</td>
<td>41.3028</td>
<td>$133,074</td>
<td>$135,113</td>
<td>$199,857</td>
<td>$224,200</td>
<td>$249,228</td>
<td>$274,956</td>
<td>$274,956</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Capturable Millages</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Debt</td>
<td>9.0000</td>
<td>$28,997</td>
<td>$29,442</td>
<td>$43,550</td>
<td>$48,854</td>
<td>$54,308</td>
<td>$59,914</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Debt</td>
<td>13.0000</td>
<td>$41,885</td>
<td>$42,527</td>
<td>$62,905</td>
<td>$70,567</td>
<td>$78,444</td>
<td>$86,542</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Sales Tax</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
<td>$644</td>
<td>$654</td>
<td>$968</td>
<td>$1,086</td>
<td>$1,207</td>
<td>$1,331</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Zoo</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
<td>$322</td>
<td>$327</td>
<td>$484</td>
<td>$543</td>
<td>$603</td>
<td>$666</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Non-Capturable Taxes</td>
<td>22.3000</td>
<td>$71,849</td>
<td>$72,950</td>
<td>$107,906</td>
<td>$121,049</td>
<td>$134,562</td>
<td>$148,453</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>86.4607</td>
<td>$261,825</td>
<td>$265,843</td>
<td>$384,685</td>
<td>$423,349</td>
<td>$463,084</td>
<td>$503,916</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Value (TV) Increase Rs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brownfield Plan</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calendar 1</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>2035</td>
<td>2036</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>2038</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Base Taxable Value (TV) - Ad Valo

| Estimated | 6,243,710 | 6,337,365 | 6,432,426 | 6,528,912 | 6,626,846 | $2,475,459 |

Incremental Difference

| $ | $ | $ | $ | $ | $ | $ | $ | $ | $ |

TOTAL BASE VAL

| $69,178 | $69,178 | $69,178 | $69,178 | $69,178 | $69,178 | $69,178 |   |   |   |

TOTAL INCREMENTAL DIFFEREE

| $6,174,532 | $6,268,178 | $6,363,248 | $6,459,734 | $6,557,668 | $6,657,071 |   |   |   |   |
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## Combined Capture Table

**November 4, 2021 - Brownfield / NEZ**  
**Proposed Brush Park Development - 105 Alfred St, Detroit**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Skyvalue (TV) Increase Rate</th>
<th>Tax Abatement Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brownfield Plan</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calendar</td>
<td>2039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base Taxable Value (TV) - Ad Valo</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimate</td>
<td>$2,512,591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental Difference</td>
<td>$2,512,591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL BASE VAL</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL INCREMENTAL DIFFER</td>
<td>$6,757,964</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### School Capture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Millage Rate</th>
<th>6.0000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Education Tax (SET)</td>
<td>$40,548</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Operating Tax</td>
<td>$16,857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Total</td>
<td>$22,857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Charter (summer)</td>
<td>$5,634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Charter (winter)</td>
<td>$0,987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Jails</td>
<td>$0,938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Parks</td>
<td>$0,245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCMA</td>
<td>$0,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESA Enhancement</td>
<td>$1,966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County RESA</td>
<td>$0,965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Community College</td>
<td>$3,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Special Ed</td>
<td>$3,678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Total</td>
<td>$41,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>$0,965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Community College</td>
<td>$3,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Special Ed</td>
<td>$3,678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Total</td>
<td>$41,302</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Non-Capturable Millages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Millage Rate</th>
<th>6.0000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Debt</td>
<td>$60,822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Debt</td>
<td>$13,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County DIa</td>
<td>$0,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Zoo</td>
<td>$0,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Non-Capturable Taxes</td>
<td>$22,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$86,467</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| City Debt | $360,861 | $366,341 | $371,903 | $377,548 | $383,278 | $389,093 | $394,996 | $400,988 | $407,069 |
## Combined Capture Table

### Proposed Brush Park Development - 105 Alfred St, Detroit

#### COMBINED SHEET

**Estimated Taxable Value (TV) Increase Rate:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DETROIT</th>
<th>Tax Abatement</th>
<th>Brownfield Plan</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>27</th>
<th>28</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brownfield Plan Year</td>
<td>Calendar</td>
<td>2048</td>
<td>2049</td>
<td>2050</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base Taxable Value (TV) - Ad Valorem</td>
<td>Estimate</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incremental Difference</td>
<td>$2,872,872</td>
<td>$2,915,965</td>
<td>$2,959,704</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL BASE VALUE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$69,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL INCREMENTAL DIFFER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,736,908</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### School Capture

#### Millage Rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Capture</th>
<th>Millage Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Education Tax (SET)</td>
<td>6.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Operating Tax</td>
<td>16.8579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Total</td>
<td>22.8579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Brownfield Capturable Total</td>
<td>22.8579</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Local Capture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Capture</th>
<th>Millage Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Operating</td>
<td>19.9520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td>4.6307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Charter (summer)</td>
<td>5.6347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Charter (winter)</td>
<td>0.9897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Jails</td>
<td>0.9381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Parks</td>
<td>0.2409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCMA</td>
<td>0.2104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESA Enhancement</td>
<td>1.9962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County RESA</td>
<td>0.0965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Community College</td>
<td>3.2408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Special Ed</td>
<td>3.36780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Total</td>
<td>41.3028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Brownfield Capturable Total</td>
<td>41.3028</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Non-Capturable Millages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Capturable Millages</th>
<th>Millage Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Debt</td>
<td>9.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Debt</td>
<td>13.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Dia</td>
<td>0.2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayne County Zoo</td>
<td>0.1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Non-Capturable Taxes</td>
<td>22.3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>86.4607</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Footnotes (**):

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2048</td>
<td>$2,872,872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2049</td>
<td>$2,915,965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2050</td>
<td>$2,959,704</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2048</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2049</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2050</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$7,736,908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$7,853,999</td>
<td>$7,972,847</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2048</td>
<td>$2,872,872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2049</td>
<td>$2,915,965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2050</td>
<td>$2,959,704</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2048</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2049</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2050</td>
<td>$69,178</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$69,178</td>
<td>$7,736,908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$7,853,999</td>
<td>$7,972,847</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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Table 4: Reimbursement Table
79/105 Alfred St and 2827 John R Rd, Detroit
November 4, 2021

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2026</th>
<th>2027</th>
<th>2028</th>
<th>2029</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2031</th>
<th>2032</th>
<th>2033</th>
<th>2034</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Environmental Costs</td>
<td>$40,512</td>
<td>$40,512</td>
<td>$40,512</td>
<td>$40,512</td>
<td>$40,512</td>
<td>$40,512</td>
<td>$40,512</td>
<td>$40,512</td>
<td>$40,512</td>
<td>$40,512</td>
<td>$40,512</td>
<td>$40,512</td>
<td>$40,512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Reimbursement Balance</td>
<td>$4,273,948</td>
<td>$4,273,948</td>
<td>$4,273,948</td>
<td>$4,273,948</td>
<td>$4,273,948</td>
<td>$4,273,948</td>
<td>$4,273,948</td>
<td>$4,273,948</td>
<td>$4,273,948</td>
<td>$4,273,948</td>
<td>$4,273,948</td>
<td>$4,273,948</td>
<td>$4,273,948</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- **MSF Non-Environmental Costs**: $4,804,900
- **EGLE Environmental Costs**: $2,500
- **Local Only Costs**: $0
- **Total Local Only Reimbursement Balance**: $0

**LOCAL BROWNFIELD REVOLVING FUND**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2022</th>
<th>2023</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
<th>2026</th>
<th>2027</th>
<th>2028</th>
<th>2029</th>
<th>2030</th>
<th>2031</th>
<th>2032</th>
<th>2033</th>
<th>2034</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Tax Reimbursement</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Tax Reimbursement</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total LBFR Capture</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Estimated Total**
- **Years of Plan**: 28
- **Estimated Capture**
  - Administrative Fees: $1,215,776
  - State Revolving Fund: $380,492
  - LBFR: $1,281,803
  - Developer Capture: $4,807,400

**Footnotes**
- Estimated Total Available
- Total Annual Developer Reimbursement
- Total Local Only Reimbursement Balance
- Total EGLE Reimbursement Balance
- Total MSF Reimbursement Balance
- Developer Reimbursement Balance
- Local Tax Reimbursement
- State Tax Reimbursement
- EGLE Environmental Costs
- Total State & Local TIR Available
- Local TIR Available for Reimbursement
- BRA Administrative Fee (15% or max $100,000 annually)
- Total Local Incremental Revenue
- Local TIR Available for Reimbursement
- Estimated Total Local IRR Capture
- Estimated Total State IRR Capture
- Estimated Total School & Local IRR Capture
- Estimated Total Brownfield Revolving Fund (50% of SET)
- Estimated Total Local Incremental Revenue
- Total Local Incremental Revenue
- Total Tax Capture
- Total State Tax Capture
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>23</th>
<th>24</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total State Incremental Revenue</td>
<td>$76,923</td>
<td>$78,599</td>
<td>$79,294</td>
<td>$80,507</td>
<td>$81,739</td>
<td>$82,968</td>
<td>$84,297</td>
<td>$85,545</td>
<td>$86,811</td>
<td>$88,178</td>
<td>$89,543</td>
<td>$90,939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Brownfield Revolving Fund (60% of LBRF)</td>
<td>$38,500</td>
<td>$39,525</td>
<td>$40,274</td>
<td>$41,033</td>
<td>$41,791</td>
<td>$42,540</td>
<td>$43,298</td>
<td>$44,057</td>
<td>$44,816</td>
<td>$45,575</td>
<td>$46,334</td>
<td>$47,093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Local Incremental Revenue</td>
<td>$699,857</td>
<td>$724,270</td>
<td>$749,275</td>
<td>$774,456</td>
<td>$797,133</td>
<td>$819,833</td>
<td>$842,456</td>
<td>$865,433</td>
<td>$888,513</td>
<td>$911,705</td>
<td>$935,075</td>
<td>$959,575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIA Administrative Fee (15% or max $200,000)</td>
<td>$41,232</td>
<td>$41,235</td>
<td>$41,235</td>
<td>$41,235</td>
<td>$41,235</td>
<td>$41,235</td>
<td>$41,235</td>
<td>$41,235</td>
<td>$41,235</td>
<td>$41,235</td>
<td>$41,235</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local TIR Available for Reimbursement</td>
<td>$158,343</td>
<td>$178,856</td>
<td>$199,955</td>
<td>$221,636</td>
<td>$244,094</td>
<td>$267,241</td>
<td>$287,615</td>
<td>$307,241</td>
<td>$326,315</td>
<td>$343,165</td>
<td>$360,016</td>
<td>$377,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEVOLPER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEVELOPER Reimbursement Balance</td>
<td>$2,887,980</td>
<td>$2,650,405</td>
<td>$2,390,634</td>
<td>$2,108,662</td>
<td>$1,822,203</td>
<td>$1,532,395</td>
<td>$1,236,170</td>
<td>$996,464</td>
<td>$632,209</td>
<td>$522,136</td>
<td>$50,777</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unreimbursed Interest Balance</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSF Non-Environmental Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Tax Reimbursement</td>
<td>$57,702</td>
<td>$58,505</td>
<td>$59,308</td>
<td>$60,105</td>
<td>$60,902</td>
<td>$61,708</td>
<td>$62,515</td>
<td>$63,322</td>
<td>$64,129</td>
<td>$65,036</td>
<td>$65,943</td>
<td>$67,214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Tax Reimbursement</td>
<td>$57,708</td>
<td>$58,511</td>
<td>$59,314</td>
<td>$60,111</td>
<td>$60,908</td>
<td>$61,705</td>
<td>$62,512</td>
<td>$63,319</td>
<td>$64,116</td>
<td>$65,023</td>
<td>$65,930</td>
<td>$67,201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developer Reimbursement Balance</td>
<td>$2,886,478</td>
<td>$2,649,027</td>
<td>$2,391,591</td>
<td>$2,107,363</td>
<td>$1,821,251</td>
<td>$1,530,598</td>
<td>$1,235,327</td>
<td>$995,077</td>
<td>$631,880</td>
<td>$331,568</td>
<td>$9,772</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total MSF Reimbursement Balance</td>
<td>$2,886,478</td>
<td>$2,649,027</td>
<td>$2,391,591</td>
<td>$2,107,363</td>
<td>$1,821,251</td>
<td>$1,530,598</td>
<td>$1,235,327</td>
<td>$995,077</td>
<td>$631,880</td>
<td>$331,568</td>
<td>$9,772</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGLE Environmental Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Tax Reimbursement</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Tax Reimbursement</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developer Reimbursement Balance</td>
<td>$1,502</td>
<td>$1,378</td>
<td>$1,253</td>
<td>$1,128</td>
<td>$993</td>
<td>$858</td>
<td>$724</td>
<td>$593</td>
<td>$462</td>
<td>$331</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>$48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total FGLE Reimbursement Balance</td>
<td>$1,537</td>
<td>$1,398</td>
<td>$1,283</td>
<td>$1,161</td>
<td>$988</td>
<td>$893</td>
<td>$756</td>
<td>$634</td>
<td>$502</td>
<td>$351</td>
<td>$218</td>
<td>$52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Only Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Annual Developer Reimbursement</td>
<td>$2,886,478</td>
<td>$2,649,027</td>
<td>$2,391,591</td>
<td>$2,107,363</td>
<td>$1,821,251</td>
<td>$1,530,598</td>
<td>$1,235,327</td>
<td>$995,077</td>
<td>$631,880</td>
<td>$331,568</td>
<td>$9,772</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOCAL BROWNFIELD REVOLVING FUNDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBRF Deposits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Tax Capture</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Tax Capture</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total LBRF Capture</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 4: Reimbursement Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total State Incremental Revenue</strong></td>
<td>$92,278</td>
<td>$93,686</td>
<td>$95,115</td>
<td>$96,560</td>
<td>$2,020,657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State Brownfield Revolving Fund (50% of SET)</strong></td>
<td>$22,842</td>
<td>$22,842</td>
<td>$22,842</td>
<td>$22,842</td>
<td>$91,368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State TIR Available for Reimbursement</strong></td>
<td>$69,435</td>
<td>$70,844</td>
<td>$72,293</td>
<td>$73,744</td>
<td>$286,770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Local Incremental Revenue</strong></td>
<td>$514,731</td>
<td>$515,156</td>
<td>$515,581</td>
<td>$516,015</td>
<td>$2,084,582</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BRA Administrative Fee (5% or max $100,000 annually)</strong></td>
<td>$61,450</td>
<td>$61,875</td>
<td>$62,300</td>
<td>$62,725</td>
<td>$246,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local TIR Available for Reimbursement</strong></td>
<td>$253,731</td>
<td>$257,370</td>
<td>$261,466</td>
<td>$265,421</td>
<td>$1,018,098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total State &amp; Local TIR Available</strong></td>
<td>$323,144</td>
<td>$351,256</td>
<td>$363,581</td>
<td>$369,886</td>
<td>$1,505,389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DEVISOR Reimbursement Balance</strong></td>
<td>$253,144</td>
<td>$351,256</td>
<td>$363,581</td>
<td>$369,886</td>
<td>$1,505,389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unreimbursed Interest Balance</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Footnotes:

**LOCAL BROWNFIELD REVOLVING FUND**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LBRF Deposits &amp; State Tax Capture</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>2019</th>
<th>2020</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>LBRF Deposits</strong></td>
<td>$253,731</td>
<td>$257,370</td>
<td>$261,466</td>
<td>$265,421</td>
<td>$1,080,098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State Tax Capture</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Tax Capture</strong></td>
<td>$253,731</td>
<td>$257,370</td>
<td>$261,466</td>
<td>$265,421</td>
<td>$1,080,098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total LBRF Capture</strong></td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**MSF Non-Environmental Costs**

- State Tax Reimbursement: $1,218,825
- Local Tax Reimbursement: $3,586,021

**Developer Reimbursement Balance**

- Total MSF Reimbursement Balance: $0

**EGLE Environmental Costs**

- State Tax Reimbursement: $0
- Local Tax Reimbursement: $1,866

**Developer Reimbursement Balance**

- Total EGLE Reimbursement Balance: $0

**Local Only Costs**

- Total Local Only Reimbursement Balance: $0

**Total Annual Developer Reimbursement**

- Total Annual Developer Reimbursement: $0

---
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BSE&E Acknowledgement and Other Environmental Documents
Attachment B

TO: THE DETROIT BROWNFIELD REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

FROM: DETROIT, BUILDINGS, SAFETY ENGINEERING, AND ENVIRONMENTAL DEPARTMENT

PROJECT: 79 Alfred

DATE: August 9, 2021

The undersigned, from the City of Detroit, Buildings, Safety Engineering, and Environmental Department acknowledges the receipt of the environmental documents listed below, which have been submitted by Brush Park Properties, LLC as developer, as part of its Brownfield Plan submittal to the Detroit Brownfield Redevelopment Authority (DBRA), for the 79 Alfred Street project. Properties combined include 79 Alfred, 105 Alfred and 2827 John R.

1. Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, pursuant to USEPA’s “All Appropriate Inquiry using American Society of Testing Materials (ASTM) Standard E 1527-13

2. Phase II Environmental Site Assessment, pursuant to ASTM Standard 1903 (if appropriate)

Baseline Environmental Assessment, pursuant to Part 201 of Michigan’s Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, MCL 324.20101 et seq. (if appropriate).

Due Care Plan, pursuant to Part 201 of Michigan’s Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, MCL 324.20101 et seq. (if appropriate).

Based upon its review of the above environmental documents and the representations of the developer, the City of Detroit, Buildings, Safety Engineering, and Environmental Department agrees with the environmental consultant that the site is not considered a Part 201 “facility” because no contamination is indicated in the ESA. Brownfield credit can only be considered for blight or functional obsolete status if designated by the assessor’s office. Since the properties at 79 Alfred, 105 Alfred, 2827 John R and 112 Edmund are being combined, functional obsolete status can be considered by the assessor’s office based on the vacant building on 2827 John R. The documents received for this project satisfy the DBRA Guidelines.

City of Detroit, Buildings, Safety Engineering, and Environmental Department

By: Anita Harrington
Its: Environmental Specialist III

Michael E. Duggan, MAYOR
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Incentive Chart
Incentive Information Chart: 2827 John R Street 105 Alfred Street, and 79 Alfred Street, Detroit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Incentive Type</th>
<th>Investment Amount</th>
<th>District</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial/Residential – Mixed Use</td>
<td>Brownfield TIF, NEZ</td>
<td>Approx. $26 Million Investment</td>
<td>Brush Park District 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Jobs Available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construction</th>
<th>Post Construction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Professio nal</td>
<td>Professio nal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non- Professional</td>
<td>Non- Professional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skilled Labor</td>
<td>Skilled Labor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Skilled Labor</td>
<td>Non-Skilled Labor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. **What is the plan for hiring Detroiters?**

The development team will present at local trades sessions to solicit bidders and garner opportunities to employ Detroit residents. If the D2D program is available within the necessary timeframes, the developer will utilize this as an additional procurement source as needed.

Brush Park Properties, LLC has a policy of non-discrimination in its hiring practices, as is required by prevailing non-discrimination laws. As long as Brush Park Properties, LLC remains in compliance with these policies and laws, Detroit-based worker hiring will be encouraged, both permanent and temporary.

2. **Please give a detailed description of the jobs available as listed in the above chart, i.e: job type, job qualifications, etc.**

Available construction jobs include demolition, site utilities, concrete, masonry, carpentry, steel work, architectural woodwork, roofing, window glass and glazing, framing, painting, flooring, tiling, plumbing and HVAC, and electrical. It is anticipated 218 construction jobs will be created.

The Developer anticipates the creation of approximately 37 full time equivalent permanent indirect jobs at the new project. These are anticipated to be created by tenants and third-parties, which include parking services, property maintenance, and positions related to the restaurant which include management, food preparation, and waitstaff.
3. Will this development cause any relocation that will create new Detroit residents?

The development is anticipated to create an estimated 10 new residential units in the City of Detroit. No relocation will occur as the property is currently vacant.

4. Has the developer reached out to any community groups to discuss the project and/or any potential jobs?

The Developer has had numerous meetings with the Brush Park Citizens District Corporation (CDC) and several neighborhood groups. The following letters of support are submitted:
   - Susan Mosey, the Executive Director of Midtown Detroit;
   - Michael Essian, American Community Developers and Brush Park resident;
   - Carlo Liburdi, Brush Park Developer; and
   - Thomas Michaud, VMT Law Firm adjacent property owner.

5. When is construction slated to begin?

Construction is slated to commence in Fall 2021.

6. What is the expected completion date of construction?

Construction is expected to be completed in Spring 2023.
Attachment I

Eligibility Documentation
September 8, 2021

Ms. Jennifer Kanalos  
Authorized Agent  
City of Detroit Brownfield Redevelopment Authority  
500 Griswold Street, 22nd Floor  
Detroit, Michigan 48226  

RE: 2827 John R  
Parcel 01000667-9  

Dear Ms. Kanalos:

The Office of The Chief Financial Officer, Office of the Assessor, has reviewed the proposed project for the property located at 2827 John R, Detroit, Michigan (the “Property”) in anticipation of the Property being included in a future brownfield plan.

The Brownfield Redevelopment Financing Act, Public Act 381 of 1996 (“Act 381”), as amended, requires that a Level III or IV Assessor make a finding that the Property is “functionally obsolete”, as defined by Act 381, and provide the underlying basis for that opinion.

Section 2(s) of Act 381, as amended, defines “functionally obsolete” as property that is “unable to be used to adequately perform the function for which it was intended due to a substantial loss in value resulting from factors such as overcapacity, changes in technology, deficiencies or superadequacies in design, or other similar factors that affect the property itself or the property’s relationship with other surrounding property.” MCL 125.2652(s). Further, the Assessors Manual defines functional obsolescence as “a loss in value occurring in a structure caused by changes in design, overcapacity, or inadequacy.” Michigan Assessors Manual, Vol. I Glossary, p. 239.

2827 John R is a vacant one-story building, built sometime between 1890 and 1907. The building requires complete rehabilitation – the roof has collapsed, all mechanicals need to be replaced, flooring and foundation appear compromised, and overall, the structure appears to be unsound. Due to significant deterioration, substantial repairs and replacements are required to restore the building to commercial use, as well as reconfiguration of flooring layouts.

The Office of the Assessor finds the Property to be functionally obsolete within the definition of the Assessors Manual and the Brownfield Redevelopment Financing Act.

Sincerely,

Charles Ericson, MMAO (IV)  
Assessor  
Board of Assessors
November 10, 2021

The Honorable City Council  
City of Detroit  
Coleman A. Young Municipal Center  
2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 1340  
Detroit, Michigan 48226

City of Detroit Brownfield Redevelopment Authority  
Board of Directors  
500 Griswold Street, Suite 2200  
Detroit, Michigan 48226

Re: Recommendation for Approval of the CODA Brush Park Brownfield Redevelopment Plan

Honorable Members of the Detroit City Council and the City of Detroit Brownfield Redevelopment Authority Board of Directors:

In accordance with the resolution of the Detroit City Council creating the City of Detroit Brownfield Redevelopment Authority (the “Authority”), the Community Advisory Committee, at its meeting of November 10, 2021, adopted a resolution approving the proposed Brownfield Plan for the CODA Brush Park Redevelopment and recommending adoption of this Brownfield Plan by the Authority and City Council.

Please accept this letter of recommendation for approval from the Community Advisory Committee on the Brownfield Plan for the CODA Brush Park Redevelopment.

Very truly yours,

By:  
Kamal Cheeks, Chairperson  
Community Advisory Committee to the City of Detroit  
Brownfield Redevelopment Authority
DETROIT BROWNFIELD REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
MINUTES OF THE
REGULAR COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 10, 2021 - 5:00 PM
HELD VIA ZOOM

COMMITTEE MEMBERS
PRESENT: Rick Blocker
        Rico Razo
        Kamal Cheeks
        Peter Rhoades
        Dr. Regina Randall
        Brad Lutz
        Allen Rawls

COMMITTEE MEMBERS
ABSENT: Michelle Lee
       Simone Sagovac

OTHERS PRESENT: Jennifer Kanalos (DEGC/DBRA)
                Brian Vosburg (DEGC/DBRA)
                Malinda Jensen (DEGC/DBRA)
                Cora Capler (DEGC/DBRA)
                Elizabeth Masserang (PM Environmental)
                Michael Van Overbeke (Brush Park Properties, LLC)
                Stephen Goodman (InDevelopment Partners)
Call to Order
Mr. Cheeks, Chairperson, called the meeting to order at 5:00 p.m.

Ms. Kanalos took a roll call of the CAC Members present.

Projects
Brownfield Plan for CODA Brush Park
Mr. Vosburg presented the Brownfield Plan for CODA Brush Park.

Project Introduction
Brush Park Properties, LLC, is the project developer ("Developer"). The project includes the construction of an expansive addition to incorporate the existing carriage house building facade, built in 1890, into a 5-story modern, mixed-use development. The building will include approximately 84,000 square feet of commercial and residential spaces. Approximately ten (10) luxury condominium units will be provided for purchase, totaling an estimated 22,000 square feet of living space. Eight of the residential units will be located on floors 3-5 of the main carriage house building and the two remaining townhome units will be built along the Alfred Street façade of the development. It is anticipated that the remaining portion of the building will be occupied by a restaurant and bar and office space, totaling approximately 15,000 square feet. Additionally, the building will feature an integrated parking deck with approximately 105 total parking spaces. The parking structure will also serve as residential, commercial, and public parking, complete with multiple electric vehicle charging ports. The development will also feature alleyway improvements to serve as a community gathering space. It is currently anticipated that construction will begin in the Fall of 2021 and eligible activities will be completed in 2023.

The total investment is estimated to be $25.8 million. The Developer is requesting $4,807,400.00 in TIF reimbursement.

There will be approximately 218 temporary construction jobs and 37 permanent jobs are expected to be created by the project.

Property Subject to the Plan
The eligible property (the “Property”) consists of one (1) combined parcel bounded to the north by an alleyway, to the east by John R Street, to the south by Alfred Street, and to the west by the property line in the Brush Park neighborhood.

Basis of Eligibility
The Property is considered “eligible property” as defined by Act 381, Section 2 because (a) the Property was previously utilized for a commercial purpose; (b) it is located within the City of Detroit, a qualified local governmental unit under Act 381; and (c) the Property parcel has been determined to be “functionally obsolete” as defined by Act 381.

Eligible Activities and Projected Costs
The "eligible activities" that are intended to be carried out at the Property are considered “eligible activities” as defined by Sec 2 of Act 381, because they include pre-approved activities, department specific activities, site demolition activities, infrastructure improvements, site preparation, and development, preparation and implementation of a brownfield plan and Act 381 Work Plan. The eligible activities and budgeted costs are intended as part of the development of the Property and will be financed solely by the Developer. The
Authority is not responsible for any costs of eligible activities and will incur no debt. The eligible activities are estimated to commence within 18 months of approval of the Plan and be completed within 3 years.

**Tax Increment Financing (TIF) Capture**

The Developer desires to be reimbursed for the costs of eligible activities. Tax increment revenue generated by the Property will be captured by the DBRA and used to reimburse the cost of the eligible activities completed on the Property after approval of this Plan pursuant to the terms of a Reimbursement Agreement with the DBRA.

### COSTS TO BE REIMBURSED WITH TIF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Pre-Approved Activities</td>
<td>$2,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Demolition</td>
<td>$138,400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Site Preparation</td>
<td>$268,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Infrastructure Improvements</td>
<td>$3,674,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Urban Storm Water Management System</td>
<td>$45,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Brownfield Plan &amp; Work Plan</td>
<td>$60,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Contingency (15%)</td>
<td>$618,900.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Reimbursement to Developer** $4,807,400.00*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8. Authority Administrative Costs</td>
<td>$1,215,776.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. State Brownfield Redevelopment Fund</td>
<td>$380,492.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Local Brownfield Revolving Fund</td>
<td>$1,280,803.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL Estimated Costs** $7,684,471.00

*Request is pro-rated to account for the portion of the parking structure related to residential and commercial operations, excluding valet

The actual cost of those eligible activities encompassed by this Plan that will qualify for reimbursement from tax increment revenues of the DBRA from the Property shall be governed by the terms of the Reimbursement Agreement.

**Other Incentives**

The Developer is seeking additional incentives, which will include local and/or state approval of a Neighborhood Enterprise Zone (PA 147) Tax Abatement.

Attached for the CAC’s review and approval were three (3) resolutions: 1.) a resolution supporting the Plan in the event the Committee does not deem it necessary to conduct a CAC public hearing and 2.) a resolution authorizing a public hearing in the project area and 3.) a resolution authorizing a public hearing in the project area and to appoint up to two special CAC members. The public hearing may be held jointly with any public hearing conducted by the Detroit Brownfield Redevelopment Authority.

Mr. VanOverbeke provided more information about the project and his development experience in Detroit including his four previously completed projects in the Brush Park neighborhood, the work that has been performed to plan for the CODA project, the plans to preserve the carriage house as part of the new development, the design for the project and the goal to combine the historic character of the existing structure and the Brush Park neighborhood with the more contemporary addition to the structure, the location of the townhomes on Alfred Street to mask the parking structure from view, the future tenants for the new development, the sustainable features of the new development, and the need for the proposed parking structure and the high cost of building a parking structure.

Mr. Lutz stated that he liked the design of the development and the preservation of the historic character of the existing carriage house and he appreciates the incorporation of sustainable design features, and asked what the anticipated sale prices are for the residential units. Mr. VanOverbeke stated that the anticipated sale prices for the residential units range from approximately $580,000 up to $2 million.
Mr. Lutz asked if tenants have been identified for the commercial spaces. Mr. VanOverbeke stated that the general contractor for the project, AMHigley, will occupy the second-floor commercial space, and that there is a Detroit-based hospitality company has been identified for the first-floor commercial space but has not yet been made public. Mr. VanOverbeke stated that 6 of the 10 condominium units have been committed.

Mr. Lutz asked if there are any phased components of the project. Mr. VanOverbeke stated that there are no phased components to the project.

Mr. Rhoades stated that he does not support the portion of the TIF request under the Plan pertaining to the parking structure and asked why the costs for the parking structure should be included in the Eligible Activities for TIF reimbursement. Mr. VanOverbeke stated that the cost of constructing a parking structure are very high and that the parking structure is vital for the development in order to service the future residents of the development as well as service the patrons of the commercial spaces and not having a parking structure option for the development would create a parking and traffic burden on the surrounding area.

Dr. Randall stated that after reviewing the letters of support included in the Plan, she recommends having letters from community organizations and churches in the area to demonstrate support for the project.

Mr. Rawls stated that he would like to applaud the Developer for the investing in the Brush Park neighborhood dating back to a time when investment in the neighborhood was scarce and for preserving historic structures in the area and saving them from potential demolition, and for including sustainable design features in the development.

Mr. Rawls asked who the architect is for the project. Mr. VanOverbeke stated that the architect for the project is Oombra Architects based in Philadelphia.

Mr. Rawls asked if the general contractor for the project is based in Detroit. Mr. VanOverbeke stated that the architect for the project, AMHigley, was founded in Cleveland, Ohio but has had a Detroit office for some time and that they are also working on the Lafayette West project in Detroit.

Mr. Rawls asked if the general contractor has reached out to Detroit-based subcontractors to work on the project. Mr. VanOverbeke stated that the general contractor has reached out to as many Detroit-based subcontractors and that the project will comply with all applicable Executive Orders.

Mr. Rawls asked which community groups and organizations the Developer has reached out to regarding the project. Mr. VanOverbeke stated that he has long been an active member of the Brush Park Citizens Council and that the project has been in the works for about four years and has been presented to the Brush Park CDC and has held meetings at his neighboring Law Office and that the biggest criticisms of the project have been the existing curb cuts, the proposed height of the parking structure which has been revised, the access to the parking structure to be solely from the alley, and the location of the commercial spaces and that there have been design changes based on the feedback from the community.

Mr. Rawls recommended that the Developer reach out to the Urban League and the fraternities located in the area for additional feedback on the project.

Mr. Rawls asked for more information on the urban stormwater management system included in the project. Mr. VanOverbeke stated that he does not have more information on the urban stormwater system included in the project and that his civil engineer would have more information that he could provide.

Mr. Cheeks asked if the civil engineer for the project has expressed any concerns regarding the existing structure on the Property and the construction of multiple floors on top of the existing structure. Mr. VanOverbeke stated that the existing structure will not be relied upon for structure of the development and will serve more as a façade for the new development.
Mr. Cheeks stated that the renderings and design for the project are impressive and he likes the proposed design that incorporates the historic elements with the newer modern elements of the Brush Park neighborhood and that he supports the parking structure included in the project given the future projects that will be coming to the area.

Mr. Cheeks called for a motion regarding the Brownfield Plan for CODA Brush Park, as presented.

Mr. Rhoades stated that he will not be voting in favor of recommending approval of the Plan and that it is not because of the Developer or the proposed development, but because he does not support the use of TIF reimbursement for the costs of that parking structure and that he believes that tax incentives should not be used for such costs.

Mr. Rawls made a motion to recommend approval of the Brownfield Plan for CODA Brush Park without a CAC public hearing and without appointing special members. Mr. Lutz seconded the motion. A roll call vote was conducted with the following result:

- Ayes: Mr. Blocker, Mr. Lutz, Mr. Rawls, and Mr. Cheeks
- Nays: Mr. Rhoades
- Abstentions: Dr. Randall

DBRA-CAC Resolution Code 21-11-306-01 was approved.
In attendance were:
Jennifer Kanalos (DEGC/DBRA)
Brian Vosburg (DEGC/DBRA)
Cora Capler (DEGC/DBRA)
Malinda Jensen (DEGC/DBRA)
Michael VanOverbeke (Brush Park Properties, LLC)
Elizabeth Masserang (PM Environmental)
Stephen Goodman (inDevelopment Partners)
Ryan Doyle (AM Higley)
Ted Dobbs (AM Higley)
Jeff Spears
Bethany Owens
Todd Sykes
Carole LaMantia
Phone number ending in 825

Ms. Kanalos called the meeting to order at 4:35 PM.

Ms. Kanalos gave an overview of the structure of the public hearing and provided instructions to participants on how to utilize the Zoom software to ask questions and/or provide public comment.

Mr. Vosburg informed the hearing of the way tax increment financing works, the structure of the Detroit Brownfield Redevelopment Authority, and provided information on the tax increment financing request per the Brownfield Plan and provided an overview of the project.

Mr. VanOverbeke provided additional details regarding the project, his development experience in the Brush Park neighborhood, the plans for future tenants for the project, the parking plans for the project, the preservation of the existing carriage house structure on the property, and the design on the development.

A presentation regarding TIF financing, the DBRA, and the Brownfield Plan including renderings for the project was shown to attendees.

Ms. Kanalos stated that if anyone would like to provide written public comment or be notified of the Detroit City Council public hearing for the project that they can contact Brian Vosburg at bvosburg@degc.org.

Citing no questions or public comments, Ms. Kanalos closed the public hearing at 4:58 PM.
WHEREAS, pursuant to 381 PA 1996, as amended ("Act 381"), the City of Detroit Brownfield Redevelopment Authority (the “DBRA”) has been established by resolution of the City Council of the City of Detroit (the “City Council”) for the purpose of promoting the revitalization of environmentally distressed areas in the City of Detroit; and

WHEREAS, under Act 381 the DBRA is authorized to develop and propose for adoption by City Council a brownfield plan for one or more parcels of eligible property; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the policies, procedures and bylaws governing the DBRA, the DBRA has submitted a proposed Brownfield Plan for the CODA Brush Park Redevelopment Project (the “Plan”) to the Community Advisory Committee for its consideration and comment and has solicited comments by the public by publication of notice stating that the proposed Plan has been submitted to the Community Advisory Committee and by conducting a public hearing in the area to which the proposed Plan applies; and

WHEREAS, the Community Advisory Committee has considered the proposed Plan and approved a resolution recommending the approval of the proposed Plan by the DBRA and the City Council as presented by the DBRA; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of Act 381, the Board of Directors of the DBRA has considered the proposed Plan and desires to approve the proposed Plan and to request that City Council call a public hearing to consider and adopt a resolution approving the proposed Plan.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

1. The Board of Directors of the DBRA has determined that the adoption of the Brownfield Plan for the CODA Brush Park Redevelopment Project is in keeping with the purposes of Act 381 and recommends submittal of the Plan to City Council for approval.

2. The Board of Directors of the DBRA approves the Plan substantially in the form attached hereto and on file with the Secretary of the DBRA.

3. Any Authorized Agent of the DBRA is authorized and directed to submit a certified copy of this Resolution and the Plan to the City Clerk, together with a request that the City Council call a public hearing concerning the Plan and to take all other actions required to approve the Plan in accordance with Act 381.

4. That any one of the officers and any one of the Authorized Agents of the DBRA or any two of the Authorized Agents of the DBRA shall hereafter have the authority to negotiate and execute all documents, contracts, or other papers, and take such other actions, necessary or appropriate to implement the provisions and intent of this Resolution on behalf of the DBRA.
5. That all of the acts and transactions of any officer or authorized agent of the DBRA, in the name and on behalf of the DBRA, relating to matters contemplated by the foregoing resolutions, which acts would have been approved by the foregoing resolutions except that such acts were taken prior to execution of these resolutions, are hereby in all respects confirmed, approved and ratified.

6. All resolutions and parts of resolutions insofar as they conflict with the provisions of this resolution are rescinded.

December 1, 2021
RESOLUTION CALLING A PUBLIC HEARING REGARDING APPROVAL OF THE BROWNFIELD PLAN OF THE CITY OF DETROIT BROWNFIELD REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY FOR THE CODA BRUSH PARK REDEVELOPMENT

The following preamble and resolution were offered by Member _____________ and supported by Member ________________:

WHEREAS, the City of Detroit, County of Wayne, Michigan (the “City”) is authorized by the provisions of Act 381, Public Acts of Michigan, 1996 (“Act 381”), to create a brownfield redevelopment authority; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Act 381, the City Council of the City duly established the City of Detroit Brownfield Redevelopment Authority (the “Authority”): and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of Act 381, the Authority has prepared a Brownfield Plan for the CODA Brush Park Redevelopment (the “Plan”) and submitted the Plan to the Community Advisory Committee for review and comment; and

WHEREAS, after receipt of the recommendation of the Community Advisory Committee to approve the, the Authority has approved the Plan and forwarded it to City Council with a request for its approval; and

WHEREAS, prior to approval of the Plan, the City Council is required to hold a public hearing in connection with consideration of the Plan pursuant to Act 381.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

1. The City Council hereby acknowledges receipt of the Plan from the Authority.

2. A public hearing is hereby called on Thursday, the 3rd day of February, 2022 at 11:40 AM, prevailing Eastern Time, to be held via the Zoom teleconferencing platform, to consider adoption by the City Council of a resolution approving the Plan.

3. All resolutions and parts of resolutions insofar as they conflict with the provisions of this resolution are rescinded.

4. The City Clerk is requested to submit three (3) certified copies of this resolution to the DBRA, 500 Griswold Street, Suite 2200, Detroit, MI 48226.
AYES: Members ______________________________________________________

NAYS: Members ______________________________________________________

RESOLUTION DECLARED ADOPTED.

WAIVER OF RECONSIDERATION

Janice Winfrey, City Clerk
City of Detroit
County of Wayne, Michigan
RESOLUTION APPROVING BROWNFIELD PLAN
OF THE CITY OF DETROIT BROWNFIELD REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY
FOR THE CODA BRUSH PARK REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT

City of Detroit
County of Wayne, Michigan

WHEREAS, pursuant to 381 PA 1996, as amended (“Act 381”), the City of Detroit Brownfield Redevelopment Authority (“Authority”) has been established by resolution of the City Council of the City of Detroit (the “City”) for the purpose of promoting the revitalization of eligible properties in the City; and

WHEREAS, under Act 381 the Authority is authorized to develop and propose for adoption by City Council a brownfield plan for one (1) or more parcels of eligible property; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the resolution establishing the Authority and the bylaws of the Authority, the Authority has submitted a proposed Brownfield Plan for the CODA Brush Park Redevelopment Project (the “Plan”); and

WHEREAS, the Authority submitted the Plan to the Community Advisory Committee for consideration on November 10, 2021, per the provisions of the resolution establishing the Authority, and a public hearing was conducted by the Authority on November 22, 2021 to solicit comments on the proposed Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Community Advisory Committee recommended approval of the Plan on November 10, 2021; and

WHEREAS, the Authority approved the Plan on December 1, 2021 and forwarded it to the City Council with a request for its approval of the Plan; and

WHEREAS, the required notice of the public hearing on the Plan was given in accordance with Section 13 of Act 381; and

WHEREAS, the City Council held a public hearing on the proposed Plan on February 3, 2022.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, THAT:

1. Definitions. Where used in this Resolution the terms set forth below shall have the following meaning unless the context clearly requires otherwise:
“Eligible Activities” or “eligible activity” shall have the meaning described in Act 381.

“Eligible Property” means the property designated in the Plan as the Eligible Property, as described in Act 381.

“Plan” means the Plan prepared by the Authority, as transmitted to the City Council by the Authority for approval, copies of which Plan are on file in the office of the City Clerk.

“Taxing Jurisdiction” shall mean each unit of government levying an ad valorem property tax on the Eligible Property.

2. **Public Purpose.** The City Council hereby determines that the Plan constitutes a public purpose.

3. **Best Interest of the Public.** The City Council hereby determines that it is in the best interests of the public to promote the revitalization of environmentally distressed areas in the City to proceed with the Plan.

4. **Review Considerations.** As required by Act 381, the City Council has in reviewing the Plan taken into account the following considerations:

   (a) Portions of the property designated in the Plan meets the definition of Eligible Property, as described in Act 381.

   (b) The Plan meets the requirements set forth in section 13 of Act 381.

   (c) The proposed method of financing the costs of eligible activities is feasible and the Authority has the ability to arrange the financing.

   (d) The costs of eligible activities proposed are reasonable and necessary to carry out the purposes of Act 381.

   (e) The amount of captured taxable value estimated to result from adoption of the Plan is reasonable.

5. **Approval and Adoption of Plan.** The Plan as submitted by the Authority is hereby approved and adopted. A copy of the Plan and all amendments thereto shall be maintained on file in the City Clerk’s office.

6. **Preparation of Base Year Assessment Roll for the Eligible Property.**

   (a) Within 60 days of the adoption of this Resolution, the City Assessor shall prepare the initial Base Year Assessment Roll for the Eligible Property in the Plan. The initial Base Year Assessment Roll shall list each Taxing Jurisdiction levying taxes on the Eligible Property on the effective date of this Resolution and the amount of tax revenue derived by each Taxing Jurisdiction from ad valorem taxes on the Eligible Property,
excluding millage specifically levied for the payment of principal and interest of obligations approved by the electors or obligations pledging the unlimited taxing power of the local governmental unit.

(b) The City Assessor shall transmit copies of the initial Base Year Assessment Roll to the City Treasurer, County Treasurer, Authority and each Taxing Jurisdiction which will have Tax Increment Revenues captured by the Authority, together with a notice that the Base Year Assessment Roll has been prepared in accordance with this Resolution and the Plan approved by this Resolution.

7. Preparation of Annual Base Year Assessment Roll. Each year within 15 days following the final equalization of the Eligible Property, the City Assessor shall prepare an updated Base Year Assessment Roll. The updated Base Year Assessment Roll shall show the information required in the initial Base Year Assessment Roll and, in addition, the Tax Increment Revenues for each Eligible Property for that year. Copies of the annual Base Year Assessment Roll shall be transmitted by the Assessor to the same persons as the initial Base Year Assessment Roll, together with a notice that it has been prepared in accordance with the Plan.

8. Establishment of Project Fund; Approval of Depository. The Authority shall establish a separate fund for the Eligible Property subject to this Plan, which shall be kept in a depositary bank account or accounts in a bank or banks approved by the Treasurer of the City. All moneys received by the Authority pursuant to the Plan shall be deposited in the Project Fund for the Eligible Property. All moneys in the Project Fund and earnings thereon shall be used only in accordance with the Plan and Act 381.

9. Use of Moneys in the Project Fund. The moneys credited to the Project Fund and on hand therein from time to time shall be used annually to first make those payments authorized by and in accordance with the Plan and any development agreement governing such payments and then to the Local Brownfield Revolving Fund, as authorized by Act 381:

10. Return of Surplus Funds to Taxing Jurisdictions. The Authority shall return all surplus funds not deposited in the Local Brownfield Revolving Fund proportionately to the Taxing Jurisdictions.

11. Payment of Tax Increment Revenues to Authority. The municipal and the county treasurers shall, as ad valorem and specific local taxes are collected on the Eligible Property, pay the Tax Increment Revenues to the Authority for deposit in the Project Fund. The payments shall be made not more than 30 days after the Tax Increment Revenues are collected.

12. Disclaimer. By adoption of this Resolution and approval of the Plan, the City assumes no obligation or liability to the owner, developer, lessee or lessor of the Eligible Property for any loss or damage that may result to such persons from the adoption of this Resolution and Plan. The City makes no guarantees or representations as to the
ability of the Authority to capture tax increment revenues from the State and local school
district taxes for the Plan.

13. **Repealer.** All resolutions and parts of resolutions insofar as they conflict
with the provisions of this resolution be and the same hereby are rescinded.

14. The City Clerk is requested to submit four (4) certified copies of this
Resolution to the DBRA, 500 Griswold Street, Suite 2200, Detroit, MI 48226

AYES: Members

____________________________________________________

NAYS: Members

____________________________________________________

RESOLUTION DECLARED ADOPTED.

Janice Winfrey, City Clerk
City of Detroit
County of Wayne, Michigan

WAIVER OF RECONSIDERATION IS REQUESTED
I hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and complete copy of a resolution adopted by the City Council of the City of Detroit, County of Wayne, State of Michigan, at a regular meeting held on ____________, 2022, and that said meeting was conducted and public notice of said meeting was given pursuant to and in full compliance with the Open Meetings Act, being Act 267, Public Acts of Michigan, 1976, as amended, and that the minutes of said meeting were kept and will be or have been made available as required by said Act.

________________________________________
Janice Winfrey, City Clerk
City of Detroit
County of Wayne, Michigan
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Sale of 3138 E Davison to Suburban Transport System, Inc.

* SUMMARY:
The City of Detroit, Planning and Development Department ("P&DD") has received an offer from Suburban Transport System, Inc. (the "Purchaser"), a Michigan Corporation, to purchase certain City-owned real property at 3138 E Davison (the "Property") for the purchase price of Ten Thousand Eight Hundred Eighty and 00/100 Dollars ($10,880.00).

* RECOMMENDATION:
Forward to PED Standing Committee for Recommendation with P&DD requesting the sale be forwarded back to the Council-of-the-Whole with a Recommendation for Approval.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Matthew Langston, Esq.
Position: Manager, Special Projects, Housing and Revitalization Department

*REQUIRED
January 4, 2022

Detroit City Council
1340 Coleman A. Young Municipal Center
Detroit, MI 48226

RE: Property Sale
3138 E Davison

Honorable City Council:

The City of Detroit, Planning and Development Department (“P&DD”) has received an offer from SUBURBAN TRANSPORT SYSTEM, INC. (the “Purchaser”), a Michigan corporation, to purchase certain City-owned real property at 3138 E Davison (the “Property”) for the purchase price of Ten Thousand Eight Hundred Eighty and 00/100 Dollars ($10,880.00).

Purchaser owns and operates a personal airport shuttle service. Purchaser proposes to construct a garage on the Property to park and secure their business vehicles. Currently, the Property is within a B4 zoning district (General Business District). Purchaser’s proposed use of the Property shall be consistent with the allowable uses for which the Property is zoned.

We request that your Honorable Body adopt the attached resolution to authorize the Director of P&DD, or his/her authorized designee to execute a quit claim deed and such other documents as may be necessary or convenient to effect a transfer of the Property by the City to Purchaser.

Respectfully submitted,

Antoine Bryant
Director

cc: Gail Fulton, Mayor’s Office
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER _______________________________________________________

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that Detroit City Council hereby approves of the
sale of certain real property at 3138 E Davison, Detroit, MI (the “Property”), as more particularly
described in the attached Exhibit A incorporated herein, to SUBURBAN TRANSPORT SYSTEM,
INC. (the “Purchaser”), a Michigan corporation, for the purchase price of Ten Thousand Eight
Hundred Eighty and 00/100 Dollars ($10,880.00) and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Director of the Planning and Development Department (“P&DD”), or
his/her authorized designee, is authorized to execute a quit claim deed and other such documents
necessary or convenient to effect transfer of the Property to the Purchaser consistent with this
resolution; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the following Property Sales Services Fees be paid from the sale proceeds
pursuant to the City’s Property Management Agreement with the Detroit Building Authority
(“DBA”): 1) Two Thousand Five Hundred and 00/100 Dollars ($2,500.00) shall be paid to the
DBA from the sale proceeds, 2) Five Hundred Forty Four and 00/100 Dollars ($544.00) shall be
paid to the DBA’s real estate brokerage firm from the sale proceeds and 3) customary closing costs
up to Two Hundred and 00/100 Dollars ($200.00), as well as any taxes and assessments which
have become a lien on the property may be paid from the sale proceeds; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the P&DD Director, or his/her authorized designee, is authorized to execute
any required instruments to make and incorporate technical amendments or changes to the quit
claim deed (including but not limited to corrections to or confirmations of legal descriptions, or
timing of tender of possession of particular parcels) in the event that changes are required to correct
minor inaccuracies or are required due to unforeseen circumstances or technical matters that may
arise prior to the conveyance of the Property, provided that the changes do not materially alter the
substance or terms of the transfer and sale; and be it finally

RESOLVED, that the quit claim deed will be considered confirmed when executed by the P&DD
Director, or his/her authorized designee, and approved by the Corporation Counsel as to form.

(See Attached Exhibit A)
EXHIBIT A

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

Property situated in the City of Detroit, Wayne County, Michigan, described as follows:

S DAVISON E LOTS 12 & 13 SCHELLBERG & BARNES SUB L17 P1 PLATS, W C R 9/132
62 X 100

a/k/a 3138 E Davison
   Tax Parcel ID 09006385-6
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement
FILE NUMBER: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement-0885

RE:
Submitting Reso. Autho. Contract No. 3051578

SUMMARY:
3051578 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 18128 Orleans. – Contractor: DMC Consultants, Inc. – Location: 13500 Foley, Detroit, MI 48227 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $17,000.00. CITY DEMOLITION

RECOMMENDATION:
3051578 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 18128 Orleans. – Contractor: DMC Consultants, Inc. – Location: 13500 Foley, Detroit, MI 48227 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $17,000.00. CITY DEMOLITION

BY Choose an item.

Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 3051578 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement
FILE NUMBER: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement-0886

RE:
Submitting Reso. Autho. Contract No. 3053166

SUMMARY:
3053166 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 3400 Springle. – Contractor: DMC Consultants, Inc. – Location: 13500 Foley, Detroit, MI 48227 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $65,520.00. CITY DEMOLITION

RECOMMENDATION:
3053166 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 3400 Springle. – Contractor: DMC Consultants, Inc. – Location: 13500 Foley, Detroit, MI 48227 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $65,520.00. CITY DEMOLITION

BY Choose an item.

Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 3053166 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement

FILE NUMBER: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement-0884

RE:
Submitting Reso. Autho. Contract No. 6004093

SUMMARY:
6004093 100% City Funding – To Provide Car and Light Truck Parts and Service for Ford Vehicles. – Contractor: Ferndale-F, LLC dba Suburban Ford of Ferndale – Location: 21600 Woodward Avenue, Ferndale, MI 48220 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 15, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $150,000.00.

RECOMMENDATION:
6004093 100% City Funding – To Provide Car and Light Truck Parts and Service for Ford Vehicles. – Contractor: Ferndale-F, LLC dba Suburban Ford of Ferndale – Location: 21600 Woodward Avenue, Ferndale, MI 48220 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 15, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $150,000.00.

BY
Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 6004093 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
RE:
Submitting Reso. Autho. Contract No. 3053287

SUMMARY:
3053287 100% Major Street Funding – To Provide Right of Way Cost Associated with Construction at Railroad Crossing. – Contractor: CSX Transportation – Location: 6732 Southpoint Drive South, Jacksonville, FL 32216 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $98,755.00. PUBLIC WORKS

RECOMMENDATION:
3053287 100% Major Street Funding – To Provide Right of Way Cost Associated with Construction at Railroad Crossing. – Contractor: CSX Transportation – Location: 6732 Southpoint Drive South, Jacksonville, FL 32216 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $98,755.00. PUBLIC WORKS

BY Choose an item.

Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 3053287 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement
FILE NUMBER: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement-0887

RE:
Submitting Reso. Autho. Contract No. 3053360

SUMMARY:

RECOMMENDATION:

BY Choose an item.

Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 3053360 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant

SUMMARY: 3053480 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 7563 Jordan. – Contractor: Inner City Contracting, LLC – Location: 18701 Grand River, Detroit, MI 48223 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through November 30, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $25,500.00.

CITY DEMOLITION

RECOMMENDATION: 3053480 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 7563 Jordan. – Contractor: Inner City Contracting, LLC – Location: 18701 Grand River, Detroit, MI 48223 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through November 30, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $25,500.00.

CITY DEMOLITION

BY Choose an item.

Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 3053480 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement
FILE NUMBER: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement-0890

RE:
Submitting Reso. Autho. Contract No. 3053917

SUMMARY:
3053917 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Properties, 3718 Ellery, 3229 Meldrum, 2656 Hunt and 2967 Hendricks. – Contractor: Homrich – Location: 3033 Bourke Street, Detroit, MI 48238 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $93,739.00. CITY DEMOLITION

RECOMMENDATION:
3053917 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Properties, 3718 Ellery, 3229 Meldrum, 2656 Hunt and 2967 Hendricks. – Contractor: Homrich – Location: 3033 Bourke Street, Detroit, MI 48238 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $93,739.00. CITY DEMOLITION

BY Choose an item.

Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 3053917 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement
FILE NUMBER: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement-0891

RE:
 Submitting Reso. Autho. Contract No. 3054038

SUMMARY:
3054038 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 1496 W Grand Boulevard, – Contractor: Inner City Contracting, LLC – Location: 18701 Grand River, Detroit, MI 48223 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 30, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $28,700.00. CITY DEMOLITION

RECOMMENDATION:
3054038 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 1496 W Grand Boulevard, – Contractor: Inner City Contracting, LLC – Location: 18701 Grand River, Detroit, MI 48223 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 30, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $28,700.00. CITY DEMOLITION

BY Choose an item.

Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 3054038 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement
FILE NUMBER: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement-0892

RE:
Submitting Reso. Autho. Contract No. 3054122

SUMMARY:
3054122 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 17190 Detroit Avenue. – Contractor: Blue Star, Inc. – Location: 21950 Hoover, Warren, MI 48089 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 30, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $17,400.00.

CITY DEMOLITION

RECOMMENDATION:
3054122 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 17190 Detroit Avenue. – Contractor: Blue Star, Inc. – Location: 21950 Hoover, Warren, MI 48089 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 30, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $17,400.00.

CITY DEMOLITION

BY Choose an item.
Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 3054122 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement
FILE NUMBER: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement-0893

RE:
Submitting Reso. Autho. Contract No. 3054132

SUMMARY:
3054132 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 9651 Otsego. – Contractor: Inner City Contracting, LLC – Location: 18701 Grand River, Detroit, MI 48223 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 30, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $27,595.00.

CITY DEMOLITION

RECOMMENDATION:
3054132 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 9651 Otsego. – Contractor: Inner City Contracting, LLC – Location: 18701 Grand River, Detroit, MI 48223 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 30, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $27,595.00.

BY
Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 3054132 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement
FILE NUMBER: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement-0894

RE:
Submitting Reso. Autho. Contract No. 3054178

SUMMARY:

CITY DEMOLITION

RECOMMENDATION:

CITY DEMOLITION

BY Choose an item.

Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 3054178 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement

FILE NUMBER: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement-0895

RE:
Submitting Reso. Autho. Contract No. 3054179

SUMMARY:
3054179 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Commercial Property, 1611 Cortland. – Contractor: Homrich – Location: 3033 Bourke Street, Detroit, MI 48238 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $329,000.00. CITY DEMOLITION

RECOMMENDATION:
3054179 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Commercial Property, 1611 Cortland. – Contractor: Homrich – Location: 3033 Bourke Street, Detroit, MI 48238 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $329,000.00. CITY DEMOLITION

BY Choose an item.

Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 3054179 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement
FILE NUMBER: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement-0896

RE:
Submitting Reso. Autho. Contract No. 3054180

SUMMARY:
3054180 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 4466 Lillibridge. – Contractor: Inner City Contracting, LLC – Location: 18701 Grand River, Detroit, MI 48223 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $26,950.00.

CITY DEMOLITION

RECOMMENDATION:
3054180 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 4466 Lillibridge. – Contractor: Inner City Contracting, LLC – Location: 18701 Grand River, Detroit, MI 48223 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $26,950.00.

CITY DEMOLITION

BY Choose an item.

Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 3054180 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
RE:
Submitting Reso. Autho. Contract No. 3054245

SUMMARY:
3054245 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 15544 Inverness. – Contractor: Inner City Contracting, LLC – Location: 18701 Grand River, Detroit, MI 48223 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $19,000.00.

CITY DEMOLITION

RECOMMENDATION:
3054245 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 15544 Inverness. – Contractor: Inner City Contracting, LLC – Location: 18701 Grand River, Detroit, MI 48223 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $19,000.00.

CITY DEMOLITION

BY
Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 3054245 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement
FILE NUMBER: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement-0898

RE:
Submitting Reso. Autho. Contract No. 3054249

SUMMARY:
3054249 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Properties, 11811 Chelsea, 8456 Wiser, 11142 Charlemagne and 12220 Chelsea. – Contractor: Homrich – Location: 3033 Bourke Street, Detroit, MI 48238 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $93,183.00.

CITY DEMOLITION

RECOMMENDATION:
3054249 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Properties, 11811 Chelsea, 8456 Wiser, 11142 Charlemagne and 12220 Chelsea. – Contractor: Homrich – Location: 3033 Bourke Street, Detroit, MI 48238 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $93,183.00.

CITY DEMOLITION

BY Choose an item.

Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 3054249 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement
FILE NUMBER: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement-0899

RE:
Submitting Reso. Autho. Contract No. 3054259

SUMMARY:
3054259 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Following Residential Properties, 1487 Bewick and 12185 Waltham. – Contractor: Inner City Contracting, LLC – Location: 18701 Grand River, Detroit, MI 48223 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 31, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $52,750.00. CITY DEMOLITION

RECOMMENDATION:
3054259 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Following Residential Properties, 1487 Bewick and 12185 Waltham. – Contractor: Inner City Contracting, LLC – Location: 18701 Grand River, Detroit, MI 48223 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 31, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $52,750.00. CITY DEMOLITION

BY Choose an item.

Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 3054259 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement
FILE NUMBER: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement-0900

RE:
Submitting Reso. Autho. Contract No. 3054260

SUMMARY:
3054260 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 4176 Cabot. – Contractor: Inner City Contracting, LLC – Location: 18701 Grand River, Detroit, MI 48223 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 31, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $18,900.00.

CITY DEMOLITION

RECOMMENDATION:
3054260 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 4176 Cabot. – Contractor: Inner City Contracting, LLC – Location: 18701 Grand River, Detroit, MI 48223 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 31, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $18,900.00.

CITY DEMOLITION

BY Choose an item.
Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 3054260 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement
FILE NUMBER: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement-0901

RE:
Submitting Reso. Autho. Contract No. 3054261

SUMMARY:
3054261 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Following Residential Properties, 6438 Fischer, 8631 Traverse, 6438 Iroquois and 6759 Iroquois. – Contractor: Blue Star, Inc. – Location: 21950 Hoover, Warren, MI 48089 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 30, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $77,300.00. CITY DEMOLITION

RECOMMENDATION:
3054261 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Following Residential Properties, 6438 Fischer, 8631 Traverse, 6438 Iroquois and 6759 Iroquois. – Contractor: Blue Star, Inc. – Location: 21950 Hoover, Warren, MI 48089 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 30, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $77,300.00. CITY DEMOLITION

BY Choose an item.

Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 3054261 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
RE:
Submitting Reso. Autho. Contract No. 3054332

SUMMARY:
3054332 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 5908 Belvidere. – Contractor: Blue Star, Inc. – Location: 21950 Hoover, Warren, MI 48089 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $26,300.00. CITY DEMOLITION

RECOMMENDATION:
3054332 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 5908 Belvidere. – Contractor: Blue Star, Inc. – Location: 21950 Hoover, Warren, MI 48089 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $26,300.00. CITY DEMOLITION

BY Choose an item.

Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 3054332 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement
FILE NUMBER: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement-0904

RE:
Submitting Reso. Autho. Contract No. 3054339

SUMMARY:
3054339 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 14696 Seymour. – Contractor: Blue Star, Inc. – Location: 21950 Hoover, Warren, MI 48089 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $17,700.00.

CITY DEMOLITION

RECOMMENDATION:
3054339 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 14696 Seymour. – Contractor: Blue Star, Inc. – Location: 21950 Hoover, Warren, MI 48089 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through January 17, 2023 – Total Contract Amount: $17,700.00.

CITY DEMOLITION

BY Choose an item.

Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 3054339 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant

SUMMARY: 3054432 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 7311 Navy. – Contractor: DMC Consultants, Inc. – Location: 13500 Foley, Detroit, MI 48227 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 30, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $49,000.00. CITY DEMOLITION

RECOMMENDATION: 3054432 100% City Funding – To Provide an Emergency Demolition for the Residential Property, 7311 Navy. – Contractor: DMC Consultants, Inc. – Location: 13500 Foley, Detroit, MI 48227 – Contract Period: Upon City Council Approval through December 30, 2022 – Total Contract Amount: $49,000.00. CITY DEMOLITION

BY Choose an item.

Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 3054432 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 13, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Request to Accept and Appropriate the FY 2021 Byrne Criminal Justice Innovation Grant.

* SUMMARY:
The U.S. Department of Justice has awarded the City of Detroit Police Department with the FY 2021 Byrne Criminal Justice Innovation Grant for a total of $835,233.00. There is no match requirement. The total project cost is $835,233.00. The grant period is October 1, 2021 through September 30, 2024

* RECOMMENDATION:
Request to Accept and Appropriate the FY 2021 Byrne Criminal Justice Innovation Grant. The U.S. Department of Justice has awarded the City of Detroit Police Department with the FY 2021 Byrne Criminal Justice Innovation Grant for a total of $835,233.00. There is no match requirement. The total project cost is $835,233.00. The grant period is October 1, 2021 through September 30, 2024

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Jalesa Beck
Position: Administrative Assistant II

* = REQUIRED
December 9, 2021

The Honorable Detroit City Council
ATTN: City Clerk Office
200 Coleman A. Young Municipal Center
Detroit MI 48226

RE: Request to Accept and Appropriate the FY 2021 Byrne Criminal Justice Innovation Grant

The U.S. Department of Justice has awarded the City of Detroit Police Department with the FY 2021 Byrne Criminal Justice Innovation Grant for a total of $835,233.00. There is no match requirement. The total project cost is $835,233.00. The grant period is October 1, 2021 through September 30, 2024.

The objective of the grant is to reduce gun violence in Detroit's 10th Precinct. The funding allotted to the department will be utilized to provide funding for officer overtime, project management, evaluation, community partner support, supplies and equipment. This is a reimbursement grant.

If approval is granted to accept and appropriate this funding, the appropriation number is 21094.

I respectfully ask your approval to accept and appropriate funding in accordance with the attached resolution.

Sincerely,

Terri Daniels
Director of Grants, Office of Development and Grants

CC:
Sajjiah Parker, Assistant Director, Grants

Agreement Approved as to Form
By the Law Department
RESOLUTION

Council Member ______________________________

WHEREAS, the Police Department is requesting authorization to accept a grant of reimbursement from the U.S. Department of Justice, in the amount of $835,233.00, to reduce gun violence in Detroit’s 10th Precinct; and

WHEREAS, the Law Department has approved the attached agreement as to form; and

WHEREAS, this request has been approved by the Office of Budget; now

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Director or Head of the Department is authorized to execute the grant agreement on behalf of the City of Detroit, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Budget Director is authorized to establish Appropriation number 21094, in the amount of $835,233.00, for the FY 2021 Byrne Criminal Justice Innovation Grant.
Award Letter

December 7, 2021

Dear Terri Daniels,

On behalf of Attorney General Merrick B. Garland, it is my pleasure to inform you the Office of Justice Programs (OJP) has approved the application submitted by DETROIT, CITY OF for an award under the funding opportunity entitled 2021 BJA FY 21 Byrne Criminal Justice Innovation Program (BCJII). The approved award amount is $835,233.

Review the Award Instrument below carefully and familiarize yourself with all conditions and requirements before accepting your award. The Award Instrument includes the Award Offer (Award Information, Project Information, Financial Information, and Award Conditions) and Award Acceptance.

Please note that award requirements include not only the conditions and limitations set forth in the Award Offer, but also compliance with assurances and certifications that relate to conduct during the period of performance for the award. These requirements encompass financial, administrative, and programmatic matters, as well as other important matters (e.g., specific restrictions on use of funds). Therefore, all key staff should receive the award conditions, the assurances and certifications, and the application as approved by OJP, so that they understand the award requirements. Information on all pertinent award requirements also must be provided to any subrecipient of the award.

Should you accept the award and then fail to comply with an award requirement, DOJ will pursue appropriate remedies for non-compliance, which may include termination of the award and/or a requirement to repay award funds.

To accept the award, the Authorized Representative(s) must accept all parts of the Award Offer in the Justice Grants System (JustGrants), including by executing the required declaration and certification, within 45 days from the award date.

Congratulations, and we look forward to working with you.

Amy Solomon
Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General

Office for Civil Rights Notice for All Recipients

The Office for Civil Rights (OCR), Office of Justice Programs (OJP), U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ) has been delegated the responsibility for ensuring that recipients of federal financial
assistance from the OJP, the Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS), and the Office on Violence Against Women (OVW) are not engaged in discrimination prohibited by law. Several federal civil rights laws, such as Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, require recipients of federal financial assistance to give assurances that they will comply with those laws. Taken together, these civil rights laws prohibit recipients of federal financial assistance from DOJ from discriminating in services and employment because of race, color, national origin, religion, disability, sex, and, for grants authorized under the Violence Against Women Act, sexual orientation and gender identity. Recipients are also prohibited from discriminating in services because of age. For a complete review of these civil rights laws and nondiscrimination requirements, in connection with DOJ awards, see https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/LegalOverview/CivilRightsRequirements.htm.

Under the delegation of authority, the OCR investigates allegations of discrimination against recipients from individuals, entities, or groups. In addition, the OCR conducts limited compliance reviews and audits based on regulatory criteria. These reviews and audits permit the OCR to evaluate whether recipients of financial assistance from the Department are providing services in a nondiscriminatory manner to their service population or have employment practices that meet equal-opportunity standards.

If you are a recipient of grant awards under the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act or the Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act and your agency is part of a criminal justice system, there are two additional obligations that may apply in connection with the awards: (1) complying with the regulation relating to Equal Employment Opportunity Programs (EEOPs); and (2) submitting findings of discrimination to OCR. For additional information regarding the EEOP requirement, see 28 CFR Part 42, subpart E, and for additional information regarding requirements when there is an adverse finding, see 28 C.F.R. §§ 42.204(c), .205(c)(5).

The OCR is available to help you and your organization meet the civil rights requirements that are associated with DOJ grant funding. If you would like the OCR to assist you in fulfilling your organization's civil rights or nondiscrimination responsibilities as a recipient of federal financial assistance, please do not hesitate to contact the OCR at askOCR@ojp.usdoj.gov.

Memorandum Regarding NEPA

NEPA Letter Type

OJP - Categorical Exclusion

NEPA Letter

The Byrne Criminal Justice Innovation (BCJI) Program will target hotspots of crime where a significant proportion of crime occurs as compared to the overall jurisdiction. BCJI furthers the Department’s mission by leading efforts to enhance the capacity of local and tribal communities to effectively target and address significant crime issues through collaborative cross-sector approaches that help advance broader neighborhood development goals. Awards under this program will focus on funding Planning and Implementation efforts.

None of the following activities will be conducted whether under the Office of Justice Programs federal action or a related third party action:

(1) New construction.
(2) Any renovation or remodeling of a property located in an environmentally or historically sensitive area, including property (a) listed on or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, or (b) located within a 100-year flood plain, a wetland, or habitat for an endangered species.

(3) A renovation that will change the basic prior use of a facility or significantly change its size.

(4) Research and technology whose anticipated and future application could be expected to have an effect on the environment.

(5) Implementation of a program involving the use of chemicals.

Additionally, the proposed action is neither a phase nor a segment of a project that when reviewed in its entirety would not meet the criteria for a categorical exclusion.

Consequently, the subject federal action meets the Office of Justice Programs' criteria for a categorical exclusion as contained in paragraph 4(b) of Appendix D to Part 61 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

NEPA Coordinator

First Name       Middle Name       Last Name
Orbin           ——               Terry

Award Information

This award is offered subject to the conditions or limitations set forth in the Award Information, Project Information, Financial Information, and Award Conditions.

Recipient Information

Recipient Name
DETROIT, CITY OF

DUNS Number
137199266

Street 1  Street 2
1301 3RD ST FL 6-S  ——

City  State/U.S. Territory
DETROIT  Michigan

Zip/Postal Code  Country
### Award Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Award Date</th>
<th>Award Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/7/21</td>
<td>Initial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Award Number</th>
<th>Supplement Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15PBJA-21-GG-04107-BCJI</td>
<td>00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Award Amount</th>
<th>Funding Instrument Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$835,233.00</td>
<td>Grant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assistance Listing Number</th>
<th>Assistance Listings Program Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16.817</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Statutory Authority

Pub. L. No. 116-260, 134 Stat 1182, 1260

---

I have read and understand the information presented in this section of the Federal Award Instrument.

### Project Information

This award is offered subject to the conditions or limitations set forth in the Award Information, Project Information, Financial Information, and Award Conditions.
Solicitation Title
2021 BJA FY 21 Byrne Criminal Justice Innovation Program (BCJI)

Awarding Agency
OJP

Program Office
BJA

Application Number
GRANT13401511

Grant Manager Name
Linda Hill-Franklin
Phone Number
202-514-0712

E-mail Address
Linda.Hill-Franklin@ojp.usdoj.gov

Project Title
Build public trust and reduce violent crime in Detroit's 10th Precinct

Performance Period
Start Date
10/01/2021

Performance Period End Date
09/30/2024

Budget Period Start Date
10/01/2021

Budget Period End Date
09/30/2024

Project Description

1. Applicant Name and Project Title: City of Detroit: Build Public Trust and Reduce Violence in Detroit's 10th Precinct
2. City and State: Detroit, Michigan
3. Dollar Amount of Federal Funds Requested: $835,233
4. Evidence of US Attorney or Project Safe Neighborhoods Coordination: The City of Detroit and its Police Department (DPD), have collaborated with the USAO of Southeastern MI on multiple PSN and other targeted violence prevention initiatives that will provide models for the proposed project in DPD’s 10th Precinct. A support letter from the USAO is attached.

5. Identification of Target Area Boundaries, population of Target Area and zip codes:

The 10th Precinct is bounded by the John C Lodge Freeway (M-10) to the north, Wyoming St, W Jeffries Freeway (I-96), and Livernois Ave to the west, the City of
Highland Park to the east, and both West Warren and West Grand Boulevard to the south. This area contains portions of zip codes 48204, 48206 and 48238.

6. The following census tracts in the Target Area as designated as **Qualified Opportunity Zones**: 26163530300, 26163553600, 26163532400, 26163532700, 26163532600.

7. **Federal Funding**: The target area receives no DOJ CBCR, DOE Promise Neighborhoods, HUD Choice Neighborhoods, HHS Community Health Center or Department of Treasury CDFI Funds.

8. **Summary of plan to use and analyze data**: Dr. Juli Liebler of the School of Criminal Justice at Michigan State University (MSU) will serve as the Principal Investigator (PI) and lead the Research Partner team for DPD’s 2021 BCJI grant. The RP team will support the efforts of the Cross-Sector Partnership to ensure a data-driven process focusing resources for maximum impact on public safety and the reduction of homicides, drug activity, non-fatal shootings, robberies, and related gun- and gang-violence. The activities will include providing research support for ongoing problem analysis, continuous assessment of the BCJI initiative, an outcome evaluation, and the preparation of interim and final evaluation reports. DPD will use the BJA’s Performance Measurement Tool to report on performance data.

9. **Summary of Project Goals**:

   - Convene Cross Sector Partnership to create and implement a CBCR Implementation Plan
   - Reduce crime in the target area by leveraging existing economic development plans and strengthening community partnerships
   - Increase safety through targeted enforcement
   - Strengthen community capacity, connectivity and support
   - Connect residents to workforce development opportunities

10. **Identification of specific crime issues and/or problems to be addressed throughout the grant project**: Non-fatal and fatal shootings in Detroit’s 10th Precinct will be addressed by this grant. While the annual total of Part 1 crimes
dropped 23% from 2016-2020, non-fatal and fatal shootings have increased by 23% and 7% respectively. This trend is evidenced in DPD’s 10th Precinct, which has already experienced 59 non-fatal shootings year to date (a 34% increase for the precinct over last year) and 18 homicides (a 38% increase).

11. **Project Coordinator**: A full-time Project Coordinator will be hired and located at DPD’s 10th Precinct.

12. **Other resources that will support BCJI Efforts**: Services available through DPD’s community partner (Life Remodeled)’s Durfee Innovation Society will provide community residents with job training, financial planning and other human services. The Detroit Land Bank Authority’s vacant side lot, Own it Now and Rehabbed and Ready programs will provide mechanisms for homeowners and others to invest in and stabilize the community. The City of Detroit’s Strategic Neighborhood Fund will contribute to improvements in physical

---

I have read and understand the information presented in this section of the Federal Award Instrument.

---

**Financial Information**

This award is offered subject to the conditions or limitations set forth in the Award Information, Project Information, Financial Information, and Award Conditions.

A financial analysis of budgeted costs has been completed. Costs under this award appear reasonable, allowable, and consistent with existing guidelines. Exceptions / Adjustments are noted below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>First Period</td>
<td>Second Period</td>
<td>Third Period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>$99,840.00</td>
<td>$246,172.00</td>
<td>$246,172.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe Benefits</td>
<td>$7,553.00</td>
<td>$7,553.00</td>
<td>$7,553.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td>$16,630.00</td>
<td>$7,380.00</td>
<td>$7,380.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SubAward</td>
<td>$63,000.00</td>
<td>$63,000.00</td>
<td>$63,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Costs</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Direct Costs</td>
<td>$187,023.00</td>
<td>$324,105.00</td>
<td>$324,105.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect Costs</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Project Costs</td>
<td>$187,023.00</td>
<td>$324,105.00</td>
<td>$324,105.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Project Cost Breakdown**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>$835,233.00</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Funds Match $0.00 0.00%
Amount
Program Income Amount $0.00 0.00%

Please note: After completing this budget detail summary, please confirm that the following final values entered in this section are identical to those entered in the corresponding estimated cost section of the Standard Applicant Information. Specifically, the following must be equivalent. If they are not, you will not be able to submit this application until they are updated to be equivalent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard Applicant Information</th>
<th>Equals</th>
<th>Budget Summary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Estimated Funding</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Total Project Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Estimated Funding (federal share)</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Federal Funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant Estimated Funding (non-federal share)</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Match Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Income Estimated Funding</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Program Income Amount</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Budget Detail Summary View

Budget Category

Personnel
Fringe Benefits
Travel
Equipment
Supplies
Construction
SubAwards
Procurement Contracts
Other Costs
Indirect Costs
 DOES THIS BUDGET CONTAIN CONFERENCE COSTS WHICH IS DEFINED BROADLY TO INCLUDE MEETINGS, RETREATS, SEMINARS, SYMPOSIA, AND TRAINING ACTIVITIES?  

No

I have read and understand the information presented in this section of the Federal Award Instrument.

Award Conditions

This award is offered subject to the conditions or limitations set forth in the Award Information, Project Information, Financial Information, and Award Conditions.

Applicability of Part 200 Uniform Requirements

The Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements in 2 C.F.R. Part 200, as adopted and supplemented by DOJ in 2 C.F.R. Part 2800 (together, the "Part 200 Uniform Requirements") apply to this FY 2021 award from OJP.

The Part 200 Uniform Requirements were first adopted by DOJ on December 26, 2014. If this FY 2021 award supplements funds previously awarded by OJP under the same award number (e.g., funds awarded during or before December 2014), the Part 200 Uniform Requirements apply with respect to all funds under that award number (regardless of the award date, and regardless of whether derived from the initial award or a supplemental award) that are obligated on or after the acceptance date of this FY 2021 award.

For more information and resources on the Part 200 Uniform Requirements as they relate to OJP awards and subawards ("subgrants"), see the OJP website at https://ojp.gov/funding/Part200UniformRequirements.htm.

Record retention and access: Records pertinent to the award that the recipient (and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier) must retain -- typically for a period of 3 years from the date of submission of the final expenditure report (SF 425), unless a different retention period applies -- and to which the recipient (and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier) must provide access, include performance measurement information, in addition to the financial records, supporting documents, statistical records, and other pertinent records indicated at 2 C.F.R. 200.333.

In the event that an award-related question arises from documents or other materials prepared or distributed by OJP that may appear to conflict with, or differ in some way from, the provisions of the Part 200 Uniform Requirements, the recipient is to contact OJP promptly for clarification.
Requirement to report actual or imminent breach of personally identifiable information (PII)

The recipient (and any "subrecipient" at any tier) must have written procedures in place to respond in the event of an actual or imminent "breach" (OMB M-17-12) if it (or a subrecipient) - - (1) creates, collects, uses, processes, stores, maintains, disseminates, discloses, or disposes of "Personally Identifiable Information (PII)" (2 CFR 200.1) within the scope of an OJP grant-funded program or activity, or (2) uses or operates a "Federal information system" (OMB Circular A-130). The recipient's breach procedures must include a requirement to report actual or imminent breach of PII to an OJP Program Manager no later than 24 hours after an occurrence of an actual breach, or the detection of an imminent breach.

Required training for Grant Award Administrator and Financial Manager

The Grant Award Administrator and all Financial Managers for this award must have successfully completed an "OJP financial management and grant administration training" by 120 days after the date of the recipient's acceptance of the award. Successful completion of such a training on or after January 1, 2019, will satisfy this condition.

In the event that either the Grant Award Administrator or a Financial Manager for this award changes during the period of performance, the new Grant Award Administrator or Financial Manager must have successfully completed an "OJP financial management and grant administration training" by 120 calendar days after the date the Entity Administrator enters updated Grant Award Administrator or Financial Manager information in JustGrants. Successful completion of such a training on or after January 1, 2019, will satisfy this condition.

A list of OJP trainings that OJP will consider "OJP financial management and grant administration training" for purposes of this condition is available at https://www.ojp.gov/training/fmmts.htm. All trainings that satisfy this condition include a session on grant fraud prevention and detection.

The recipient should anticipate that OJP will immediately withhold ("freeze") award funds if the recipient fails to comply with this condition. The recipient's failure to comply also may lead OJP to impose additional appropriate conditions on this award.

Safe policing and law enforcement subrecipients

If this award is a discretionary award, the recipient agrees that it will not make any subawards to State, local, college, or university law enforcement agencies unless such agencies have been certified by an approved independent credentialing body or have started the certification process. To become certified, law enforcement agencies must meet two mandatory conditions: (1) the agency's use of force policies adhere to all applicable federal, state, and local laws; and (2) the agency's use of force policies prohibit chokeholds except in situations where use of deadly force is allowed by law. For detailed information on this certification requirement, see https://cops.usdoj.gov/SafePolicingEO.

Effect of failure to address audit issues

The recipient understands and agrees that the DOJ awarding agency (OJP or OVW, as
appropriate) may withhold award funds, or may impose other related requirements, if (as
determined by the DOJ awarding agency) the recipient does not satisfactorily and promptly
address outstanding issues from audits required by the Part 200 Uniform Requirements (or by
the terms of this award), or other outstanding issues that arise in connection with audits,
investigations, or reviews of DOJ awards.

6

Requirements of the award; remedies for non-compliance or for materially false statements

The conditions of this award are material requirements of the award. Compliance with any
assurances or certifications submitted by or on behalf of the recipient that relate to conduct
during the period of performance also is a material requirement of this award.

Limited Exceptions. In certain special circumstances, the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ")
may determine that it will not enforce, or enforce only in part, one or more requirements
otherwise applicable to the award. Any such exceptions regarding enforcement, including any
such exceptions made during the period of performance, are (or will be during the period of
performance) set out through the Office of Justice Programs ("OJP") webpage entitled "Legal
Notices: Special circumstances as to particular award conditions"
(ojp.gov/funding/Explore/LegalNotices-AwardReqs.htm), and incorporated by reference into
the award.

By signing and accepting this award on behalf of the recipient, the authorized recipient official
accepts all material requirements of the award, and specifically adopts, as if personally
executed by the authorized recipient official, all assurances or certifications submitted by or on
behalf of the recipient that relate to conduct during the period of performance.

Failure to comply with one or more award requirements — whether a condition set out in full
below, a condition incorporated by reference below, or an assurance or certification related to
conduct during the award period — may result in OJP taking appropriate action with respect to
the recipient and the award. Among other things, the OJP may withhold award funds, disallow
costs, or suspend or terminate the award. DOJ, including OJP, also may take other legal action
as appropriate.

Any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement to the federal government related to this
award (or concealment or omission of a material fact) may be the subject of criminal
prosecution (including under 18 U.S.C. 1001 and/or 1621, and/or 34 U.S.C. 10271-10273),
and also may lead to imposition of civil penalties and administrative remedies for false claims
or otherwise (including under 31 U.S.C. 3729-3730 and 3801-3812).

Should any provision of a requirement of this award be held to be invalid or unenforceable by
its terms, that provision shall first be applied with a limited construction so as to give it the
maximum effect permitted by law. Should it be held, instead, that the provision is utterly invalid
or -unenforceable, such provision shall be deemed severable from this award.
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Compliance with DOJ regulations pertaining to civil rights and nondiscrimination - 28 C.F.R.
Part 38

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable
requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 38 (as may be applicable from time to time), specifically
including any applicable requirements regarding written notice to program beneficiaries and
prospective program beneficiaries.
Currently, among other things, 28 C.F.R. Part 38 includes rules that prohibit specific forms of
discrimination on the basis of religion, a religious belief, a refusal to hold a religious belief, or
refusal to attend or participate in a religious practice. Part 38, currently, also sets out rules and
requirements that pertain to recipient and subrecipient ("subgrantee") organizations that
engage in or conduct explicitly religious activities, as well as rules and requirements that
pertain to recipients and subrecipients that are faith-based or religious organizations.

The text of 28 C.F.R. Part 38 is available via the Electronic Code of Federal Regulations
(currently accessible at https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/ECFR?page=browse), by browsing to Title
28-Judicial Administration, Chapter 1, Part 38, under e-CFR "current" data.

_compliance with DOJ regulations pertaining to civil rights and nondiscrimination - 28 C.F.R.
Part 42

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable
requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 42, specifically including any applicable requirements in
Subpart E of 28 C.F.R. Part 42 that relate to an equal employment opportunity program.

_compliance with DOJ regulations pertaining to civil rights and nondiscrimination - 28 C.F.R.
Part 54

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable
requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 54, which relates to nondiscrimination on the basis of sex in
certain "education programs."

_compliance with 41 U.S.C. 4712 (including prohibitions on reprisal; notice to employees)

The recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must comply with, and is subject to, all
applicable provisions of 41 U.S.C. 4712, including all applicable provisions that prohibit, under
specified circumstances, discrimination against an employee as reprisal for the employee's
disclosure of information related to gross mismanagement of a federal grant, a gross waste of
federal funds, an abuse of authority relating to a federal grant, a substantial and specific
danger to public health or safety, or a violation of law, rule, or regulation related to a federal
grant.

The recipient also must inform its employees, in writing (and in the predominant native
language of the workforce), of employee rights and remedies under 41 U.S.C. 4712.

Should a question arise as to the applicability of the provisions of 41 U.S.C. 4712 to this
award, the recipient is to contact the DOJ awarding agency (OJP or OVW, as appropriate) for
guidance.

_compliance with applicable rules regarding approval, planning, and reporting of conferences,
meetings, trainings, and other events
The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable laws, regulations, policies, and official DOJ guidance (including specific cost limits, prior approval and reporting requirements, where applicable) governing the use of federal funds for expenses related to conferences (as that term is defined by DOJ), including the provision of food and/or beverages at such conferences, and costs of attendance at such conferences.

Information on the pertinent DOJ definition of conferences and the rules applicable to this award appears in the DOJ Grants Financial Guide (currently, as section 3.10 of "Postaward Requirements" in the "DOJ Grants Financial Guide").
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Requirement for data on performance and effectiveness under the award

The recipient must collect and maintain data that measure the performance and effectiveness of work under this award. The data must be provided to OJP in the manner (including within the timeframes) specified by OJP in the program solicitation or other applicable written guidance. Data collection supports compliance with the Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) and the GPRA Modernization Act of 2010, and other applicable laws.

13

Requirements related to "de minimis" indirect cost rate

A recipient that is eligible under the Part 200 Uniform Requirements and other applicable law to use the "de minimis" indirect cost rate described in 2 C.F.R. 200.414(f), and that elects to use the "de minimis" indirect cost rate, must advise OJP in writing of both its eligibility and its election, and must comply with all associated requirements in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements. The "de minimis" rate may be applied only to modified total direct costs (MTDC) as defined by the Part 200 Uniform Requirements.

14

Determination of suitability to interact with participating minors

SCOPE. This condition applies to this award if it is indicated -- in the application for the award (as approved by DOJ)(or in the application for any subaward, at any tier), the DOJ funding announcement (solicitation), or an associated federal statute -- that a purpose of some or all of the activities to be carried out under the award (whether by the recipient, or a subrecipient at any tier) is to benefit a set of individuals under 18 years of age.

The recipient, and any subrecipient at any tier, must make determinations of suitability before certain individuals may interact with participating minors. This requirement applies regardless of an individual's employment status.

The details of this requirement are posted on the OJP web site at https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/Interact-Minors.htm (Award condition: Determination of suitability required, in advance, for certain individuals who may interact with participating minors), and are incorporated by reference here.
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Requirement to disclose whether recipient is designated "high risk" by a federal grant-making agency outside of DOJ
If the recipient is designated "high risk" by a federal grant-making agency outside of DOJ, currently or at any time during the course of the period of performance under this award, the recipient must disclose that fact and certain related information to OJP by email at OJP.ComplianceReporting@ojp.usdoj.gov. For purposes of this disclosure, high risk includes any status under which a federal awarding agency provides additional oversight due to the recipient's past performance, or other programmatic or financial concerns with the recipient. The recipient's disclosure must include the following: 1. The federal awarding agency that currently designates the recipient high risk, 2. The date the recipient was designated high risk, 3. The high-risk point of contact at that federal awarding agency (name, phone number, and email address), and 4. The reasons for the high-risk status, as set out by the federal awarding agency.

Compliance with DOJ Grants Financial Guide

References to the DOJ Grants Financial Guide are to the DOJ Grants Financial Guide as posted on the OJP website (currently, the "DOJ Grants Financial Guide" available at https://ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/index.htm), including any updated version that may be posted during the period of performance. The recipient agrees to comply with the DOJ Grants Financial Guide.

Encouragement of policies to ban text messaging while driving

Pursuant to Executive Order 13513, "Federal Leadership on Reducing Text Messaging While Driving," 74 Fed. Reg. 51225 (October 1, 2009), DOJ encourages recipients and subrecipients ("subgrantees") to adopt and enforce policies banning employees from text messaging while driving any vehicle during the course of performing work funded by this award, and to establish workplace safety policies and conduct education, awareness, and other outreach to decrease crashes caused by distracted drivers.

Compliance with general appropriations-law restrictions on the use of federal funds (FY 2021)

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable restrictions on the use of federal funds set out in federal appropriations statutes. Pertinent restrictions, including from various "general provisions" in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2021, are set out at https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/FY21AppropriationsRestrictions.htm, and are incorporated by reference here.

Should a question arise as to whether a particular use of federal funds by a recipient (or a subrecipient) would or might fall within the scope of an appropriations-law restriction, the recipient is to contact OJP for guidance, and may not proceed without the express prior written approval of OJP.

Potential imposition of additional requirements

The recipient agrees to comply with any additional requirements that may be imposed by the
DOJ awarding agency (OJP or OVW, as appropriate) during the period of performance for this award, if the recipient is designated as "high-risk" for purposes of the DOJ high-risk grantee list.

20

Employment eligibility verification for hiring under the award

1. The recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must--

A. Ensure that, as part of the hiring process for any position within the United States that is or will be funded (in whole or in part) with award funds, the recipient (or any subrecipient) properly verifies the employment eligibility of the individual who is being hired, consistent with the provisions of 8 U.S.C. 1324a(a)(1).

B. Notify all persons associated with the recipient (or any subrecipient) who are or will be involved in activities under this award of both--

(1) this award requirement for verification of employment eligibility, and

(2) the associated provisions in 8 U.S.C. 1324a(a)(1) that, generally speaking, make it unlawful, in the United States, to hire (or recruit for employment) certain aliens.

C. Provide training (to the extent necessary) to those persons required by this condition to be notified of the award requirement for employment eligibility verification and of the associated provisions of 8 U.S.C. 1324a(a)(1).

D. As part of the recordkeeping for the award (including pursuant to the Part 200 Uniform Requirements), maintain records of all employment eligibility verifications pertinent to compliance with this award condition in accordance with Form I-9 record retention requirements, as well as records of all pertinent notifications and trainings.

2. Monitoring

The recipient's monitoring responsibilities include monitoring of subrecipient compliance with this condition.

3. Allowable costs

To the extent that such costs are not reimbursed under any other federal program, award funds may be obligated for the reasonable, necessary, and allocable costs (if any) of actions designed to ensure compliance with this condition.

4. Rules of construction

A. Staff involved in the hiring process

For purposes of this condition, persons "who are or will be involved in activities under this award" specifically includes (without limitation) any and all recipient (or any subrecipient) officials or other staff who are or will be involved in the hiring process with respect to a position that is or will be funded (in whole or in part) with award funds.

B. Employment eligibility confirmation with E-Verify
For purposes of satisfying the requirement of this condition regarding verification of employment eligibility, the recipient (or any subrecipient) may choose to participate in, and use, E-Verify (www.e-verify.gov), provided an appropriate person authorized to act on behalf of the recipient (or subrecipient) uses E-Verify (and follows the proper E-Verify procedures, including in the event of a "Tentative Nonconfirmation" or a "Final Nonconfirmation") to confirm employment eligibility for each hiring for a position in the United States that is or will be funded (in whole or in part) with award funds.

C. "United States" specifically includes the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Guam, the Virgin Islands of the United States, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

D. Nothing in this condition shall be understood to authorize or require any recipient, any subrecipient at any tier, or any person or other entity, to violate any federal law, including any applicable civil rights or nondiscrimination law.

E. Nothing in this condition, including in paragraph 4.B., shall be understood to relieve any recipient, any subrecipient at any tier, or any person or other entity, of any obligation otherwise imposed by law, including 8 U.S.C. 1324a(a)(1).

Questions about E-Verify should be directed to DHS. For more information about E-Verify visit the E-Verify website (https://www.e-verify.gov/) or email E-Verify at E-Verify@dhs.gov. E-Verify employer agents can email E-Verify at E-VerifyEmployerAgent@dhs.gov.

Questions about the meaning or scope of this condition should be directed to OJP, before award acceptance.

Restrictions and certifications regarding non-disclosure agreements and related matters

No recipient or subrecipient ("subgrantee") under this award, or entity that receives a procurement contract or subcontract with any funds under this award, may require any employee or contractor to sign an internal confidentiality agreement or statement that prohibits or otherwise restricts, or purports to prohibit or restrict, the reporting (in accordance with law) of waste, fraud, or abuse to an investigative or law enforcement representative of a federal department or agency authorized to receive such information.

The foregoing is not intended, and shall not be understood by the agency making this award, to contravene requirements applicable to Standard Form 312 (which relates to classified information), Form 4414 (which relates to sensitive compartmented information), or any other form issued by a federal department or agency governing the nondisclosure of classified information.

1. In accepting this award, the recipient--

   a. represents that it neither requires nor has required internal confidentiality agreements or statements from employees or contractors that currently prohibit or otherwise currently restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict) employees or contractors from reporting waste, fraud, or abuse as described above; and

   b. certifies that, if it learns or is notified that it is or has been requiring its employees or contractors to execute agreements or statements that prohibit or otherwise restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict), reporting of waste, fraud, or abuse as described above, it will immediately stop any further obligations of award funds, will provide prompt written notification...
to the federal agency making this award, and will resume (or permit resumption of) such obligations only if expressly authorized to do so by that agency.

2. If the recipient does or is authorized under this award to make subawards ("subgrants"), procurement contracts, or both--

   a. it represents that--

   (1) it has determined that no other entity that the recipient's application proposes may or will receive award funds (whether through a subaward ("subgrant"), procurement contract, or subcontract under a procurement contract) either requires or has required internal confidentiality agreements or statements from employees or contractors that currently prohibit or otherwise currently restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict) employees or contractors from reporting waste, fraud, or abuse as described above; and

   (2) it has made appropriate inquiry, or otherwise has an adequate factual basis, to support this representation; and

   b. it certifies that, if it learns or is notified that any subrecipient, contractor, or subcontractor entity that receives funds under this award is or has been requiring its employees or contractors to execute agreements or statements that prohibit or otherwise restrict (or purport to prohibit or restrict), reporting of waste, fraud, or abuse as described above, it will immediately stop any further obligations of award funds to or by that entity, will provide prompt written notification to the federal agency making this award, and will resume (or permit resumption of) such obligations only if expressly authorized to do so by that agency.

---

Reclassification of various statutory provisions to a new Title 34 of the United States Code

On September 1, 2017, various statutory provisions previously codified elsewhere in the U.S. Code were editorially reclassified (that is, moved and renumbered) to a new Title 34, entitled "Crime Control and Law Enforcement." The reclassification encompassed a number of statutory provisions pertinent to OJP awards (that is, OJP grants and cooperative agreements), including many provisions previously codified in Title 42 of the U.S. Code.

Effective as of September 1, 2017, any reference in this award document to a statutory provision that has been reclassified to the new Title 34 of the U.S. Code is to be read as a reference to that statutory provision as reclassified to Title 34. This rule of construction specifically includes references set out in award conditions, references set out in material incorporated by reference through award conditions, and references set out in other award requirements.

OJP Training Guiding Principles

Any training or training materials that the recipient -- or any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier -- develops or delivers with OJP award funds must adhere to the OJP Training Guiding Principles for Grantees and Subgrantees, available at https://ojp.gov/funding/Implement/TrainingPrinciplesForGrantees-Subgrantees.htm.

---
All subawards ("subgrants") must have specific federal authorization

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements for authorization of any subaward. This condition applies to agreements that -- for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements -- OJP considers a "subaward" (and therefore does not consider a procurement "contract").

The details of the requirement for authorization of any subaward are posted on the OJP web site at https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/SubawardAuthorization.htm (Award condition: All subawards ("subgrants") must have specific federal authorization), and are incorporated by reference here.
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Requirements related to System for Award Management and Universal Identifier Requirements

The recipient must comply with applicable requirements regarding the System for Award Management (SAM), currently accessible at https://www.sam.gov/. This includes applicable requirements regarding registration with SAM, as well as maintaining the currency of information in SAM.

The recipient also must comply with applicable restrictions on subawards ("subgrants") to first-tier subrecipients (first-tier "subgrantees"), including restrictions on subawards to entities that do not acquire and provide (to the recipient) the unique entity identifier required for SAM registration.

The details of the recipient's obligations related to SAM and to unique entity identifiers are posted on the OJP web site at https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/SAM.htm (Award condition: System for Award Management (SAM) and Universal Identifier Requirements), and are incorporated by reference here.

This condition does not apply to an award to an individual who received the award as a natural person (i.e., unrelated to any business or non-profit organization that he or she may own or operate in his or her name).
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Restrictions on "lobbying"

In general, as a matter of federal law, federal funds awarded by OJP may not be used by the recipient, or any subrecipients ("subgrantee") at any tier, either directly or indirectly, to support or oppose the enactment, repeal, modification, or adoption of any law, regulation, or policy, at any level of government. See 18 U.S.C. 1913. (There may be exceptions if an applicable federal statute specifically authorizes certain activities that otherwise would be barred by law.)

Another federal law generally prohibits federal funds awarded by OJP from being used by the recipient, or any subrecipient at any tier, to pay any person to influence (or attempt to influence) a federal agency, a Member of Congress, or Congress (or an official or employee of any of them) with respect to the awarding of a federal grant or cooperative agreement, subgrant, contract, subcontract, or loan, or with respect to actions such as renewing, extending, or modifying any such award. See 31 U.S.C. 1352. Certain exceptions to this law apply, including an exception that applies to Indian tribes and tribal organizations.
Should any question arise as to whether a particular use of federal funds by a recipient (or subrecipient) would or might fall within the scope of these prohibitions, the recipient is to contact OJP for guidance, and may not proceed without the express prior written approval of OJP.
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Specific post-award approval required to use a noncompetitive approach in any procurement contract that would exceed $250,000

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements to obtain specific advance approval to use a noncompetitive approach in any procurement contract that would exceed the Simplified Acquisition Threshold (currently, $250,000). This condition applies to agreements that -- for purposes of federal grants administrative requirements -- OJP considers a procurement "contract" (and therefore does not consider a subaward).

The details of the requirement for advance approval to use a noncompetitive approach in a procurement contract under an OJP award are posted on the OJP web site at https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/NoncompetitiveProcurement.htm (Award condition: Specific post-award approval required to use a noncompetitive approach in a procurement contract (if contract would exceed $250,000)), and are incorporated by reference here.
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Requirements pertaining to prohibited conduct related to trafficking in persons (including reporting requirements and OJP authority to terminate award)

The recipient, and any subrecipient ("subgrantee") at any tier, must comply with all applicable requirements (including requirements to report allegations) pertaining to prohibited conduct related to the trafficking of persons, whether on the part of recipients, subrecipients ("subgrantees"), or individuals defined (for purposes of this condition) as "employees" of the recipient or of any subrecipient.

The details of the recipient's obligations related to prohibited conduct related to trafficking in persons are posted on the OJP web site at https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/ProhibitedConduct-Trafficking.htm (Award condition: Prohibited conduct by recipients and subrecipients related to trafficking in persons (including reporting requirements and OJP authority to terminate award)), and are incorporated by reference here.
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Requirement to report potentially duplicative funding

If the recipient currently has other active awards of federal funds, or if the recipient receives any other award of federal funds during the period of performance for this award, the recipient promptly must determine whether funds from any of those other federal awards have been, are being, or are to be used (in whole or in part) for one or more of the identical cost items for which funds are provided under this award. If so, the recipient must promptly notify the DOJ awarding agency (OJP or OVW, as appropriate) in writing of the potential duplication, and, if so requested by the DOJ awarding agency, must seek a budget-modification or change-of-project-scope Grant Award Modification (GAM) to eliminate any inappropriate duplication of funding.
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Reporting potential fraud, waste, and abuse, and similar misconduct

The recipient, and any subrecipients ("subgrantees") at any tier, must promptly refer to the DOJ Office of the Inspector General (OIG) any credible evidence that a principal, employee, agent, subrecipient, contractor, subcontractor, or other person has, in connection with funds under this award—(1) submitted a claim that violates the False Claims Act; or (2) committed a criminal or civil violation of laws pertaining to fraud, conflict of interest, bribery, gratuity, or similar misconduct.

Potential fraud, waste, abuse, or misconduct involving or relating to funds under this award should be reported to the OIG by—(1) online submission accessible via the OIG webpage at https://oig.justice.gov/hotline/contact-grants.htm (select "Submit Report Online"); (2) mail directed to: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of the Inspector General, Investigations Division, ATTN: Grantee Reporting, 950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20530; and/or (3) by facsimile directed to the DOJ OIG Investigations Division (Attn: Grantee Reporting) at (202) 616-9881 (fax).

Additional information is available from the DOJ OIG website at https://oig.justice.gov/hotline.
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FFATA reporting: Subawards and executive compensation

The recipient must comply with applicable requirements to report first-tier subawards ("subgrants") of $30,000 or more and, in certain circumstances, to report the names and total compensation of the five most highly compensated executives of the recipient and first-tier subrecipients (first-tier "subgrantees") of award funds. The details of recipient obligations, which derive from the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA), are posted on the OJP web site at https://ojp.gov/funding/Explore/FFATA.htm (Award condition: Reporting Subawards and Executive Compensation), and are incorporated by reference here.

This condition, including its reporting requirement, does not apply to—(1) an award of less than $30,000, or (2) an award made to an individual who received the award as a natural person (i.e., unrelated to any business or non-profit organization that he or she may own or operate in his or her name).

32

The recipient agrees to submit to BJA for review and approval any curricula, training materials, proposed publications, reports, or any other written materials that will be published, including web-based materials and web site content, through funds from this grant at least thirty (30) working days prior to the targeted dissemination date. Any written, visual, or audio publications, with the exception of press releases, whether published at the grantee's or government's expense, shall contain the following statements: "This project was supported by Grant No. <AWARD_NUMBER> awarded by the Bureau of Justice Assistance. The Bureau of Justice Assistance is a component of the Department of Justice's Office of Justice Programs, which also includes the Bureau of Justice Statistics, the National Institute of Justice, the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, the Office for Victims of Crime, and the SMART Office. Points of view or opinions in this document are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice." The current edition of the DOJ Grants Financial Guide provides guidance on allowable printing and publication activities.
The recipient agrees to cooperate with any assessments, national evaluation efforts, or information or data collection requests, including, but not limited to, the provision of any information required for the assessment or evaluation of any activities within this project.

Justification of consultant rate

Approval of this award does not indicate approval of any consultant rate in excess of $650 per day. A detailed justification must be submitted to and approved by the OJP program office prior to obligation or expenditure of such funds.

Limit on use of grant funds for grantees’ employees’ salaries

With respect to this award, federal funds may not be used to pay cash compensation (salary plus bonuses) to any employee of the award recipient at a rate that exceeds 110% of the maximum annual salary payable to a member of the federal government’s Senior Executive Service (SES) at an agency with a Certified SES Performance Appraisal System for that year. (An award recipient may compensate an employee at a higher rate, provided the amount in excess of this compensation limitation is paid with non-federal funds.)

This limitation on compensation rates allowable under this award may be waived on an individual basis at the discretion of the OJP official indicated in the program announcement under which this award is made.

Verification and updating of recipient contact information

The recipient must verify its Grant Award Administrator, Financial Manager, and Authorized Representative contact information in JustGrants, including telephone number and e-mail address. If any information is incorrect or has changed, the award recipient’s Entity Administrator must make changes to contact information through DIAMDS. Instructions on how to update contact information in JustGrants can be found at https://justgrants.usdoj.gov/training/training-entity-management.

Recipient understands and agrees that it must submit quarterly Federal Financial Reports (SF-425) and semi-annual performance reports through JustGrants (justgrants.usdoj.gov), and that it must submit quarterly performance metrics reports through BJA’s Performance Measurement Tool (PMT) website (https://bjapmt.ojp.gov/). For more detailed information on reporting and other requirements, refer to BJA’s website. Failure to submit required reports by established deadlines may result in the freezing of grant funds and High Risk designation.

Required attendance at BJA-sponsored events
The recipient (and its subrecipients at any tier) must participate in BJA-sponsored training events, technical assistance events, or conferences held by BJA or its designees, upon BJA’s request.

The recipient agrees to comply with OJP grant monitoring guidelines, protocols, and procedures, and to cooperate with BJA and OCFO on all grant monitoring requests, including requests related to desk reviews, enhanced programmatic desk reviews, and/or site visits. The recipient agrees to provide to BJA and OCFO all documentation necessary to complete monitoring tasks, including documentation related to any subawards made under this award. Further, the recipient agrees to abide by reasonable deadlines set by BJA and OCFO for providing the requested documents. Failure to cooperate with BJA’s/OCFO’s grant monitoring activities may result in sanctions affecting the recipient’s DOJ awards, including, but not limited to: withholdings and/or other restrictions on the recipient’s access to grant funds; referral to the Office of the Inspector General for audit review; designation of the recipient as a DOJ High Risk grantee; or termination of an award(s).

The award recipient agrees to participate in a data collection process measuring program outputs and outcomes. The data elements for this process will be outlined by the Office of Justice Programs.

Protection of human research subjects

The recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must comply with the requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 46 and all OJP policies and procedures regarding the protection of human research subjects, including obtaining of Institutional Review Board approval, if appropriate, and subject informed consent.

Confidentiality of data

The recipient (and any subrecipient at any tier) must comply with all confidentiality requirements of 34 U.S.C. 10231 and 28 C.F.R. Part 22 that are applicable to collection, use, and revelation of data or information. The recipient further agrees, as a condition of award approval, to submit a Privacy Certificate that is in accord with requirements of 28 C.F.R. Part 22 and, in particular, 28 C.F.R. 22.23.

Applicants must certify that Limited English Proficiency persons have meaningful access to the services under this program(s). National origin discrimination includes discrimination on the basis of limited English proficiency (LEP). To ensure compliance with Title VI and the Safe Streets Act, recipients are required to take reasonable steps to ensure that LEP persons have meaningful access to their programs. Meaningful access may entail providing language assistance services, including oral and written translation when necessary. The U.S. Department of Justice has issued guidance for grantees to help them comply with Title VI requirements. The guidance document can be accessed on the Internet at www.lep.gov.
The recipient agrees to notify BJA of any change in the status or duties of the collaborating agency partners or key individuals involved in implementing the activities under this award.

Recipient understands and agrees that neither it nor any subrecipient may engage in activities constituting organizational conflicts of interest, such as awarding contracts (to be paid in whole or in part with grant funds) to Department of Justice-funded training and technical assistance (TTA) providers that guided project specifications as part of the provision of training and technical assistance to the recipient (or any subrecipient) of this award. The Procurement Standards in 2 C.F.R. Part 200 (the Part 200 Uniform Requirements) and the DOJ Grants Financial Guide describe actions that would or may give rise to organizational conflicts or other potential conflict of interest concerns under the award. Prior approval from the grant manager is required for any work with a Department of Justice-funded TTA provider.

Avoidance of duplication of networks

To avoid duplicating existing networks or IT systems in any initiatives funded by BJA for law enforcement information sharing systems which involve interstate connectivity between jurisdictions, such systems shall employ, to the extent possible, existing networks as the communication backbone to achieve interstate connectivity, unless the recipient can demonstrate to the satisfaction of BJA that this requirement would not be cost effective or would impair the functionality of an existing or proposed IT system.

Any organization using Office of Justice Programs grant funds, in whole or in part, to collect, aggregate, and/or share data on behalf of a government agency, must guarantee that the agency that owns the data and its approved designee(s) will retain unrestricted access to the data, in accordance with all applicable law, regulations, and BJA policy: a) in an expeditious manner upon request by the agency; b) in a clearly defined format that is open, user-friendly, and unfettered by unreasonable proprietary restrictions; and c) at a minimal additional cost to the requestor (which cost may be borne by using grant funds).

Recipient integrity and performance matters: Requirement to report information on certain civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings to SAM and FAPIIS

The recipient must comply with any and all applicable requirements regarding reporting of information on civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings connected with (or connected to the performance of) either this OJP award or any other grant, cooperative agreement, or procurement contract from the federal government. Under certain circumstances, recipients of OJP awards are required to report information about such proceedings, through the federal System for Award Management (known as "SAM"), to the designated federal integrity and performance system (currently, "FAPIIS").

The details of recipient obligations regarding the required reporting (and updating) of information on certain civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings to the federal designated
integrity and performance system (currently, "FAPIIS") within SAM are posted on the OJP web site at https://ojp.gov/funding/FAPIIS.htm (Award condition: Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters, including Recipient Reporting to FAPIIS), and are incorporated by reference here.
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The recipient may not obligate, expend, or draw down more than $150,000 under this award until: (1) the recipient submits a comprehensive implementation plan; (2) BJA approves the implementation plan and determines that the recipient has satisfactorily completed the Planning Phase; and (3) an Award Condition Modification (ACM) has been issued to remove this condition.

I have read and understand the information presented in this section of the Federal Award Instrument.

Award Acceptance

Declaration and Certification to the U.S. Department of Justice as to Acceptance

By checking the declaration and certification box below, I--

A. Declare to the U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ), under penalty of perjury, that I have authority to make this declaration and certification on behalf of the applicant.

B. Certify to DOJ, under penalty of perjury, on behalf of myself and the applicant, to the best of my knowledge and belief, that the following are true as of the date of this award acceptance: (1) I have conducted or there was conducted (including by applicant’s legal counsel as appropriate and made available to me) a diligent review of all terms and conditions of, and all supporting materials submitted in connection with, this award, including any assurances and certifications (including anything submitted in connection therewith by a person on behalf of the applicant before, after, or at the time of the application submission and any materials that accompany this acceptance and certification); and (2) I have the legal authority to accept this award on behalf of the applicant.

C. Accept this award on behalf of the applicant.

D. Declare the following to DOJ, under penalty of perjury, on behalf of myself and the applicant: (1) I understand that, in taking (or not taking) any action pursuant to this declaration and certification, DOJ will rely upon this declaration and certification as a material representation; and (2) I understand that any materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent information or statement in this declaration and certification (or
concealment or omission of a material fact as to either) may be the subject of criminal prosecution (including under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1001 and/or 1621, and/or 34 U.S.C. §§ 10271-10273), and also may subject me and the applicant to civil penalties and administrative remedies under the federal False Claims Act (including under 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3730 and/or §§ 3801-3812) or otherwise.

**Agency Approval**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title of Approving Official</th>
<th>Name of Approving Official</th>
<th>Signed Date And Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Principal Deputy</td>
<td>Amy Solomon</td>
<td>12/3/21 6:09 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Attorney General</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Authorized Representative**


**Entity Acceptance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title of Authorized Entity Official</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signed Date And Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>


* RE:
Affiliated Diagnostic of Oakland et al (Larena Ware) v City of Detroit.docx

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 20-012246-NF, File No. L20-00727 (CBO), A20000, in the amount of $15,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Affiliated Diagnostic of Oakland, LLC and Vital Community Care may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained.

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of Affiliated Diagnostic of Oakland, LLC and Vital Community Care their attorney, THE DOLLAR LAW FIRM PLLC, in the amount of Fifteen Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($15,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims which Affiliated Diagnostic of Oakland, LLC and Vital Community Care may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about September 25, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No.20-012246-NF, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No.20-012246-NF and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Lisa Szwast
Position: Legal Assistant

*=REQUIRED
November 29, 2021

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Affiliated Diagnostic of Oakland, et al (Larena Ware) v City of Detroit
Case No: 20-012246-NF
File No: L20-00727(CBO)

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of Fifteen Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($15,000.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of Fifteen Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($15,000.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft in that amount payable to Affiliated Diagnostic of Oakland, LLC and Vital Community Care their attorney, THE DOLLAR LAW FIRM PLLC, to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-012246-NF, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,

Crystal Olmstead
Senior Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED: January 12, 2022
LAWRENCE GARCIA
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/James D. Noseda

James D. Noseda
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER ____________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of Fifteen Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($15,000.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of Affiliated Diagnostic of Oakland, LLC and Vital Community Care their attorney, THE DOLLAR LAW FIRM PLLC, in the amount of Fifteen Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($15,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims which Affiliated Diagnostic of Oakland, LLC and Vital Community Care may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about September 25, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No.20-012246-NF, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No.20-012246-NF and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED: January 12, 2022
LAWRENCE GARCIA
Corporation Counsel

BY:   /s/James D. Noseda

James D. Noseda
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Approved by City Council: ____________________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: ____________________________________________
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho.Settlement Lawsuit of Hersman Rehab LLC (Larena Ware) v City of Detroit

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 20-012924-NF, File No. L20-00954 (CBO), A20000, in the amount of $15,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Hersman Rehab LLC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained.

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of Hersman Rehab LLC and its attorney, The Reizen Law Group, in the amount of Fifteen Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($15,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims which Hersman Rehab LLC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about 09/25/2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No.20-012924-NF, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No.20-012924-NF and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Lisa Szwast
Position: Legal Assistant

*=REQUIRED
November 29, 2021

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Hersman Rehab LLC (Larena Ware) v City of Detroit
Case No: 20-012924-NF
File No: L20-00954(CBO)

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of Fifteen Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($15,000.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of Fifteen Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($15,000.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft in that amount payable to Hersman Rehab LLC and its attorney, The Reizen Law Group, to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-012924-NF, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,

Crystal Olmstead
Senior Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED: January 13, 2022
LAWRENCE GARCIA
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/James D. Noseda
James D. Noseda
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER ____________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of Fifteen Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($15,000.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of Hershman Rehab LLC and its attorney, The Reizen Law Group, in the amount of Fifteen Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($15,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims which Hershman Rehab LLC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about 09/25/2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No.20-012924-NF, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No.20-012924-NF and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED: January 13, 2022
LAWRENCE GARCIA
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/James D. Noseda

James D. Noseda
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Approved by City Council: __________________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: __________________________________________
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Settlement in lawsuit of Brunson, Christopher v City of Detroit and Mohammad Kang

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 20-006694-NI, File No. L20-00453 (PH) A20000, in the amount of $17,500.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Christopher Brunson may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about May 24, 2019.

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of CHRISTOPHER BRUNSON and HIS attorney, AT LAW GROUP, PLLC, in the amount of SEVENTEEN THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($17,500.00) in full payment for any and all claims which CHRISTOPHER BRUNSON may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about May 24, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No.20-006694-NI, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-006694-NI and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Andrea D'Agostino Keenan
Position: Paralegal

*REQUIRED
December 10, 2021

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Brunson, Christopher v City of Detroit and Mohammad Kang
Case No: 20-006694-NI
File No: L20-00453 PH

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of SEVENTEEN THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND 00/CENTS ($17,500.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of SEVENTEEN THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND 00/CENTS ($17,500.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft in that amount payable to CHRISTOPHER BRUNSON and HIS attorney, AT LAW GROUP, PLLC, to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No.20-006694-NI, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,

Philip Hiltner
Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Krystal A. Crittendon

Krystal A. Crittendon
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER ____________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of SEVENTEEN THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($17,500.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of CHRISTOPHER BRUNSON and HIS attorney, AT LAW GROUP, PLLC, in the amount of SEVENTEEN THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($17,500.00) in full payment for any and all claims which CHRISTOPHER BRUNSON may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about May 24, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No.20-006694-NI, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-006694-NI and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY:  /s/Krystal A. Crittendon
    Krystal A. Crittendon
    Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Approved by City Council: _______________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: _________________________________________
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho.Settlement lawsuit of Comprehensive Care Pain Mgmt (M. Witherspoon) V. City of Detroit

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 21-151443-GC, File No. L21-00340 (MBC), A20000, in the amount of $12,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Comprehensive Care Pain Management, P.C. may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of Comprehensive Care Pain Management, P.C. and their attorney, Lazar Law, PLLC, in the amount of Twelve Thousand Dollars and 00/00 Cents ($12,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims which Comprehensive Care Pain Management, P.C. may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about October 31, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No.21-151443-GC, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No.21-151443-GC.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Lisa Szwast
Position: Legal Assistant

*=REQUIRED
HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Comprehensive Care Pain Mgmt. (M. Witherspoon) v City of Detroit

Case No: 21-151443-GC
File No: L21-00340(MBC)

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of Twelve Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($12,000.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of Twelve Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($12,000.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft in that amount payable to Comprehensive Care Pain Management, P.C. and their attorney, Lazar Law, PLLC, to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 21-151443-GC, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Mary Beth Cobbs
Mary Beth Cobbs
Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/James D. Noseda
James D. Noseda
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER _____________________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of Twelve Thousand Dollars and 0/0 Cents ($12,000.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of Comprehensive Care Pain Management, P.C. and their attorney, Lazar Law, PLLC, in the amount of Twelve Thousand Dollars and 0/0 Cents ($12,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims which Comprehensive Care Pain Management, P.C. may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about October 31, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No.21-151443-GC, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No.21-151443-GC.

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/James D. Noseda
   James D. Noseda
   Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Approved by City Council: _____________________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: _____________________________________________
* RE:  
Submitting reso. autho. Settlement lawsuit of Gravity Imaging LLC (Clifton Montgomery)  
V City of Detroit  

* SUMMARY:  
Case No. 20-151647-GC, File No. L20-00172 (MA), A20000, in the amount of 5,000.00 in  
full payment for any and all claims which Gravity Imaging, LLC may have against the City of  
Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained  

* RECOMMENDATION:  
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant  
upon the proper account in favor of Gravity Imaging, LLC and its attorney, Anthony, Paulovich  
& Worrall, PLLC, in the amount of Five Thousand Dollars and No Cents ($5,000.00) in full  
payment for any and all claims which Gravity Imaging, LLC may have against the City of Detroit  
and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about April  
2, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 20-151647-GC, that said amount be paid upon receipt  
of a properly executed Release and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-  
151647-GC and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed  
Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.  

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:  
Name: Lisa Szwast  
Position: Legal Assistant  

* REQUIRED
December 8, 2021

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Gravity Imaging, LLC (Chiffon Montgomery) v City of Detroit
Case No: 20-151647-GC
File No: L20-00172 (MA)

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of Five Thousand Dollars and No Cents ($5,000.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of Five Thousand Dollars and No Cents ($5,000.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft in that amount payable to Gravity Imaging, LLC and its attorney, Anthony, Paulovich & Worrall, PLLC, to be delivered upon receipt of a properly executed Release and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-151647-GC, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael L. Auten
Michael Auten (P81884)
Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED:
LAWRENCE GARCIA
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/ James D. Noseda
James D. Noseda
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER ______________________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of Five Thousand Dollars and No Cents ($5,000.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of Gravity Imaging, LLC and its attorney, Anthony, Paulovich & Worrall, PLLC, in the amount of Five Thousand Dollars and No Cents ($5,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims which Gravity Imaging, LLC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about April 2, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 20-151647-GC, that said amount be paid upon receipt of a properly executed Release and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-151647-GC and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED:
LAWRENCE GARCIA
Corporation Counsel

BY:  /s/ James D. Noseda
    James D. Noseda
    Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Approved by City Council: ________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: ________________________________
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Settlement lawsuit of Earnestine Daniels v City of Detroit

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 20-009716-NF, File No. L20-00602 (RG), A20000, in the amount of $35,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Earnestine Daniels may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of Earnestine Daniels and her attorney, ROTHSTEIN LAW GROUP PLC, in the amount of Thirty Five Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($35,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims which Earnestine Daniels may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about August 7, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 20-009716-NF, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-009716-NF and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Lisa Szwast
Position: Legal Assistant

*REQUIRED
March 2, 2021

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Earnestine Daniels
Case No: 20-009716-NF
File No: L20-00602 (RG)

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of Thirty Five Thousand Dollars and 00/ Cents ($35,000.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of Thirty Five Thousand Dollars and 00/ Cents ($35,000.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft in that amount payable to Earnestine Daniels and their attorney, ROTHSTEIN LAW GROUP PLC, to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-009716-NF, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Raymond Garant
Raymond Garant
Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED:
LAWRENCE GARCIA
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Krystal A. Crittendon
Krystal A. Crittendon
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER ________________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of Thirty Five Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($35,000.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of Earnestine Daniels and her attorney, ROTHSTEIN LAW GROUP PLC, in the amount of Thirty Five Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($35,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims which Earnestine Daniels may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about August 7, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 20-009716-NF, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-009716-NF and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED:
LAWRENCE GARCIA
Corporation Counsel

BY:    /s/Krystal A. Crittendon
Krystal A. Crittendon
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Approved by City Council: ____________________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: ____________________________________________
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: Law
FILE NUMBER: Law-1023

* RE:

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 20-011704-NI, File No. L20-00861 (PH) A20000, in the amount of $11,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Dena Gordon may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about September 9, 2019.

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of DENA GORDON and HER attorney, REIFMAN LAW FIRM, PLLC, in the amount of ELEVEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($11,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims which DENA GORDON may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about September 9, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No.20-011704-NI, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-011704-NI and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Andrea D’Agostino Keenan
Position: Paralegal

*REQUIRED
HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Gordon, Dena v City of Detroit, Martha Gillenwater, et al.
Case No: 20-011704-NI
File No:L20-00861 PH

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of ELEVEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($11,000.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of ELEVEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($11,000.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft in that amount payable to DENA GORDON and HER attorney, REIFMAN LAW FIRM, PLLC, to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No.20-011704-NI, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,

Philip Hiltner
Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED:
LAWRENCE GARCIA
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Krystal A. Crittendon
Krystal A. Crittendon
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER ____________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of ELEVEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($11,000.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of DENA GORDON and HER attorney, REIFMAN LAW FIRM, PLLC, in the amount of ELEVEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($11,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims which DENA GORDON may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about September 9, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No.20-011704-NI, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-011704-NI and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED:
LAWRENCE GARCIA
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Krystal A. Crittendon

Krystal A. Crittendon
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Approved by City Council: ________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: ________________________________
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Settlement in lawsuit of Herndon, Darryl v City of Detroit and Antonio Antoine Johnson

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 20-005547-NF, File No. L20-00195 (PH) A20000, in the amount of $10,000.00, in full payment for any and all claims which Darryl Herndon may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about May 28, 2019.

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of Darryl Herndon and his attorney, PUZIO LAW PC, in the amount of TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($10,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims which DARRYL HERNDON may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about May 28, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No.20-005547-NF, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-005547-NF and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Andrea DAgostino Keenan
Position: Paralegal

*REQUIRED
HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE:  Herndon, Darryl v City of Detroit and Antonio Antoine Johnson
     Case No: 20-005547-NF
     File No:L20-00195 PH

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in
a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your
Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of
TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($10,000.00) is in the best interest of the City
of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of TEN THOUSAND
DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($10,000.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance
Director to issue a draft in that amount payable to DARRYL HERNDON and HIS attorney,
PUZIO LAW PC, to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and
Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No.20-005547-NF, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,
Philip Hiltner
Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED:
LAWRENCE GARCIA
Corporation Counsel

BY:   /s/Krystal A. Crittendon
       Krystal A. Crittendon
       Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER ____________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($10,000.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of DARRYL HERNDON and his attorney, PUZIO LAW PC, in the amount of TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($10,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims which DARRYL HERNDON may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about May 28, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No.20-005547-NF, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-005547-NF and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED:
LAWRENCE GARCIA
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Krystal A. Crittendon

Krystal A. Crittendon
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Approved by City Council: ______________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: ______________________________________
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* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Settlement in lawsuit of

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 21-011673-NF, L21-00798 (BP) A20000, in the amount of $15,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which I Karr Sale and Service, Inc. may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about October 23, 2020

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of I KARR SALE AND SERVICE, INC. and ITS attorney, LAW OFFICE OF FRANK G. BECKER, in the amount of FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($15,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims WHICH I KARR SALE AND SERVICE, INC. may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about October 23, 2020, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 21-011673-NF, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 21-011673-NF and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Andrea D’Agostino Keenan
Position: Paralegal

*=REQUIRED
HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE:  I Karr Sale and Service Inc. v City of Detroit
     Case No: 21-011673-NF
     File No: L21-00798 BP

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($15,000.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($15,000.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft in that amount payable to I KARR SALE AND SERVICE, INC. and ITS attorney, LAW OFFICE OF FRANK G. BECKER, to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 21-011673-NF, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,
Ben Patterson
Senior Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED:
LAWRENCE GARCIA
Corporation Counsel

BY:  /s/ Yuvonne R. Bradley
     Yuvonne R. Bradley
     Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER __________________________________________:  

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($15,000.00); and be it further RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of I KARR SALE AND SERVICE, INC. and ITS attorney, LAW OFFICE OF FRANK G. BECKER, in the amount of FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($15,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims WHICH I KARR SALE AND SERVICE, INC. may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about October 23, 2020, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 21-011673-NF, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 21-011673-NF and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED:
LAWRENCE GARCIA
Corporation Counsel

BY:  /s/ Yuvonne R. Bradley

Yuvonne R. Bradley
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Approved by City Council: ________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: ________________________________
* RE: Submitting reso. autho.Settlement in lawsuit of Michigan Specialty Clinic, PLLC v City of Detroit

* SUMMARY: Case No. 21-149649, File No. L21-00325 (YRB) A20000, in the amount of $7,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Michigan Specialty Clinic, PLLC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about November 14, 2017.

* RECOMMENDATION: RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of MICHIGAN SPECIALTY CLINIC, PLLC and THEIR attorney, KASSOUN HAMOOD, PLC, in the amount of SEVEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($7,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims which MICHIGAN SPECIALTY CLINIC, PLLC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about November 14, 2017, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 21-149649 GC, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 21-149649 GC and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT: Name: Andrea D'Agostino Keenan Position: Paralegal

*REQUIRED
HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Michigan Specialty Clinic, PLLC v City of Detroit
    Case No: 21-149649
    File No: L21-00325 (YRB)

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of SEVEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CE NT $7,000.00 ($7,000.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of SEVEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CE NT (7,000.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft in that amount payable to MICHIGAN SPECIALTY CLINIC, PLLC and THEIR attorney, KO USSAN HAMOOD, PLC, to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 21-149649 GC, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,

Yuvonne R. Bradley

Yuvonne R. Bradley
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED: January 13, 2022
LAWRENCE GARCIA
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Jerry L. Ashford
    Jerry L. Ashford
    Chief of Litigation

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER ____________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of SEVEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($7,000.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of MICHIGAN SPECIALTY CLINIC, PLLC and THEIR attorney, KASSOUN HAMOOD, PLC, in the amount OF SEVEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($7,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims which MICHIGAN SPECIALTY CLINIC, PLLC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about November 14, 2017, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 21-149649 GC, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 21-149649 GC and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED:

LAWRENCE GARCIA
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Jerry L. Ashford
   Jerry L. Ashford
   Chief of Litigation

Approved by City Council: ________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: ___________________________________
* RE:
Biomolecular Integrations (Karen Brownlee) v City of Detroit .docx

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 20-160484-GC, File No. L20-00713 (PP) A20000, in the amount of $12,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Biomolecular Integrations may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about November 14, 2017

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of BIOMOLECULAR INTEGRATIONS and THEIR attorney, AT LAW GROUP, PLLC, in the amount of TWELVE THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($12,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims which BIOMOLECULAR INTEGRATIONS may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about November 14, 2017, and otherwise set forth in Case No.20-160484-GC, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No.20-160484-GC and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Andrea D’Agostino Keenan
Position: Paralegal

*REQUIRED
December 13, 2021

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Biomolecular Integrations (Karen Brownlee) v City of Detroit
   Case No: 20-160484-GC
   File No: L20-00713(PP)

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of TWELVE THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($12,000.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of TWELVE THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($12,000.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft in that amount payable to BIOMOLECULAR INTEGRATIONS and THEIR attorney, AT LAW GROUP, PLLC, to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-160484-GC, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,

Patricia Porter
Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED: December 13, 2021
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Yuvonne R. Bradley
Yuvonne R. Bradley
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER ________________________________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of TWELVE THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($12,000.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of BIOMOLECULAR INTEGRATIONS and THEIR attorney, AT LAW GROUP, PLLC, in the amount of TWELVE THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($12,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims which BIOMOLECULAR INTEGRATIONS may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about November 14, 2017, and otherwise set forth in Case No.20-160484-GC, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No.20-160484-GC and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED: December 13, 2021
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Yuvonne R. Bradley
Yuvonne R. Bradley
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Approved by City Council: ________________________________________________
* RE:
Submitting reso. Autho. Settlement in lawsuit of Montgomery, John v COD and John Doe (iSpine, APC, Reliable One, and Affiliated Diagnostic)

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 19-007064-NI, File No. L19-00300 (PH) A20000, in the amount of $18,000 to iSpine, $15,000 to Advance Pain Care, $4,500 to Reliable One Transportation, and $1,500 to Affiliated Diagnostics in full payment for any and all claims which the above may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about 06/08/2018

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw warrants upon the proper account in favor of to following:

- **ISPINE, PLLC,** and ITS attorney, **BASHORE GREEN LAW GROUP,** in the amount of **EIGHTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($18,000.00);**

- **ADVANCE PAIN CARE, PLLC,** and ITS attorney, **KAUFMAN, PAYTON, AND CHAPA,** in the amount of **FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($15,000.00);**

- **RELIABLE ONE TRANSPORTATION, LLC,** and ITS attorney, **HAQUE LEGAL, PLC,** in the amount of **FOUR THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($4,500.00);**

- **AFFILIATED DIAGNOSTICS OF OAKLAND, LLC,** and ITS attorney, **THE DOLLAR LAW FIRM,** in the amount of **ONE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($1,500.00);**

in full payment for any and all claims which the above may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about 06/08/2018, and otherwise set forth in Case No.19-007064-NI, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No.19-007064-NI and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Lette

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Andrea D'Agostino Keenan
Position: Paralegal
*REQUIRED
December 20, 2021

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Montgomery, John v COD and John Doe
Case No: 19-007064-NI
File No: L19-00300(PH)

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that it is in the best interest of the City of Detroit to issue settlements in the amounts of

- **EIGHTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND 00/100 DOLLARS ($18,000.00)** for ISPINE, PLLC;
- **FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND 00/100 DOLLARS ($15,000.00)** for ADVANCE PAIN CARE, PLLC;
- **FOUR THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND 00/100 DOLLARS ($4,500.00)** for RELIABLE ONE TRANSPORTATION, LLC; and
- **ONE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND 00/100 DOLLARS ($1,500.00)** for AFFILIATED DIAGNOSTICS OF OAKLAND, LLC

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in above amounts and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue drafts in the above amounts payable to

- ISPINE, PLLC, and ITS attorney, **BASHORE GREEN LAW GROUP**;
- ADVANCE PAIN CARE, PLLC, and ITS attorney, **KAUFMAN, PAYTON, AND CHAPA**;
- RELIABLE ONE TRANSPORTATION, LLC, and ITS attorney, **HAQUE LEGAL, PLC**; and
- AFFILIATED DIAGNOSTICS OF OAKLAND, LLC, and ITS attorney, **THE DOLLAR LAW FIRM**;

...to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 19-007064-NI, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,

*Philip Hiltner*
Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED: December 20, 2021
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel
BY: /s/Krystal A. Crittendon

**Krystal A. Crittendon**
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER ___________________________________________: 
RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amounts of **EIGHTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($18,000.00)** for ISPINE, PLLC; **FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($15,000.00)** for ADVANCE PAIN CARE, PLLC; **FOUR THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($4,500.00)** for RELIABLE ONE TRANSPORTATION, LLC; and **ONE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($1,500.00)** for AFFILIATED DIAGNOSTICS OF OAKLAND, LLC; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw warrants upon the proper account in favor of to following:

- **ISPINE, PLLC**, and ITS attorney, **BASHORE GREEN LAW GROUP**, in the amount of **EIGHTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($18,000.00)**;
- **ADVANCE PAIN CARE, PLLC**, and ITS attorney, **KAUFMAN, PAYTON, AND CHAPA**, in the amount of **FIFTEEN THOUSAND DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($15,000.00)**;
- **RELIABLE ONE TRANSPORTATION, LLC**, and ITS attorney, **HAQUE LEGAL, PLC**, in the amount of **FOUR THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($4,500.00)**;
- **AFFILIATED DIAGNOSTICS OF OAKLAND, LLC**, and ITS attorney, **THE DOLLAR LAW FIRM**, in the amount of **ONE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($1,500.00)**;

in full payment for any and all claims which the above may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about 06/08/2018, and otherwise set forth in Case No.19-007064-NI, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No.19-007064-NI and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.
APPROVED: December 20, 2021
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Krystal A. Crittendon

Krystal A. Crittendon
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Approved by City Council: ________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: _________________________________
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Settlement Lawsuit of Northland Radiology Inc (Tamika Homesly) v City of Detroit

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 20-015082-NF, File No. L20-00951, (MBC), A20000, in the amount of $22,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Northland Radiology, Inc. may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of Northland Radiology, Inc. and their attorney, Kajy Law, PLLC, in the amount of Twenty-Two Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($22,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims which Northland Radiology, Inc. may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about April 7, 2020, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 20-015082-NF, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-015082-NF.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Lisa Szwast
Position: Legal Assistant

*=REQUIRED
December 3, 2021

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Northland Radiology, Inc. (Tamika Homesly) v City of Detroit
Case No: 20-015082-NF
File No: L20-00951(MBC)

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of Twenty-Two Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($22,000.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of Twenty-Two Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($22,000.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft in that amount payable to Northland Radiology, Inc. and their attorney, Kajy Law, PLLC, to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-015082-NF, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Mary Beth Cobbs

Mary Beth Cobbs
Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED:

LAWRENCE GARCIA
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/James D. Noseda

James D. Noseda
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER _____________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of Twenty-Two Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($22,000.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of Northland Radiology, Inc. and their attorney, Kajy Law, PLLC, in the amount of Twenty-Two Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($22,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims which Northland Radiology, Inc. may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about April 7, 2020, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 20-015082-NF, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-015082-NF.

APPROVED:
LAWRENCE GARCIA
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/James D. Noseda

James D. Noseda
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Approved by City Council: ________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: ________________________________
* RE:

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 20-009459-NI, File No. L20-00515 (KAC) A20000, in the amount of $65,000.00 in favor of Elizabeth Howard and her attorney, Ravid and Associates, P.C., in full payment for any and all claims which Elizabeth Howard may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about 05/06/2019.

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw warrants upon the proper account in the amount of SIXTY-FIVE THOUSAND HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($65,000.00) in favor of ELIZABETH HOWARD and HER attorney, RAVID AND ASSOCIATES, P.C., in full payment for any and all claims which ELIZABETH HOWARD may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about 05/06/2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 20-009459-NI, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. Case No. 20-009459-NI and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Andrea D'Agostino Keenan
Position: Paralegal

*=REQUIRED
January 4, 2022

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Elizabeth Howard v. City of Detroit
Case No.: 20-009459-NI
File No.: L20-00515

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of SIXTY-FIVE THOUSAND HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($65,000.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of SIXTY-FIVE THOUSAND HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($65,000.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft in the following amounts payable as follows: SIXTY-FIVE THOUSAND HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($65,000.00) in favor of ELIZABETH HOWARD and HER attorney, RAVID AND ASSOCIATES, P.C., to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-009459-NI, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Krystal A. Crittendon
Krystal A. Crittendon
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED:

BY: /s/ Krystal A. Crittendon
Krystal A. Crittendon
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER ________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of SIXTY-FIVE THOUSAND HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($65,000.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw warrants upon the proper account in the amount of SIXTY-FIVE THOUSAND HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($65,000.00) in favor of ELIZABETH HOWARD and HER attorney, RAVID AND ASSOCIATES, P.C., in full payment for any and all claims which ELIZABETH HOWARD may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about 05/06/2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 20-009459-NI, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. Case No. 20-009459-NI and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/ Krystal A. Crittendon
Krystal A. Crittendon
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Approved by City Council: ________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: ________________________________
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Settlement lawsuit of Christanna Bullock v William Morrison

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 17-12685, File No. L17-00590 (GBP), A37000, in the amount of $62,500.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Christanna Bullock may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of Christanna Bullock and HER attorney, Chris Trainor & Associates, in the amount of Sixty-Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars and NO/Cents ($62,500.00) in full payment for any and all claims which Christanna Bullock may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 2:17-cv-12685, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 2:17-cv-12685 and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Lisa Szwast
Position: Legal Assistant

* = REQUIRED
January 5, 2022

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Christanna Bullock–v- William Morrison
Case No: 2:17-cv-12685
File No: L17-00590 (GBP)

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of Sixty-Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars and 00/00 ($62,500.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of Sixty-Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars and 00/00 ($62,500.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft in that amount payable to Christanna Bullock and her attorney, Chris Trainor & Associates, to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 2:17-cv-12685, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,
Gregory B. Paddison
Senior Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED: January 13, 2022
Charles Raimi
Deputy Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/James D. Noseda
James D. Noseda
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER _____________________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw
a warrant upon the proper account in favor of Christanna Bullock and HER attorney, Chris
Trainor & Associates, in the amount of Sixty-Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars and
NO/Cents ($62,500.00) in full payment for any and all claims which Christanna Bullock may have
against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries
sustained on or about, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 2:17-cv-12685, that said amount be paid
upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit
No. 2:17-cv-12685 and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed
Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED: January 13, 2022
Charles Raimi
Deputy Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/James D. Noseda

James D. Noseda
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Approved by City Council: ________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: ________________________________
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Settlement in lawsuit of Integrated MRI Center, LLC (Kelvin Butler) v City of Detroit

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 20-9250-GC, File No. L21-00040 (YRB) A20000, in the amount of **$6,250.00**, in full payment for any and all claims which Integrated MRI Center, LLC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about October 31, 2019.

* RECOMMENDATION:
**RESOLVED**, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw warrants upon the proper account in favor of INTEGRATED MRI CENTER, LLC and ITS attorneys, KAJY LAW, PLLC, in the amount of **SIX THOUSAND TWO HUNDRED AND FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($6,250.00)**, in full payment for any and all claims which INTEGRATED MRI CENTER, LLC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about October 31, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No.20-9250-GC, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. L21-00040 and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Andrea D'Agostino Keenan
Position: Paralegal

* REQUIRED
December 21, 2021

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Integrated MRI Center, LLC (Kelvin Butler) v City of Detroit
Case No: 20-9250-GC
File No: L21-00040 (YRB)

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts, and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of SIX THOUSAND TWO HUNDRED AND FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($6,250.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of SIX THOUSAND TWO HUNDRED AND FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($6,250.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft to INTEGRATED MRI CENTER, LLC and ITS attorneys, KAJY LAW, PLLC, in the amount of SIX THOUSAND TWO HUNDRED AND FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($6,250.00), to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-9250-GC, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,
/s/ Yuvonne R. Bradley
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Jerry L. Ashford
Jerry L. Ashford
Chief of Litigation

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER _____________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of SIX THOUSAND TWO HUNDRED AND FIFTY DOLLARS AND 00/ CENTS ($6,250.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw warrants upon the proper account in favor of INTEGRATED MRI CENTER, LLC and ITS attorneys, KAJY LAW, PLLC, in the amount of SIX THOUSAND TWO HUNDRED AND FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($6,250.00), in full payment for any and all claims which INTEGRATED MRI CENTER, LLC may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about October 31, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No.20-9250-GC, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. L21-00040 and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Jerry L. Ashford

Jerry L. Ashford
Chief of Litigation

Approved by City Council: ________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: ________________________________
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Settlement in lawsuit of Kimberly Michelle Baker v City of Detroit

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 20-008597-NI, File No. L20-00441 (YRB) A20000, in the amount of $58,500.00, in full payment for any and all claims which Kimberly Michelle Baker may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about October 8, 2019

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw warrants upon the proper account in favor of KIMBERLY MICHELLE BAKER and HER attorneys, APPLEBAUM & STONE, PLC, in the amount of FIFTY EIGHT THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($58,500.00), in full payment for any and all claims which KIMBERLY MICHELLE BAKER may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about October 8, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No.20-008597-NI, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. L20-00441 and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Andrea D'Agostino Keenan
Position: Paralegal

*=REQUIRED
HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Kimberly Michelle Baker v City of Detroit
Case No: 20-008597-NI
File No: L20-00441 (YRB)

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of FIFTY-EIGHT THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($58,500.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of FIFTY-EIGHT THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($58,500.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft to KIMBERLY MICHELLE BAKER and her attorneys, APPLEBAUM & STONE, PLC, in the amount of FIFTY-EIGHT THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($58,500.00), to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No.20-008597-NI, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,
/s/ Yuvonne R. Bradley
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Jerry L. Ashford
Jerry L. Ashford
Chief of Litigation

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER __________________________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of FIFTY-EIGHT THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($58,500.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw warrants upon the proper account in favor of KIMBERLY MICHELLE BAKER and HER attorneys, APPLEBAUM & STONE, PLC, in the amount of FIFTY EIGHT THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($58,500.00), in full payment for any and all claims which KIMBERLY MICHELLE BAKER may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about October 8, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No.20-008597-NI, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. L20-00441 and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Jerry L. Ashford
Jerry L. Ashford
Chief of Litigation

Approved by City Council: ________________________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: ________________________________________________
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Settlement lawsuit of Pioneer Labs (Tamika Homesly v City of Detroit

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 21-158315-GC, File No. L21-00566 (MBC) A20000, in the amount of $12,250.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Pioneer Labs may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of Pioneer Labs and their attorney, Khurana Law, P.C., in the amount of Twelve Thousand Two Hundred Dollars and NO/Cents ($12,250.00) in full payment for any and all claims which Pioneer Labs may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about April 7, 2020, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 21-158315 GC, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 21-158315 GC.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Lisa Szwast
Position: Legal Assistant

*REQUIRED
DO NOT DESTROY

Forward this Page to the Settlement Desk
Along with the Write-up

Pioneer Labs, as assignee of Tamika Homesly  v City of Detroit
21-158315 GC
L21-00566
Mary Beth Cobbs
A20000

SETTLEMENT AMOUNT $12,250.00
TYPE OF CASE (Choose one)

☐ No Fault/PIP/1st Party
☐ Trip/slip & Fall/Hwy Defect
☐ MVA/1st and 3rd Party
☐ Civil Rights Violation/42 USC 1983/Elliot Larsen
☐ Tort/Neg Opr/3rd Party
✓ Provider
☐ Bldg Defect
☐ State Tort
☐ Other

Please make sure the Attorney AND all the Law Firm information is completed below:


Khurana Law Firm, PC Amal Mouzaihem
888 W. Big Beaver Rd, Ste.100
Troy, MI 48084 248. 731.7846

Settlement Desk Use Only:

FID #: __________________________

SUPPLIER NUMBER: ________

Settlement Write-up __________________________

Arbitration Agreement Award____________________

Case Evaluation Acceptance Award_________________

Request W9 ________ Resolution ________ Release Agreement ____________ Processed check request__________

Submitted check request_________________________ line # __________

Rev.05/2019
LAW SUIT SETTLEMENT MEMORANDUM

Pioneer Labs, as assignee of Tamika Homesly v City of Detroit
21-1581315 GC
L21- 00566(MBC)

PLAINTIFF'S NAME: Pioneer Labs, as assignee of Tamika Homesly

DATE OF INCIDENT: April 7, 2020

LOCATION OF INCIDENT: 7 Mile / Gratiot

SUMMARY OF INCIDENT: On April 7, 2020 a City of Detroit bus was preceding westbound on W. 7 Mile. It stopped at a bus stop just east of Gratiot Avenue to board passengers. A GMC pickup truck, driven by Jennifer Foster was unable to stop. The pickup truck first stuck one vehicle and then continued forward, striking a second vehicle. That force caused the second vehicle to push into the rear of the coach. It was reported that Ms. Homely, the patient in this provider litigation, slid forward and hit the seat in front of her. When DPD arrived on scene, she requested medical attention. She was transported by ambulance to St. John Hospital, treated and released. She initially alleged injuries to head, neck and back.

MEDICAL TREATMENT/DIAGNOSIS: Ms. Homesly was transported to St. John Hospital and released the same day. She was released in stable condition. She began treatment for the injuries sustained in this bus accident on June 10, 2020 with Northland Radiology. At that initial appointment, Ms. Homesly complained of headaches, dizziness, pain in the neck, mid-back pain, low back pain, and pain in both shoulders as well as in her lower extremities. Northland Radiology, Inc.’s treating physician prescribed attendant-care services, pain medications, MRI scans, and CT scans and produced intracavitary pressure to reduce load on intervertebral discs, as well as other therapy treatments. Scans of her neck, arm joints, lower extremities, spine, pelvis and upper spinal canal. She was referred by Dr. Benjamin Krpichak to Pioneer Labs for toxicology testing and analysis as well as prescription medications.

PERSONAL INJURY/PROPERTY “SPECIALS”

MEDICAL: $21,075.00

CASE EVALUATION: The parties did not participate in case evaluation in this 36th District Court Case

LIABILITY/EVALUATION: In accordance with the Michigan No Fault Act, the City is liable for payment of First Party Personal Injury Protection (PIP) benefits to individuals injured by, or on-board, a City bus while it is being used as a mode of transport. However, a Plaintiff must show that she has no other applicable automobile insurance. Whether the City’s vehicle was
operated in a negligent manner is immaterial for the purposes of a PIP claim seeking reimbursement for economic loss.

It has been established that Ms. Homesly had no auto insurance coverage and was injured on the bus while it was being used as a motor vehicle. Accordingly, the City is liable for payment of benefits. These benefits include “all reasonable charges incurred for reasonably necessary products, services and accommodations for an injured person’s care, recovery or rehabilitation.” Failure to pay the benefits within 30 days after receipt of proof of loss subjects the City to liability for statutory penalty interest and attorney fees. The issue becomes whether the medical treatment or services provided were reasonably necessary and the costs of the services were reasonably priced. It is undisputed that Ms. Homesly was injured while a passenger on a City of Detroit bus, during the operation of a coach and was transported to an emergency medical facility with multiple body pain complaints. Therefore, it is therefore likely a jury would find Ms. Homesly’s medical treatment necessary.

Settling the case in the amount of $12,250.00 eliminates the possibility of additional expense in the form of taxable costs, which would include 12% interest and attorney fees as provided for by the No-Fault Act. Consequently, acceptance of the settlement offer is strongly recommended.

**AMOUNT OF SETTLEMENT RECOMMENDED:** $12,250.00

**RISK MANAGEMENT MEASURES:** The Law Department does not believe that this matter warrants any risk management measures

**DATE CITY COUNCIL APPROVED**

**EMPLOYEE REPRESENTATION:** Not applicable in this first party (PIP) litigation.

Mary Beth Cobbs

**LAWSUIT SETTLEMENT**

$12,250.00 (MBC)
January 4, 2022

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Pioneer Labs, as assignee of Tamika Homesly v City of Detroit
   Case No: 21-158315 GC
   File No: L21-00566(MBC)

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of Twelve Thousand Two Hundred Fifty Dollars and NO/Cents ($12,250.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of Twelve Thousand Two Hundred Fifty Dollars and NO/Cents ($12,250.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft in that amount payable to Pioneer Labs, and their attorney, Khurana Law Firm, P.C., to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and a Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 21-158315-GC, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Mary Beth Cobbs
Mary Beth Cobbs
Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED:
Charles N. Raimi
Corporation Counsel

BY:  /s/James D. Noseda
James D. Noseda
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER ________________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of Twelve Thousand Two Hundred Fifty Dollars and NO/Cents ($12,250.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of Pioneer Labs and their attorney, Khurana Law, P.C., in the amount of Twelve Thousand Two Hundred Dollars and NO/Cents ($12,250.00) in full payment for any and all claims which Pioneer Labs may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about April 7, 2020, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 21-158315 GC, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 21-158315 GC.

APPROVED:
Charles N. Raimi
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/James D. Noseda
James D. Noseda
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Approved by City Council: ____________________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: ____________________________________________
ORDER TO DISMISS CAUSE

At a session of the said Court in the City of Detroit,
County of Wayne, Michigan on

Present: Honorable _____________________________

This matter coming before the Court upon stipulation of the undersigned counsel for entry
of an order dismissing this action, the Court being advised in the premises,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. This action is dismissed with prejudice and without costs and without attorney fees to any
   party.

2. This order resolves the last pending claims and closes the case.

DATE: ____________

Judge

The undersigned stipulate to entry of the above order:

/s/ w/permission  /s/ Mary Beth Cobbs
Attorney for Plaintiff Mary Beth Cobbs40080
Kajy Law, PLLC Attorney for Defendant City
31313 Northwestern Hwy, Ste.100 City of Detroit Law Dept.
Farmington Hills, MI 48334 2 Woodward Avenue #500
COBBM@detroitmi.gov

September 29, 2021
RE: Northland Radiology, Inc. (Tamika Homesly) v City of Detroit
Case No. 20-015082-NF

Dear Kajy Law, PLLC

Enclosed you will find a copy of the Release in connection with the above-referenced matter.

1. Please have your client sign all copies of the Release and Agreement and provide us with their Social Security Numbers and current address.

2. Please include your SSN or Employer ID number – without it we will be unable to process the payment.

3. Please do not alter the Release and Agreement. If you wish to make changes, please contact the undersigned. A revised Agreement will be prepared by this office and forwarded to you.

4. Please provide a W-9 form for anyone listed as a payee on the check.

5. Please provide a Medicare/CMS final demand letter.

Omission of any of the above-required information will delay processing of the settlement check. Should you have any questions or concerns regarding the release, please contact the undersigned.

Should all documents be properly completed, executed and returned, a settlement check will be mailed to you as soon as practicable. When returning the documents, please email or mail them to the undersigned attorney for his or her review and further processing.

Signed,

/s/ Mary Beth Cobbs
Mary Beth Cobbs
Assistant Corporation Counsel

enclosures
1. Release and consideration.

Plaintiff in consideration of the sum of ________________________________ ($__________), to be paid upon satisfaction of the conditions set forth below, hereby releases the City of Detroit and each of its employees, agents, departments and representatives, including those named as defendants (collectively the “City”) in the above-entitled Civil Action from any and all liabilities, damages, actions or claims, legal and equitable, known and unknown, accrued or contingent, arising or accruing at any time prior to and through the date of this Release, which Plaintiff has or may claim to have against the City, including without limitation any and all claims related to or arising out of the events, transactions, and occurrences which are or could have been complained of in the Civil Action.

As used in this Release, the term "Plaintiff" includes the medical/transportation/service provider(s) set forth above and its/their subsidiaries, affiliates, officers, directors, agents, employees, successors and assigns. Plaintiff warrants and represents that Plaintiff has not assigned any right, title or interest to the claims in the Civil Action.

Plaintiff understands that the payment to be made under this Release represents the compromise of a disputed claim and payment is not to be construed as an admission of liability on the part of the City.

2. Payees on the settlement check.

Upon satisfaction of the conditions below, the settlement check shall issue made payable
to Plaintiff and Plaintiff’s attorney whose proper and correct name is set forth above.

The settlement check shall be mailed to Plaintiff’s attorney at the above address.

3. Payment shall not issue without approval of Detroit City Council. However, this requirement shall not apply for settlements of $2,500 or less.

Issuance of payment is expressly conditioned upon the prior approval of the Detroit City Council. The Law Department will make reasonable efforts to obtain City Council approval and, subsequently, to promptly process an application for payment. Nevertheless, because those procedures take time and are not within the control of the City’s Law Department, it is hereby acknowledged that time is not of the essence and no day certain for the issuance of any check can be given. This requirement shall not apply for settlements of $2,500 or less.

4. Payment shall not issue unless the Civil Action is dismissed with prejudice.

Issuance of payment is expressly conditioned upon the dismissal with prejudice of all claims brought by Plaintiff against the City in the Civil Action, and Plaintiff hereby stipulates to dismissal of the Civil Action with prejudice.

*********************************************************************************************

THIS RELEASE constitutes the entire understanding between Plaintiff and City. The provisions of this Release are binding upon the Plaintiff and its subsidiaries, affiliates, officers, directors, agents, employees, successors, and assigns.

PRINT PLAINTIFF’S REPRESENTATIVE’S NAME__________________________________________

PRINT PLAINTIFF’S REPRESENTATIVE’S TITLE________________________________________

PLAINTIFF’S ADDRESS________________________________________________________________

PLAINTIFF’S REPRESENTATIVE’S SIGNATURE__________________________________________

STATE OF MICHIGAN ______________________

)ss

COUNTY OF ____________________________

8
This Release was acknowledged before me this ____ day of ____________, 20____, by ________________________________ who hereby declares under penalty of perjury that (s)he has had advice of counsel and is voluntarily and knowingly executing this Release.

Signature ______________________________________

Print name: ______________________________________

Notary Public, ____________County, Michigan; acting in ________________County, Michigan

My commission expires: ______________________

Note: Should this release be signed by the Plaintiff outside of the State of Michigan that fact must be noted in the appropriate area above and the out of state notary must attach a certificate of notarial authority from the state he or she is authorized to act as a notary.
Request for Taxpayer Identification Number and Certification

Go to www.irs.gov/FormW9 for instructions and the latest information.

Give Form to the requester. Do not send to the IRS.

1. Name (as shown on your income tax return). Name is required on this line; do not leave this line blank.

2. Business name/disregarded entity name, if different from above

☐ Check appropriate box for federal tax classification of the person whose name is entered on line 1. Check only one of the following seven boxes.

☐ Individual/sole proprietor or single-member LLC

☐ Limited liability company. Enter the tax classification (C=C corporation, S=S corporation, P=Partnership) ▶

☐ Exempt payee code (if any)

4. Exemptions (codes apply only to certain entities, not individuals; see instructions on page 3):

Exemption from FATCA reporting code (if any)

5. Address (number, street, and apt. or suite no.) See instructions.

6. City, state, and ZIP code

7. List account number(s) here (optional)

Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN)

Enter your TIN in the appropriate box. The TIN provided must match the name given on line 1 to avoid backup withholding. For individuals, this is generally your social security number (SSN). However, for a resident alien, sole proprietor, or disregarded entity, see the instructions for Part I, later. For other entities, it is your employer identification number (EIN). If you do not have a number, see How to get a TIN, later.

Note: If the account is in more than one name, see the instructions for line 1. Also see What Name and Number To Give the Requester for guidelines on whose number to enter.

Part II Certification

Under penalties of perjury, I certify that:

1. The number shown on this form is my correct taxpayer identification number (or I am waiting for a number to be issued to me); and
2. I am not subject to backup withholding because: (a) I am exempt from backup withholding, or (b) I have not been notified by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) that I am subject to backup withholding as a result of a failure to report all interest or dividends, or (c) the IRS has notified me that I am no longer subject to backup withholding; and

3. I am a U.S. citizen or other U.S. person (defined below); and

4. The FATCA code(s) entered on this form (if any) indicating that I am exempt from FATCA reporting is correct.

**Certification instructions.** You must cross out item 2 above if you have been notified by the IRS that you are currently subject to backup withholding because you have failed to report all interest and dividends on your tax return. For real estate transactions, item 2 does not apply. For mortgage interest paid, acquisition or abandonment of secured property, cancellation of debt, contributions to an individual retirement arrangement (IRA), and generally, payments other than interest and dividends, you are not required to sign the certification, but you must provide your correct TIN. See the instructions for Part II, later.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sign Here</th>
<th>Signature of</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**General Instructions**

Section references are to the Internal Revenue Code unless otherwise noted.

**Future developments.** For the latest information about developments related to Form W-9 and its instructions, such as legislation enacted after they were published, go to [www.irs.gov/FormW9](http://www.irs.gov/FormW9).

**Purpose of Form**

An individual or entity (Form W-9 requester) who is required to file an information return with the IRS must obtain your correct taxpayer identification number (TIN) which may be your social security number (SSN), individual taxpayer identification number (ITIN), adoption taxpayer identification number (ATIN), or employer identification number (EIN), to report on an information return the amount paid to you, or other amount reportable on an information return. Examples of information returns include, but are not limited to, the following.

- Form 1099-INT (interest earned or paid)
- Form 1099-DIV (dividends, including those from stocks or mutual funds)
- Form 1099-MISC (various types of income, prizes, awards, or gross proceeds)
- Form 1099-B (stock or mutual fund sales and certain other transactions by brokers)
- Form 1099-S (proceeds from real estate transactions)
- Form 1099-K (merchant card and third party network transactions)
- Form 1098 (home mortgage interest), 1098-E (student loan interest), 1098-T (tuition)
- Form 1099-C (canceled debt)
- Form 1099-A (acquisition or abandonment of secured property)

Use Form W-9 only if you are a U.S. person (including a resident alien), to provide your correct TIN.

*If you do not return Form W-9 to the requester with a TIN, you might be subject to backup withholding. See What is backup withholding, later.*
By signing the filled-out form, you:

1. Certify that the TIN you are giving is correct (or you are waiting for a number to be issued),
2. Certify that you are not subject to backup withholding, or
3. Claim exemption from backup withholding if you are a U.S. exempt payee. If applicable, you are also certifying that as a U.S. person, your allocable share of any partnership income from a U.S. trade or business is not subject to the withholding tax on foreign partners’ share of effectively connected income, and
4. Certify that FATCA code(s) entered on this form (if any) indicating that you are exempt from the FATCA reporting, is correct. See What is FATCA reporting, later, for further information.

Note: If you are a U.S. person and a requester gives you a form other than Form W-9 to request your TIN, you must use the requester’s form if it is substantially similar to this Form W-9.

Definition of a U.S. person. For federal tax purposes, you are considered a U.S. person if you are:

• An individual who is a U.S. citizen or U.S. resident alien;
• A partnership, corporation, company, or association created or organized in the United States or under the laws of the United States;
• An estate (other than a foreign estate); or
• A domestic trust (as defined in Regulations section 301.7701-7).

Special rules for partnerships. Partnerships that conduct a trade or business in the United States are generally required to pay a withholding tax under section 1446 on any foreign partners’ share of effectively connected taxable income from such business. Further, in certain cases where a Form W-9 has not been received, the rules under section 1446 require a partnership to presume that a partner is a foreign person, and pay the section 1446 withholding tax. Therefore, if you are a U.S. person that is a partner in a partnership conducting a trade or business in the United States, provide Form W-9 to the partnership to establish your U.S. status and avoid section 1446 withholding on your share of partnership income.

In the cases below, the following person must give Form W-9 to the partnership for purposes of establishing its U.S. status and avoiding withholding on its allocable share of net income from the partnership conducting a trade or business in the United States.

• In the case of a disregarded entity with a U.S. owner, the U.S. owner of the disregarded entity and not the entity;
• In the case of a grantor trust with a U.S. grantor or other U.S. owner, generally, the U.S. grantor or other U.S. owner of the grantor trust and not the trust; and
• In the case of a U.S. trust (other than a grantor trust), the U.S. trust (other than a grantor trust) and not the beneficiaries of the trust.

Foreign person. If you are a foreign person or the U.S. branch of a foreign bank that has elected to be treated as a U.S. person, do not use Form W-9. Instead, use the appropriate Form W-8 or Form 8233 (see Pub. 515, Withholding of Tax on Nonresident Aliens and Foreign Entities).

Nonresident alien who becomes a resident alien. Generally, only a nonresident alien individual may use the terms of a tax treaty to reduce or eliminate U.S. tax on certain types of income. However, most tax treaties contain a provision known as a “saving clause.” Exceptions specified in the saving clause may permit an exemption from tax to continue for certain types of income even after the payee has otherwise become a U.S. resident alien for tax purposes.

If you are a U.S. resident alien who is relying on an exception contained in the saving clause of a tax treaty to claim an exemption from U.S. tax on certain types of income, you must attach a statement to Form W-9 that specifies the following five items.

1. The treaty country. Generally, this must be the same treaty under which you claimed exemption from tax as a nonresident alien.
2. The treaty article addressing the income.
3. The article number (or location) in the tax treaty that contains the saving clause and its exceptions.
4. The type and amount of income that qualifies for the exemption from tax.
5. Sufficient facts to justify the exemption from tax under the terms of the treaty article.
Example. Article 20 of the U.S.-China income tax treaty allows an exemption from tax for scholarship income received by a Chinese student temporarily present in the United States. Under U.S. law, this student will become a resident alien for tax purposes if his or her stay in the United States exceeds 5 calendar years. However, paragraph 2 of the first Protocol to the U.S.-China treaty (dated April 30, 1984) allows the provisions of Article 20 to continue to apply even after the Chinese student becomes a resident alien of the United States. A Chinese student who qualifies for this exception (under paragraph 2 of the first protocol) and is relying on this exception to claim an exemption from tax on his or her scholarship or fellowship income would attach to Form W-9 a statement that includes the information described above to support that exemption.

If you are a nonresident alien or a foreign entity, give the requester the appropriate completed Form W-8 or Form 8233.

Backup Withholding

What is backup withholding? Persons making certain payments to you must under certain conditions withhold and pay to the IRS 24% of such payments. This is called “backup withholding.” Payments that may be subject to backup withholding include interest, tax-exempt interest, dividends, broker and barter exchange transactions, rents, royalties, nonemployee pay, payments made in settlement of payment card and third party network transactions, and certain payments from fishing boat operators. Real estate transactions are not subject to backup withholding.

You will not be subject to backup withholding on payments you receive if you give the requester your correct TIN, make the proper certifications, and report all your taxable interest and dividends on your tax return.

Payments you receive will be subject to backup withholding if:

1. You do not furnish your TIN to the requester,
2. You do not certify your TIN when required (see the instructions for Part II for details),
3. The IRS tells the requester that you furnished an incorrect TIN,
4. The IRS tells you that you are subject to backup withholding because you did not report all your interest and dividends on your tax return (for reportable interest and dividends only), or
5. You do not certify to the requester that you are not subject to backup withholding under 4 above (for reportable interest and dividend accounts opened after 1983 only).

Certain payees and payments are exempt from backup withholding. See Exempt payee code, later, and the separate Instructions for the Requester of Form W-9 for more information.

Also see Special rules for partnerships, earlier.

What is FATCA Reporting?

The Foreign Account Tax Compliance Act (FATCA) requires a participating foreign financial institution to report all United States account holders that are specified United States persons. Certain payees are exempt from FATCA reporting. See Exemption from FATCA reporting code, later, and the Instructions for the Requester of Form W-9 for more information.

Updating Your Information

You must provide updated information to any person to whom you claimed to be an exempt payee if you are no longer an exempt payee and anticipate receiving reportable payments in the future from this person. For example, you may need to provide updated information if you are a C corporation that elects to be an S corporation, or if you no longer are tax exempt. In addition, you must furnish a new Form W-9 if the name or TIN changes for the account; for example, if the grantor of a grantor trust dies.

Penalties

Failure to furnish TIN. If you fail to furnish your correct TIN to a requester, you are subject to a penalty of $50 for each such failure unless your failure is due to reasonable cause and not to willful neglect.

Civil penalty for false information with respect to withholding. If you make a false statement with no reasonable basis that results in no backup withholding, you are subject to a $500 penalty.
Criminal penalty for falsifying information. Willfully falsifying certifications or affirmations may subject you to criminal penalties including fines and/or imprisonment.

Misuse of TINs. If the requester discloses or uses TINs in violation of federal law, the requester may be subject to civil and criminal penalties.

Specific Instructions

Line 1
You must enter one of the following on this line; do not leave this line blank. The name should match the name on your tax return.

If this Form W-9 is for a joint account (other than an account maintained by a foreign financial institution (FFI)), list first, and then circle, the name of the person or entity whose number you entered in Part I of Form W-9. If you are providing Form W-9 to an FFI to document a joint account, each holder of the account that is a U.S. person must provide a Form W-9.

a. Individual. Generally, enter the name shown on your tax return. If you have changed your last name without informing the Social Security Administration (SSA) of the name change, enter your first name, the last name as shown on your social security card, and your new last name.

Note: ITIN applicant: Enter your individual name as it was entered on your Form W-7 application, line 1a. This should also be the same as the name you entered on the Form 1040/1040A/1040EZ you filed with your application.

b. Sole proprietor or single-member LLC. Enter your individual name as shown on your 1040/1040A/1040EZ on line 1. You may enter your business, trade, or “doing business as” (DBA) name on line 2.

c. Partnership, LLC that is not a single-member LLC, C corporation, or S corporation. Enter the entity’s name as shown on the entity’s tax return on line 1 and any business, trade, or DBA name on line 2.

d. Other entities. Enter your name as shown on required U.S. federal tax documents on line 1. This name should match the name shown on the charter or other legal document creating the entity. You may enter any business, trade, or DBA name on line 2.

e. Disregarded entity. For U.S. federal tax purposes, an entity that is disregarded as an entity separate from its owner is treated as a “disregarded entity.” See Regulations section 301.7701-2(c)(2)(iii). Enter the owner’s name on line 1. The name of the entity entered on line 1 should never be a disregarded entity. The name on line 1 should be the name shown on the income tax return on which the income should be reported. For example, if a foreign LLC that is treated as a disregarded entity for U.S. federal tax purposes has a single owner that is a U.S. person, the U.S. owner’s name is required to be provided on line 1. If the direct owner of the entity is also a disregarded entity, enter the first owner that is not disregarded for federal tax purposes. Enter the disregarded entity’s name on line 2, “Business name/disregarded entity name.” If the owner of the disregarded entity is a foreign person, the owner must complete an appropriate Form W-8 instead of a Form W-9. This is the case even if the foreign person has a U.S. TIN.

Line 2
If you have a business name, trade name, DBA name, or disregarded entity name, you may enter it on line 2.
IF the entity/person on line 1 is a(n) .
.
.
THEN check the box for .
.
.
• Corporation Corporation

• Individual Individual/sole proprietor or single-member LLC

• Sole proprietorship, or LLC treated as a partnership for U.S. federal tax purposes,

• Single-member limited liability company (LLC) owned by an individual and disregarded for U.S. federal tax purposes.

• LLC that has filed Form 8832 or 2553 to be taxed as a corporation, or LLC that is disregarded as an entity separate from its owner but the owner is another LLC that is not disregarded for U.S. federal tax purposes.

• Partnership Partnership

• Trust/estate Trust/estate

5—A corporation

6—A dealer in securities or commodities required to register in the United States, the District of Columbia, or a U.S. commonwealth or possession

7—A futures commission merchant registered with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission

8—A real estate investment trust

9—An entity registered at all times during the tax year under the Investment Company Act of 1940

10—A common trust fund operated by a bank under section 584(a)

11—A financial institution

12—A middleman known in the investment community as a nominee or custodian

13—A trust exempt from tax under section 664 or described in section 4947

Line 4, Exemptions

If you are exempt from backup withholding and/or FATCA reporting, enter in the appropriate space on line 4 any code(s) that may apply to you.

Exempt payee code.

• Generally, individuals (including sole proprietors) are not exempt from backup withholding.

• Except as provided below, corporations are exempt from backup withholding for certain payments, including interest and dividends.

• Corporations are not exempt from backup withholding for payments made in settlement of payment card or third party network transactions.

• Corporations are not exempt from backup withholding with respect to attorneys' fees or gross proceeds paid to attorneys, and corporations that provide medical or health care services are not exempt with respect to payments reportable on Form 1099-MISC.

The following codes identify payees that are exempt from backup withholding. Enter the appropriate code in the space in line 4.

1—An organization exempt from tax under section 501(a), any IRA, or a custodial account under section 403(b)(7) if the account satisfies the requirements of section 401(f)(2)

2—The United States or any of its agencies or instrumentalities

3—A state, the District of Columbia, a U.S. commonwealth or possession, or any of their political subdivisions or instrumentalities

4—A foreign government or any of its political subdivisions, agencies, or instrumentalities
The following chart shows types of payments that may be exempt from backup withholding. The chart applies to the exempt payees listed above, 1 through 13.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IF the payment is for . . .</th>
<th>THEN the payment is exempt for . . .</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest and dividend payments</td>
<td>All exempt payees except for 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broker transactions</td>
<td>Exempt payees 1 through 4 and 6 through 11 and all C corporations. S corporations must not enter an exempt payee code because they are exempt only for sales of noncovered securities acquired prior to 2012.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barter exchange transactions and patronage dividends</td>
<td>Exempt payees 1 through 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments over $600 required to be reported and direct sales over $5,000</td>
<td>Generally, exempt payees 1 through 5²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments made in settlement of payment card or third party network transactions</td>
<td>Exempt payees 1 through 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ See Form 1099-MISC, Miscellaneous Income, and its instructions.

² However, the following payments made to a corporation and reportable on Form 1099-MISC are not exempt from backup withholding: medical and health care payments, attorneys’ fees, gross proceeds paid to an attorney reportable under section 6045(f), and payments for services paid by a federal executive agency.

**Exemption from FATCA reporting code.** The following codes identify payees that are exempt from reporting under FATCA. These codes apply to persons submitting this form for accounts maintained outside of the United States by certain foreign financial institutions. Therefore, if you are only submitting this form for an account you hold in the United States, you may leave this field blank. Consult with the person requesting this form if you are uncertain if the financial institution is subject to these requirements. A requester may indicate that a code is not required by providing you with a Form W-9 with “Not Applicable” (or any similar indication) written or printed on the line for a FATCA exemption code.

A—An organization exempt from tax under section 501(a) or any individual retirement plan as defined in section 7701(a)(37)

B—The United States or any of its agencies or instrumentalities

C—A state, the District of Columbia, a U.S. commonwealth or possession, or any of their political subdivisions or instrumentalities

D—A corporation the stock of which is regularly traded on one or more established securities markets, as described in Regulations section 1.1472-1(c)(1)(i)

E—A corporation that is a member of the same expanded affiliated group as a corporation described in Regulations section 1.1472-1(c)(1)(i)

F—A dealer in securities, commodities, or derivative financial instruments (including notional principal contracts, futures, forwards, and options) that is registered as such under the laws of the United States or any state

G—A real estate investment trust

H—A regulated investment company as defined in section 851 or an entity registered at all times during the tax year under the Investment Company Act of 1940

I—A common trust fund as defined in section 584(a)

J—A bank as defined in section 581

K—A broker

L—A trust exempt from tax under section 664 or described in section 4947(a)(1)
M—A tax exempt trust under a section 403(b) plan or section 457(g) plan

**Note:** You may wish to consult with the financial institution requesting this form to determine whether the FATCA code and/or exempt payee code should be completed.

**Line 5**
Enter your address (number, street, and apartment or suite number). This is where the requester of this Form W-9 will mail your information returns. If this address differs from the one the requester already has on file, write NEW at the top. If a new address is provided, there is still a chance the old address will be used until the payor changes your address in their records.

**Line 6**
Enter your city, state, and ZIP code.

**Part I. Taxpayer Identification Number (TIN)**

**Enter your TIN in the appropriate box.** If you are a resident alien and you do not have and are not eligible to get an SSN, your TIN is your IRS individual taxpayer identification number (ITIN). Enter it in the social security number box. If you do not have an ITIN, see How to get a TIN below.

If you are a sole proprietor and you have an EIN, you may enter either your SSN or EIN.

If you are a single-member LLC that is disregarded as an entity separate from its owner, enter the owner’s SSN (or EIN, if the owner has one). Do not enter the disregarded entity’s EIN. If the LLC is classified as a corporation or partnership, enter the entity’s EIN.

**Note:** See What Name and Number To Give the Requester, later, for further clarification of name and TIN combinations.

**How to get a TIN.** If you do not have a TIN, apply for one immediately. To apply for an SSN, get Form SS-5, Application for a Social Security Card, from your local SSA office or get this form online at www.SSA.gov. You may also get this form by calling 1-800-772-1213. Use Form W-7, Application for IRS Individual Taxpayer Identification Number, to apply for an ITIN, or Form SS-4, Application for Employer Identification Number, to apply for an EIN. You can apply for an EIN online by accessing the IRS website at www.irs.gov/Businesses and clicking on Employer Identification Number (EIN) under Starting a Business. Go to www.irs.gov/Forms to view, download, or print Form W-7 and/or Form SS-4. Or, you can go to www.irs.gov/OrderForms to place an order and have Form W-7 and/or SS-4 mailed to you within 10 business days.

If you are asked to complete Form W-9 but do not have a TIN, apply for a TIN and write “Applied For” in the space for the TIN, sign and date the form, and give it to the requester. For interest and dividend payments, and certain payments made with respect to readily tradable instruments, generally you will have 60 days to get a TIN and give it to the requester before you are subject to backup withholding on payments. The 60-day rule does not apply to other types of payments. You will be subject to backup withholding on all such payments until you provide your TIN to the requester.

**Note:** Entering “Applied For” means that you have already applied for a TIN or that you intend to apply for one soon.

**Caution:** A disregarded U.S. entity that has a foreign owner must use the appropriate Form W-8.

**Part II. Certification**

To establish to the withholding agent that you are a U.S. person, or resident alien, sign Form W-9. You may be requested to sign by the withholding agent even if item 1, 4, or 5 below indicates otherwise.

For a joint account, only the person whose TIN is shown in Part I should sign (when required). In the case of a disregarded entity, the person identified on line 1 must sign. Exempt payees, see Exempt payee code, earlier.

**Signature requirements.** Complete the certification as indicated in items 1 through 5 below.
1. Interest, dividend, and barter exchange accounts opened before 1984 and broker accounts considered active during 1983. You must give your correct TIN, but you do not have to sign the certification.

2. Interest, dividend, broker, and barter exchange accounts opened after 1983 and broker accounts considered inactive during 1983. You must sign the certification or backup withholding will apply. If you are subject to backup withholding and you are merely providing your correct TIN to the requester, you must cross out item 2 in the certification before signing the form.

3. Real estate transactions. You must sign the certification. You may cross out item 2 of the certification.

4. Other payments. You must give your correct TIN, but you do not have to sign the certification unless you have been notified that you have previously given an incorrect TIN. “Other payments” include payments made in the course of the requester’s trade or business for rents, royalties, goods (other than bills for merchandise), medical and health care services (including payments to corporations), payments made in settlement of payment card and third party network transactions, payments to certain fishing boat crew members and fishermen, and gross proceeds paid to attorneys (including payments to corporations).

5. Mortgage interest paid by you, acquisition or abandonment of secured property, cancellation of debt, qualified tuition program payments (under section 529), ABLE accounts (under section 529A), IRA, Coverdell ESA, Archer MSA or HSA contributions or distributions, and pension distributions. You must give your correct TIN, but you do not have to sign the certification.

What Name and Number To Give the Requester

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For this type of account:</th>
<th>Give name and SSN of:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Individual</td>
<td>The individual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Two or more individuals (joint account) other than an account maintained by an FFI</td>
<td>The actual owner of the account or, if combined funds, the first individual on the account1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Two or more U.S. persons (joint account maintained by an FFI)</td>
<td>Each holder of the account</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Custodial account of a minor (Uniform Gift to Minors Act)</td>
<td>The minor2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. a. The usual revocable savings trust (grantor is also trustee)</td>
<td>The grantor-trustee1 The grantor2 actual owner1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. So-called trust account that is not a legal or valid trust under state law</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Sole proprietorship or disregarded entity owned by an individual</td>
<td>The owner3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Grantor trust filing under Optional Form 1099 Filing Method 1 (see Regulations section 1.671-4(b)(2)(i)(B))</td>
<td>The grantor*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>For this type of account:</th>
<th>Give name and EIN of:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8. Disregarded entity not owned by an individual</td>
<td>The owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. A valid trust, estate, or pension trust</td>
<td>Legal entity4 The corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Corporation or LLC electing corporate status on Form 8832 or Form 2553</td>
<td>The organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Association, club, religious, charitable, educational, or other tax-exempt organization</td>
<td>The organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Partnership or multi-member LLC</td>
<td>The partnership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. A broker or registered nominee</td>
<td>The broker or nominee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 List first and circle the name of the person whose number you furnish. If only one person on a joint account has an SSN, that person’s number must be furnished.

2 Circle the minor’s name and furnish the minor’s SSN.

3 You must show your individual name and you may also enter your business or DBA name on the “Business name/disregarded entity” name line. You may use either your SSN or EIN (if you have one), but the IRS encourages you to use your SSN.

4 List first and circle the name of the trust, estate, or pension trust. (Do not furnish the TIN of the personal representative or trustee unless the legal entity itself is not designated in the account title.) Also see Special rules for partnerships, earlier.

*Note: The grantor also must provide a Form W-9 to trustee of trust.

Note: If no name is circled when more than one name is listed, the number will be considered to be that of the first name listed.

Secure Your Tax Records From Identity Theft

Identity theft occurs when someone uses your personal information such as your name, SSN, or other identifying information, without your permission, to commit fraud or other crimes. An identity thief may use your SSN to get a job or may file a tax return using your SSN to receive a refund.

To reduce your risk:

• Protect your SSN,
• Ensure your employer is protecting your SSN, and
• Be careful when choosing a tax preparer.

If your tax records are affected by identity theft and you receive a notice from the IRS, respond right away to the name and phone number printed on the IRS notice or letter.

If your tax records are not currently affected by identity theft but you think you are at risk due to a lost or stolen
purse or wallet, questionable credit card activity or credit report, contact the IRS Identity Theft Hotline at 1-800-908-4490 or submit Form 14039.

For more information, see Pub. 5027, Identity Theft Information for Taxpayers.

Victims of identity theft who are experiencing economic harm or a systemic problem, or are seeking help in resolving tax problems that have not been resolved through normal channels, may be eligible for Taxpayer Advocate Service (TAS) assistance. You can reach TAS by calling the TAS toll-free case intake line at 1-877-777-4778 or TTY/TDD 1-800-829-4059.

**Protect yourself from suspicious emails or phishing schemes.** Phishing is the creation and use of email and websites designed to mimic legitimate business emails and websites. The most common act is sending an email to a user falsely claiming to be an established legitimate enterprise in an attempt to scam the user into surrendering private information that will be used for identity theft.

The IRS does not initiate contacts with taxpayers via emails. Also, the IRS does not request personal detailed information through email or ask taxpayers for the PIN numbers, passwords, or similar secret access information for their credit card, bank, or other financial accounts.

If you receive an unsolicited email claiming to be from the IRS, forward this message to phishing@irs.gov. You may also report misuse of the IRS name, logo, or other IRS property to the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration (TIGTA) at 1-800-366-4484. You can forward suspicious emails to the Federal Trade Commission at spam@uce.gov or report them at www.ftc.gov/complaint. You can contact the FTC at www.ftc.gov/idtheft or 877-IDTHEFT (877-438-4338). If you have been the victim of identity theft, see www.IdentityTheft.gov and Pub. 5027.

Visit www.irs.gov/IdentityTheft to learn more about identity theft and how to reduce your risk.
Privacy Act Notice

Section 6109 of the Internal Revenue Code requires you to provide your correct TIN to persons (including federal agencies) who are required to file information returns with the IRS to report interest, dividends, or certain other income paid to you; mortgage interest you paid; the acquisition or abandonment of secured property; the cancellation of debt; or contributions you made to an IRA, Archer MSA, or HSA. The person collecting this form uses the information on the form to file information returns with the IRS, reporting the above information.

Routine uses of this information include giving it to the Department of Justice for civil and criminal litigation and to cities, states, the District of Columbia, and U.S. commonwealths and possessions for use in administering their laws. The information also may be disclosed to other countries under a treaty, to federal and state agencies to enforce civil and criminal laws, or to federal law enforcement and intelligence agencies to combat terrorism. You must provide your TIN whether or not you are required to file a tax return. Under section 3406, payers must generally withhold a percentage of taxable interest, dividend, and certain other payments to a payee who does not give a TIN to the payer. Certain penalties may also apply for providing false or fraudulent information.
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Settlement in lawsuit of Tox Testing, et al (Kimberly Baker) v City of Detroit

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 20-014587-NF, File No. L20-00923 (YRB) A20000, in the amount of $18,450.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Tox Testing, Pro Toxicology Testing and Detroit Metro RX may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about October 8, 2019

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw warrants upon the proper account in favor of TOX TESTING, PRO TOXICOLOGY TESTING and DETROIT METRO RX and THEIR attorneys, PUZIO LAW, P.C., in the amount of EIGHTEEN THOUSAND FOUR HUNDRED AND FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($18,450.00), in full payment for any and all claims which TOX TESTING, PRO TOXICOLOGY TESTING and DETROIT METRO RX may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about October 8, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 20-014587-NF, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. L20-00923 and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Andrea D'Agostino Keenan
Position: Paralegal

*=REQUIRED
HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Tox Testing, et al (Kimberly Baker) v City of Detroit
   Case No: 20-014587-NF
   File No: L20-00923 (YRB)

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of EIGHTEEN THOUSAND FOUR HUNDRED AND FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($18,450.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of EIGHTEEN THOUSAND FOUR HUNDRED AND FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($18,450.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft to TOX TESTING, PRO TOXICOLOGY TESTING and DETROIT METRO RX and THEIR attorneys, PUZIO LAW, P.C., in the amount of EIGHTEEN THOUSAND FOUR HUNDRED AND FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($18,450.00), to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-014587-NF, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,
/s/ Yuvonne R. Bradley
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Jerry L. Ashford
   Jerry L. Ashford
   Chief of Litigation

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER __________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of EIGHTEEN THOUSAND FOUR HUNDRED AND FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($18,450.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw warrants upon the proper account in favor of TOX TESTING, PRO TOXICOLOGY TESTING and DETROIT METRO RX and THEIR attorneys, PUZIO LAW, P.C., in the amount of EIGHTEEN THOUSAND FOUR HUNDRED AND FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($18,450.00), in full payment for any and all claims which TOX TESTING, PRO TOXICOLOGY TESTING and DETROIT METRO RX may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about October 8, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 20-014587-NF, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. L20-00923 and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Jerry L. Ashford
Jerry L. Ashford
Chief of Litigation

Approved by City Council: __________________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: __________________________________________
* RE: Submitting reso. autho. Settlement lawsuit of Primal Comfort, LLC and Renew Physical Therapy (M. Bell) v City of Detroit

* SUMMARY: Case No. 20-005842-NF, File No. L20-00185 (CBO), A20000, in the amount of $11,500.00 second draft in favor of Renew Physical Therapy and its attorney, **Issa Fawaz Law, PLC** that amount of $1,250.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Primal Comfort Care, LLC and Renew Physical Therapy may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained By Merlene Bell.

* RECOMMENDATION: RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw two warrants upon the proper account in favor of Primal Comfort Care, LLC and its attorney, **WHITING LAW** in the amount of **Eleven Thousand Five Hundred Dollars and 00/100 Cents ($11,500.00)** second draft in favor of Renew Physical Therapy and its attorney, **Issa Fawaz Law, PLC** that amount of **One Thousand Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars and 00/100 Cents ($1,250.00)** in full payment for any and all claims which Primal Comfort Care, LLC and Renew Physical Therapy may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained By Merlene Bell on or about May 24, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No.20-005842-NF, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-005842-NF and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT: Name: Lisa Szwast
  Position: Legal Assistant
  *=REQUIRED
January 5, 2022

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Merlene Bell, Primal Comfort, LLC and Renew Physical Therapy v. City of Detroit

Case No: 20-005842-NF
File No: L20-00185 CBO

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of Twelve Thousand Seven Hundred and Fifty Dollars and NO/Cents ($12,750.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of Twelve Thousand Seven Hundred and Fifty Dollars and NO/Cents ($12,750.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue two drafts: one in that amount of Eleven Thousand Five Hundred Dollars and NO/Cents ($11,500.00) payable to Primal Comfort Care, LLC and its attorney, WHITING LAW and the second draft in that amount of One Thousand Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars and NO/Cents payable to Renew Physical Therapy and its attorney, Issa Fawaz Law, PLC, to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No.20-005842-NF, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,
Crystal Olmstead
Senior Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/James D. Noseda
James D. Noseda
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER ______________________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of Twelve Thousand Seven Hundred and Fifty Dollars and NO/Cents ($12,750.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw two warrants upon the proper account in favor of Primal Comfort Care, LLC and its attorney, Whiting Law in the amount of Eleven Thousand Five Hundred Dollars and NO/Cents ($11,500.00) second draft in favor of Renew Physical Therapy and its attorney, Issa Fawaz Law, PLC that amount of One Thousand Two Hundred and Fifty Dollars and NO/Cents ($1,250.00) in full payment for any and all claims which Primal Comfort Care, LLC and Renew Physical Therapy may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained By Merlene Bell on or about May 24, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No.20-005842-NF, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-005842-NF and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/James D. Noseda

James D. Noseda
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Approved by City Council: ____________________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: ____________________________________________
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Settlement of Lawsuit of Groesbeck Rx LLC (Marcus
Witherspoon) v. City of Detroit

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 21-160323-GC, File No. L21-00547 (MBC), A20000, in the amount of
$4,900.00 in full payment for any and all claims which may have against the City of Detroit and
any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant
upon the proper account in favor of Groesbeck Rx, LLC and their attorney, Wigod & Falzon, P.C.
in the amount of Four Thousand Nine Hundred Dollars and NO/Cents ($4,900.00) in full
payment for any and all claims which may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of
Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about October 31, 2019, and
otherwise set forth in Case No.21-160323- GC that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly
executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit
No.21-160323- GC.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Lisa Szwast
Position: Legal Assistant

*REQUIRED
December 20, 2021

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Groesbeck Rx, LLC (Marcus Witherspoon) v City of Detroit
   Case No: 21-160323-GC
   File No: L21-00547 (MBC)

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of **Four Thousand Nine Hundred and 00/100 ($4,900.00)** is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of **Four Thousand Nine Hundred Dollars and 00/100 ($4,900.00)** and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft in that amount payable to Groesbeck Rx, LLC and their attorney, Wigod & Falzon, P.C. to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 21-160323-GC, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Mary Beth Cobbs

Mary Beth Cobbs
Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/James D. Noseda

James D. Noseda
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER ________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of Four Thousand Nine Hundred Dollars and 00/00 Cents ($4,900.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of Groesbeck Rx, LLC and their attorney, Wigod & Falzon, P.C. in the amount of Four Thousand Nine Hundred Dollars and 00/00 Cents ($4,900.00) in full payment for any and all claims which may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about October 31, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No.21-160323-GC that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No.21-160323-GC.

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/James D. Noseda
James D. Noseda
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Approved by City Council: ________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: ________________________________
* RE: Submitting reso. autho. Settlement lawsuit of Vital Community Care (Tyrone Williams) V City of Detroit

* SUMMARY: Case No. 20-165613-GC, File No. L20-00910 (YRB) A20000, in the amount of $3,250.00, in full payment for any and all claims which Vital Community Care may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about September 1, 2019

* RECOMMENDATION: RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw warrants upon the proper account in favor of VITAL COMMUNITY CARE and ITS attorneys, THE DOLLAR LAW FIRM, PLLC, in the amount of THREE THOUSAND TWO HUNDRED AND FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($3,250.00), in full payment for any and all claims which VITAL COMMUNITY CARE may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about September 1, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 20-165613-GC, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. L20-00910 and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:  
  Name: Andrea D’Agostino Keenan  
  Position: Paralegal

*=REQUIRED
HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Vital Community Care (Tyrone Williams) v City of Detroit
   Case No: 20-165613-GC
   File No: L20-00910 (YRB)

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of THREE THOUSAND TWO HUNDRED AND FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($3,250.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of THREE THOUSAND TWO HUNDRED AND FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($3,250.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft to VITAL COMMUNITY CARE and ITS attorneys, THE DOLLAR LAW FIRM, PLLC, in the amount of THREE THOUSAND TWO HUNDRED AND FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($3,250.00), to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-165613-GC, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,
/s/ Yuvonne R. Bradley
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Jerry L. Ashford
Jerry L. Ashford
Chief of Litigation

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER _________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of THREE THOUSAND TWO HUNDRED AND FIFTY DOLLARS AND 0/CENTS ($3,250.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw warrants upon the proper account in favor of VITAL COMMUNITY CARE and ITS attorneys, THE DOLLAR LAW FIRM, PLLC, in the amount of THREE THOUSAND TWO HUNDRED AND FIFTY DOLLARS AND NO CENTS ($3,250.00), in full payment for any and all claims which VITAL COMMUNITY CARE may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about September 1, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 20-165613-GC, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. L20-00910 and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY:    /s/Jerry L. Ashford
Jerry L. Ashford
Chief of Litigation

Approved by City Council: ________________________________
Approved by the Mayor: ________________________________
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho.Settlement in lawsuit of Wright, Delores v David Chapman and COD

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 18-014524-NI, File No. L19-00034 (PP) A37000, in the amount of $37,500.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Delores Wright may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about April 15, 2018.

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of DELORES WRIGHT and HER attorney, ROMANO LAW, PLLC, in the amount of THIRTY-SEVEN THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($37,500.00) in full payment for any and all claims which DELORES WRIGHT may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about April 15, 2018, and otherwise set forth in Case No.18-014524-NI, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 18-014524-NI and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name:      Andrea D'Agostino Keenan
Position:  Paralegal

*REQUIRED
December 14, 2021

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Wright, Delores v David Chapman and COD
Case No: 18-014524-NI
File No:L19-00034 PP

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of THIRTY-SEVEN THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($37,500.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of THIRTY-SEVEN THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($37,500.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft in that amount payable to DELORES WRIGHT and HER attorney, ROMANO LAW, PLLC, to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No.18-014524-NI, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,
Patricia Porter
Attorney

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Yuvonne R. Bradley
Yuvonne R. Bradley
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER ____________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of THIRTY-SEVEN THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND 00/ CENTS ($37,500.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of DELORES WRIGHT and HER attorney, ROMANO LAW, PLLC, in the amount of THIRTY-SEVEN THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND 00/ CENTS ($37,500.00) in full payment for any and all claims which DELORES WRIGHT may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about April 15, 2018, and otherwise set forth in Case No.18-014524-NI, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 18-014524-NI and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Yuvonne R. Bradley

Yuvonne R. Bradley
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Approved by City Council: ________________________________
Approved by the Mayor: ________________________________
* RE: Submitting reso. autho. Settlement lawsuit of Coolidge Imaging, LLC dba Parkwood Open Imaging (Randy Richardson) v City of Detroit

* SUMMARY: Case No. 21-156069-GC, File No. L21-00555 (MA), A20000, in the amount of $5,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which Coolidge Imaging, LLC D/B/A Parkwood Open Imaging may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained

* RECOMMENDATION: RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of Coolidge Imaging, LLC D/B/A Parkwood Open Imaging and its attorney, Whiting Law, in the amount of **Five Thousand Dollars and No Cents ($5,000.00)** in full payment for any and all claims which Coolidge Imaging, LLC D/B/A Parkwood Open Imaging may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about April 1, 2020, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 21-156069-GC, that said amount be paid upon receipt of a properly executed Release and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 21-156069-GC and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT: Name: Lisa Szwast Position: Legal Assistant

*=REQUIRED
December 22, 2021

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Coolidge Imaging, LLC d/b/a Parkwood Open Imaging (Randy Richardson) v City of Detroit
Case No: 21-156069-GC
File No: L21-00555 (MA)

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of Five Thousand Dollars and No Cents ($5,000.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of Five Thousand Dollars and No Cents ($5,000.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft in that amount payable to Coolidge Imaging, LLC D/B/A Parkwood Open Imaging and its attorney, Whiting Law, to be delivered upon receipt of a properly executed Release and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 21-156069-GC, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Michael L. Auten
Michael Auten (P81884)
Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED:
CONRAD MALLET
Corporation Counsel

BY:  /s/ James D. Noseda
James D. Noseda
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER ______________________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of Five Thousand Dollars and No Cents ($5,000.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of Coolidge Imaging, LLC D/B/A Parkwood Open Imaging and its attorney, Whiting Law, in the amount of Five Thousand Dollars and No Cents ($5,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims which Coolidge Imaging, LLC D/B/A Parkwood Open Imaging may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about April 1, 2020, and otherwise set forth in Case No. 21-156069-GC, that said amount be paid upon receipt of a properly executed Release and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 21-156069-GC and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED:
CONRAD MALLETT
Corporation Counsel

BY:  /s/ James D. Noseda
James D. Noseda
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Approved by City Council: ____________________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: ____________________________________________
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Settlement lawsuit of United Lab (Larena Ware) V City of Detroit

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 20-159824-GC, L20-00609 (CBO), A20000, in the amount of $4,000.00 in full payment for any and all claims which United Lab may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of United Lab and its attorney, THE DOLLAR LAW FIRM PLLC, in the amount of Four Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($4,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims which United Lab may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about September 30, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No.20-159824-GC, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No.20-159824-GC and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Lisa Szwast
Position: Legal Assistant

*=REQUIRED
January 4, 2022

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: United Lab (Larena Ware) v City of Detroit
   Case No: 20-159824-GC
   File No: L20-00609(CBO)

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of Four Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($4,000.00) is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of Four Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($4,000.00) and that Your Honorable Body direct the Finance Director to issue a draft in that amount payable to United Lab and its attorney, THE DOLLAR LAW FIRM PLLC, to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases and Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 20-159824-GC, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,

Crystal Olmstead
Senior Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED: January 13, 2022
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/James D. Noseda
   James D. Noseda
   Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER ________________________________________________:  

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of Four Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($4,000.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of United Lab and its attorney, THE DOLLAR LAW FIRM PLLC, in the amount of Four Thousand Dollars and NO/Cents ($4,000.00) in full payment for any and all claims which United Lab may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about September 30, 2019, and otherwise set forth in Case No.20-159824-GC, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No.20-159824-GC and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED: January 13, 2022  
CHARLES RAIMI  
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/James D. Noseda  
James D. Noseda  
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Approved by City Council: ________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: ________________________________
November 5, 2021

HONORABLE CITY

COUNCIL

RE:    DUANE HUDNALL vs CITY OF DETROIT
       DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
       FILE #: 11777    (CM)

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential attorney-client privileged memorandum that is being separately hand delivered to each member of your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of TWENTY-FOUR THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED DOLLARS ($24,900.00) is in the best interests of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of TWENTY-FOUR THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED DOLLARS ($24,900.00) and that your Honorable Body authorize and direct the Finance Director to issue a draft in that amount payable to Duane Hudnall and the law firm of Weiner & Randall, to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed releases and order of dismissal in Workers Compensation Claim #11777, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Charles Manion
Charles Manion, Supervising
Assistant Corporation Counsel

CM/gs
Attachment(s)
cc:  Budget Department
APPROVED: November 10, 2021

By: /s/ Charles N. Raimi

CHARLES N. RAIMI
Deputy Corporation Counsel
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCILMEMBER:_______________________________________________

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and hereby is authorized in the amount of TWENTY-FOUR THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED DOLLARS ($24,900.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper fund in favor Duane Hudnall and the law firm of Weiner & Randall, in the sum of TWENTY-FOUR THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED DOLLARS ($24,900.00) in full payment of any and all claims which they may have against the City of Detroit by reason of any injuries or occupational diseases and their resultant disabilities incurred or sustained as the result of his past employment with the City of Detroit and that said amount be paid upon presentation by the Law Department of a redemption order approved by the Workers Compensation Department of the State of Michigan.

APPROVED: November 10, 2021

By: /s/ Charles N. Raimi

CHARLES N. RAIMI
Deputy Corporation Counsel
HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: CAMILLE SCOTT vs CITY OF DETROIT
MUNICIPAL PARKING DEPARTMENT
FILE #: 15054 (CM)

We have reviewed the above-captioned lawsuit, the facts and particulars of which are set forth in a confidential attorney-client privileged memorandum that is being separately hand delivered to each member of your Honorable Body. From this review, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of SIXTY THOUSAND DOLLARS ($60,000.00) is in the best interests of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request authorization to settle this matter in the amount of SIXTY THOUSAND DOLLARS ($60,000.00) and that your Honorable Body authorize and direct the Finance Director to issue a draft in that amount payable to Camille Scott and the law firm of Dennis G. Vatsis, P.C., to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed releases and order of dismissal in Workers Compensation Claim #15054, approved by the Law Department.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Charles Manion
Charles Manion, Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

CM/gs
Attachment(s)
cc: Budget Department
APPROVED: November 10, 2021

By: /s/ Charles N. Raimi

CHARLES N. RAIMI
Deputy Corporation Counsel
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCILMEMBER: ______________________________________________

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and hereby is authorized in the amount of SIXTY THOUSAND DOLLARS ($60,000.00); and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper fund in favor Camille Scott and the law firm of Dennis G. Vatsis, P.C., in the sum of SIXTY THOUSAND DOLLARS ($60,000.00) in full payment of any and all claims which they may have against the City of Detroit by reason of any injuries or occupational diseases and their resultant disabilities incurred or sustained as the result of her past employment with the City of Detroit and that said amount be paid upon presentation by the Law Department of a redemption order approved by the Workers Compensation Department of the State of Michigan.

APPROVED: November 10, 2021

By: /s/ Charles N. Raimi

CHARLES N. RAIMI
Deputy Corporation Counsel
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. Case Evaluation Acceptance Award of Rowland, Kiersten, next friend Kieran Hayes, minor v City of Detroit

* SUMMARY:
Case No. 21-002731-NF, File No. L21-00263 (PH) A20000, in the amount of **$9,500.00** in full payment for any and all claims which Eastside Chiropractic, PLLC, may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about 08/12/2020

* RECOMMENDATION:
RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of EASTSIDE CHIROPRACTIC, PLLC, and its attorney, HAAS & GOLDSTEIN, PC, in the amount of **NINE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($9,500.00)** in full payment for any and all claims which EASTSIDE CHIROPRACTIC, PLLC, may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about 08/12/2020, and otherwise set forth in Case No.21-002731-NF, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No.21-002731-NF and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Andrea D'Agostino Keenan
Position: Paralegal

*=REQUIRED
HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE:   Rowland, Kiersten, next friend Kieran Hayes, minor v City of Detroit
       Case No: 21-002731-NF
       File No:  L21-00263PH

On December 6, 2021, a case evaluation panel evaluated the above-captioned lawsuit and awarded NINE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($9,500.00) in the favor of Intervening Plaintiff EASTSIDE CHIROPRACTIC. The parties have until January 3, to either accept or reject the case evaluation; however, the Law Department is seeking an extension of time so that this Honorable Body has ample opportunity to consider these awards.

Based upon our review of the facts and particulars of this lawsuit, which are set forth in a confidential memorandum that is being separately hand-delivered to each member of Your Honorable Body, it is our considered opinion that a settlement in the amount of NINE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($9,500.00) in the favor of Intervening Plaintiff EASTSIDE CHIROPRACTIC is in the best interest of the City of Detroit.

We, therefore, request Your Honorable Body to authorize settlement of the above referenced lawsuit and to direct the Finance Director to issue a draft in that amount of NINE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($9,500.00) payable to EASTSIDE CHIROPRACTIC and its attorney, HAAS & GOLDSTEIN PC, to be delivered upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No. 21-002731-NF and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

Respectfully submitted,

Philip Hiltner
Assistant Corporation Counsel

APPROVED:
CHARLES RAIMI
Corporation Counsel

BY:   /s/Krystal A. Crittendon
      Krystal A. Crittendon
      Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Attachments
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER ______________________________________________________:

RESOLVED, that settlement of the above matter be and is hereby authorized in the amount of NINE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($9,500.00) in favor of the Intervening Plaintiff EASTSIDE CHIROPRACTIC, PLLC; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the Finance Director be and is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the proper account in favor of EASTSIDE CHIROPRACTIC, PLLC, and ITS attorney, HAAS & GOLDSTEIN, PC, in the amount of NINE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS AND NO/CENTS ($9,500.00) in full payment for any and all claims which EASTSIDE CHIROPRACTIC, PLLC, may have against the City of Detroit and any other City of Detroit employees by reason of alleged injuries sustained on or about 08/12/2020, and otherwise set forth in Case No.21-002731-NF, that said amount be paid upon receipt of properly executed Releases, Stipulation and Order of Dismissal entered in Lawsuit No.21-002731-NF and, where deemed necessary by the Law Department a properly executed Medicare/CMS Final Demand Letter.

APPROVED:
Charles Raimi
Corporation Counsel

BY: /s/Krystal A. Crittendon
Krystal A. Crittendon
Supervising Assistant Corporation Counsel

Approved by City Council: _____________________________________________

Approved by the Mayor: _____________________________________________
November 18, 2021

The Honorable Detroit City Council  
ATTN: City Clerk Office  
200 Coleman A. Young Municipal Center  
Detroit MI 48226

RE: Authorization to submit a grant application to the JPMorgan Chase Foundation for the Racial and Social Justice Grant

The Law Department is hereby requesting authorization from Detroit City Council to submit a grant application to the JPMorgan Chase Foundation for the Racial and Social Justice Grant. The amount being sought is $300,000.00. There is no City match requirement. The total project cost is $300,000.00.

The Racial and Social Justice Grant will enable the department to:

- Support Project Clean Slate
- Provide criminal expungement services for 2,500 returning citizens to give them the opportunity to secure employment, housing and other needs

We respectfully request your approval to submit the grant application by adopting the attached resolution.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Terri Daniels  
Director of Grants, Office of Development and Grants

CC:  
Sajjiah Parker, Assistant Director, Grants
RESOLUTION

Council Member

WHEREAS, the Law Department has requested authorization from City Council to submit a grant application to the JPMorgan Chase Foundation, for the Racial and Social Justice Grant, in the amount of $300,000.00, to support Project Clean Slate; now

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Law Department is hereby authorized to submit a grant application to the JPMorgan Chase Foundation for the Racial and Social Justice Grant.
November 24, 2021

The Honorable Detroit City Council  
**ATTN: City Clerk Office**  
200 Coleman A. Young Municipal Center  
Detroit MI 48226

**RE: Request to Accept and Appropriate a Sub-award of the Improving Physical and Psychosocial Functioning in Underserved Older Adults During the COVID-19 Pandemic Grant**

The Regents of the University of Michigan has awarded the City of Detroit Health Department with the Improving Physical and Psychosocial Functioning in Underserved Older Adults During the COVID-19 Pandemic Grant for a total of $55,652.00. The reimbursement grant is a sub-award from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. There is no match requirement. The total project cost is $55,652.00. The grant period is September 24, 2021 through June 30, 2022.

The objective of the grant is to support a community health worker-led remote intervention among older adults (50+) in Detroit during the COVID-19 Pandemic. The funding allotted to the department will be utilized to pay for salaries/fringe, consultant wages, travel, supplies, materials, equipment, and other related cost. This is a reimbursement grant.

If approval is granted to accept and appropriate this funding, the appropriation number is 21092.

I respectfully ask your approval to accept and appropriate funding in accordance with the attached resolution.

Sincerely,

Terri Daniels  
Director of Grants, Office of Development and Grants

CC:  
Sajjiah Parker, Assistant Director, Grants

---

Agreement Approved as to Form  
By the Law Department
**FDP Cost Reimbursement Subaward**

**Federal Awarding Agency:** National Institutes of Health (NIH)

**Pass-Through Entity (PTE):** Regents of the University of Michigan

**Subrecipient:** City of Detroit

**PTE PI:** Mary Janovic

**Sub PI:** Yolanda Hill-Ashford

**PTE Federal Award No.:** 1R01NR020442-01

**Subaward No.:** SUBK00014608

**Project Title:** Improving Physical and Psychosocial Functioning in Underserved Older Adults During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Community Health Worker-Led Intervention

**Subaward Budget Period:**
- Start: 09/24/2021
- End: 06/30/2022
- Amount Funded This Action (USD): $55,652.00

**Estimated Period of Performance:**
- Start: 09/24/2021
- End: 06/30/2026
- Incrementally Estimated Total (USD): $583,156.00

**Terms and Conditions**

1. PTE hereby awards a cost reimbursable subaward, (as determined by 2 CFR 200.331), to Subrecipient. The Statement of Work and budget for this Subaward are as shown in Attachment 5. In its performance of Subaward work, Subrecipient shall be an independent entity and not an employee or agent of PTE.

2. Subrecipient shall submit invoices not more often than monthly and not less frequently than quarterly for allowable costs incurred. Upon the receipt of proper invoices, the PTE agrees to process payments in accordance with this Subaward and 2 CFR 200.305. All invoices shall be submitted using Subrecipient's standard invoice, but at a minimum shall include current and cumulative costs (including cost sharing), breakdown by major cost category, Subaward number, and certification, as required in 2 CFR 200.415(a). Invoices that do not reference PTE Subaward number shall be returned to Subrecipient. Invoices and questions concerning invoice receipt or payments shall be directed to the party's Financial Contact, shown in Attachment 3A.

3. A final statement of cumulative costs incurred, including cost sharing, marked "FINAL" must be submitted to PTE's Financial Contact, as shown in Attachment 3A, not later than 60 days after the final Budget Period end date. The final statement of costs shall constitute Subrecipient's final financial report.

4. All payments shall be considered provisional and are subject to adjustment within the total estimated cost in the event such adjustment is necessary as a result of an adverse audit finding against the Subrecipient.

5. Matters concerning the technical performance of this Subaward shall be directed to the appropriate party's Principal Investigator as shown in Attachments 3A and 3B. Technical reports are required as shown in Attachment 4.

6. Matters concerning the request or negotiation of any changes in the terms, conditions, or amounts cited in this Subaward, and any changes requiring prior approval, shall be directed to the PTE's Authorized Official Contact and the Subrecipient's Authorized Official Contact shown in Attachments 3A and 3B. Any such change made to this Subaward requires the written approval of each party's Authorized Official as shown in Attachments 3A and 3B.

7. The PTE may issue non-substantive changes to the Budget Period(s) and Budget Bilaterally. Unilateral modification shall be considered valid 14 days after receipt unless otherwise indicated by Subrecipient when sent to Subrecipient's Authorized Official Contact, as shown in Attachment 3B.

8. Each party shall be responsible for its negligent acts or omissions and the negligent acts or omissions of its employees, officers, or directors, to the extent allowed by law.

9. Either party may terminate this Subaward with 30 days written notice. Notwithstanding, if the Awarding Agency terminates the Federal Award, PTE will terminate in accordance with Awarding Agency requirements. PTE notice shall be directed to the Authorized Official Contact, and Subrecipient notice shall be directed to the Principal Investigator Contact as shown in Attachments 3A and 3B. PTE shall pay Subrecipient for termination costs as allowable under Uniform Guidance, 2 CFR 200, or 45 CFR Part 75 Appendix IX, as applicable.

10. By signing this Subaward, including the attachments hereto which are hereby incorporated by reference, Subrecipient certifies that it will perform the Statement of Work in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Subaward and the applicable terms of the Federal Award, including the appropriate Research Terms and Conditions ("RTC") of the Federal Awarding Agency, as referenced in Attachment 2. The parties further agree that they intend this subaward to comply with all applicable laws, regulations, and requirements.

By an Authorized Official of the PTE:

Name: Michael Kohn, J.D.
Title: Contract Administrator Intermediate

By an Authorized Official of the Subrecipient:

Name: 
Title: 
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Attachment 2
Federal Award Terms and Conditions

Required Data Elements
The data elements required by Uniform Guidance are incorporated as entered.

This Subaward Is:
☐ Research & Development  ☐ Subject to FFATA

General Terms and Conditions
By signing this Subaward, Subrecipient agrees to the following:

1. To abide by the conditions on activities and restrictions on expenditure of federal funds in appropriations acts that are applicable to this Subaward to the extent those restrictions are pertinent. This includes any recent legislation noted on the Federal Awarding Agency’s website:

2. 2 CFR 200 and 45 CFR Part 75.

3. The Federal Awarding Agency’s grants policy guidance, including addenda in effect as of the beginning date of the period of performance or as amended found at:

4. Research Terms and Conditions, including any Federal Awarding Agency’s Specific Requirements found at:
   https://www.nsf.gov/awardms/managing/rc.jsp except for the following:
   a. No-cost extensions require the written approval of the PTE. Any requests for a no-cost extension shall be directed to the Administrative Contact shown in Attachment 3A, not less than 30 days prior to the desired effective date of the requested change.
   b. Any payment mechanisms and financial reporting requirements described in the applicable Federal Awarding Agency Terms and Conditions and Agency-Specific Requirements are replaced with Terms and Conditions (1) through (4) of this Subaward; and
   c. Any prior approvals are to be sought from the PTE and not the Federal Awarding Agency.
   d. Title to equipment as defined in 2 CFR 200.1 that is purchased or fabricated with research funds or Subrecipient cost sharing funds, as direct costs of the project or program, shall vest in the Subrecipient subject to the conditions specified in 2 CFR 200.313.
   e. Prior approval must be sought for a change in Subrecipient PI or change in Key Personnel (defined as listed on the NOA).

5. Treatment of program income: Additive

Special Terms and Conditions:
Data Sharing and Access:
Subrecipient agrees to comply with the Federal Awarding Agency’s data sharing and/or access requirements as reflected in the NOA or the Federal Awarding Agency’s standard terms and conditions as referenced in General Terms and Conditions 1-4 above.
No additional requirements

Data Rights:
Subrecipient grants to PTE the right to use data created in the performance of this Subaward solely for the purpose of and only to the extent required to meet PTE’s obligations to the Federal Government under its PTE Federal Award.

Copyrights:
Subrecipient Grants to PTE an irrevocable, royalty-free, non-transferable, non-exclusive right and license to use, reproduce, make derivative works, display, and perform publicly any copyrights or copyrighted material (including any computer software and its documentation and/or databases) first developed and delivered under this Subaward solely for the purpose of and only to the extent required to meet PTE’s obligations to the Federal Government under its PTE Federal Award.
Subrecipient grants to PTE the right to use any written progress reports and deliverables created under this Subaward solely for the purpose of and only to the extent required to meet PTE’s obligations to the Federal Government under its PTE Federal Award.

Promoting Objectivity in Research (COI):
Subrecipient must designate herein which entity’s Financial Conflicts of Interest policy (COI) will apply: Subrecipient
If applying its own COI policy, by execution of this Subaward, Subrecipient certifies that its policy complies with the requirements of the relevant Federal Awarding Agency as identified herein: NIH - 42 CFR Part 50 Subpart F
Subrecipient shall report any financial conflict of interest to PTE’s Administrative Representative or COI contact, as designated on Attachment 3A. Any financial conflicts of interest identified shall, when applicable, subsequently be reported to Federal Awarding Agency. Such report shall be made before expenditure of funds authorized in this Subaward and within 45 days of any subsequently identified COI.
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### Attachment 3A
Pass-Through Entity (PTE) Contacts

#### PTE Information
| Entity Name: | Regents of the University of Michigan |
| Legal Address: | 3003 S. State Street  
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1287 |
| Website: | www.umich.edu |

#### PTE Contacts

| Central Email: | subcontracts@umich.edu |
| Principal Investigator Name: | Mary Janevic |
| Email: | mjanevic@umich.edu  
Telephone Number: 734-647-3194 |
| Administrative Contact Name: | Michael Kohn |
| Email: | kohnmich@umich.edu  
Telephone Number: 734-936-4807 |
| GOI Contact email (if different to above): | |
| Financial Contact Name: | Contract Administration Accounting Team |
| Email: | subcontracts.accounting@umich.edu  
Telephone Number: subcontract.invoices@umich.edu |
| Email invoices? | Yes  
Invoice email (if different): subcontract.invoices@umich.edu |
| Authorized Official Name: | Peter J. Gerard, SP Contract Administration Assistant Director |
| Email: | subcontracts@umich.edu  
Telephone Number: 734-763-3193 |

#### PI Address:

Health Behavior/Health Education  
2815 SPH 1  
Ann Arbor MI 48109-2029

#### Administrative Address:

Sponsored Programs - Office of Contract Administration  
5071 Wolverine Tower, 3003 S. State St.  
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1287

#### Invoice Address:

Email Only: subcontract.invoices@umich.edu
Attachment 3B-2
Highest Compensated Officers

Subrecipient:
Institution Name: City of Detroit
PI Name: Yolanda Hill-Ashford

Highest Compensated Officers
The names and total compensation of the five most highly compensated officers of the entity(ies) must be listed if the entity in the preceding fiscal year received 80 percent or more of its annual gross revenues in Federal awards; and $25,000,000 or more in annual gross revenues from Federal awards; and the public does not have access to this information about the compensation of the senior executives of the entity through periodic reports filed under section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. §§ 78m(a), 78o(d)) or section 6104 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. See FFATA § 2(b)(1) Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

Officer 1 Name: 
Officer 1 Compensation: 
Officer 2 Name: 
Officer 2 Compensation: 
Officer 3 Name: 
Officer 3 Compensation: 
Officer 4 Name: 
Officer 4 Compensation: 
Officer 5 Name: 
Officer 5 Compensation:
Attachment 5
Statement of Work, Cost Sharing, Indirects & Budget

Statement of Work

☐ Below ☐ Attached, 1 pages

If award is FFATA eligible and SOW exceeds 4000 characters, include a Subrecipient Federal Award Project Description

Budget Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indirect Information</th>
<th>Indirect Cost Rate (IDC) Applied</th>
<th>10.0 %</th>
<th>Cost Sharing</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rate Type:</td>
<td>Modified Total Direct Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td>If Yes, include Amount:</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Budget Details

☐ Below ☐ Attached, 6 pages

Subrecipient agrees to using the 10% de minimis rate.

Budget Totals

Direct Costs $50,593.00
Indirect Costs $5,059.00
Total Costs $55,652.00

All amounts are in United States Dollars
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improving Physical and Psychosocial Functioning in Underserved Older Adults D</td>
<td>8/24/2021-6/30/2022</td>
<td>7/1/2022-6/30/2023</td>
<td>7/1/2023-6/30/2024</td>
<td>7/1/2024-6/30/2025</td>
<td>7/1/2025-6/30/2026</td>
<td>8/24/2021-6/30/2026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Agency</td>
<td>Southeastern Michigan Health Association</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. SALARIES &amp; WAGES:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POSITIONS REQUIRED (FTEs)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRA Supervisor</td>
<td>11,250</td>
<td>11,250</td>
<td>11,250</td>
<td>11,250</td>
<td>11,250</td>
<td>50,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Health Worker</td>
<td>11,250</td>
<td>11,250</td>
<td>11,250</td>
<td>11,250</td>
<td>11,250</td>
<td>50,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Health Worker</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>152,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Health Worker</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>152,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL FTEs</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. TOTAL SALARIES</td>
<td>31,250</td>
<td>91,250</td>
<td>91,250</td>
<td>91,250</td>
<td>50,625</td>
<td>355,625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. FRINGE BENEFITS:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite Rate</td>
<td>41.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. TOTAL FRINGE BENEFITS</td>
<td>12,813</td>
<td>37,413</td>
<td>37,413</td>
<td>37,413</td>
<td>20,756</td>
<td>145,806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. TRAVEL:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miles (rendezvous and meetings)</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conferences</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,720</td>
<td>1,720</td>
<td>1,720</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. TOTAL TRAVEL:</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>3,520</td>
<td>3,520</td>
<td>3,520</td>
<td>3,520</td>
<td>15,880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. SUPPLIES &amp; MATERIALS:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Supplies</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing/Copying</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Supplies (Roller Bags)</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. TOTAL SUPPLIES &amp; MATERIALS:</td>
<td>1,630</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4,030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. CONTRACTUAL:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. TOTAL CONTRACTUAL</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. EQUIPMENT:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laptop</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. TOTAL EQUIPMENT</td>
<td>1,400</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. OTHER EXPENSES:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call phones $250.00 start up &amp; (FTE's $50.00)*12</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>6,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Supplies</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. TOTAL OTHER EXPENSES</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td>7,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. TOTAL DIRECT EXPENDITURES:</td>
<td>50,593</td>
<td>154,283</td>
<td>154,283</td>
<td>154,283</td>
<td>76,701</td>
<td>530,142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. INDIRECT COSTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate #1 &amp; A Rate</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td>5,059</td>
<td>13,428</td>
<td>13,428</td>
<td>13,428</td>
<td>7,670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. TOTAL INDIRECT EXPENDITURES</td>
<td>5,059</td>
<td>13,428</td>
<td>13,428</td>
<td>13,428</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>53,014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. TOTAL ALL EXPENDITURES</td>
<td>55,652</td>
<td>147,711</td>
<td>147,711</td>
<td>147,711</td>
<td>84,371</td>
<td>583,156</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Michigan Department of Community Health**

**Program Budget - Cost Detail**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improving Physical and Psychosocial Functioning in Underserved Older Adults Care</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Southeastern Michigan Health Association</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Salaries &amp; Wages:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Position Description - Employee</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHW Supervisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Health Worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Health Worker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total FTEs</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2. Fringe Benefits: (Specify)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Composite Rate</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3. Travel: (Specify if item exceeds 10% of Total Expenditures)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mileage (recruitment and meetings)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conferences (training/continuing education)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Travel</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4. Supplies &amp; Materials: (Specify if item exceeds 10% of Total Expenditures)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office Supplies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing/Copying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Supplies &amp; Materials</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5. Contractual: (Subcontracts)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6. Equipment: (Specify)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7. Other Expenses: (Specify if item exceeds 10% of Total Expenditures)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Others (explain):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell phones (FTE's *$60.00)*12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting Supplies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Other Expenses</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8. Total Direct Expenditures: (Sum of Totals 1-7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>134,283</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9. Indirect Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rate #1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134,283</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10. Total All Expenditures: (Sum of lines 8-9)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>147,711</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Authority:** PA. 368 of 1978

**Completion:** Voluntary, but is required as a condition of funding,

**DCH-038(Rev. 0-04) (EXCEL) Previous Edition Obsolete**

---

*The Department of Community Health is an equal opportunity employer, services and program provider.*

*Use Additional Sheets as Needed*
### MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

#### PROGRAM BUDGET - COST DETAIL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>From: 07/01/24</th>
<th>To: 06/30/25</th>
<th>Date Prepared: 11/13/2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improving Physical and Psychosocial Functioning in Underserved Older Adults Due</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Local Agency
Southeastern Michigan Health Association

### BUDGET PERIOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>POSITIONS REQUIRED (FTEs)</th>
<th>ANNUAL SALARY</th>
<th>MONTHS ON BUDGET</th>
<th>BUDGET SALARY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CRN Supervisor</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Health Worker</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Health Worker</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL FTEs: 2.50**

#### 1. TOTAL SALARIES: 91,250.00

#### FRINGE BENEFITS: (Specify)
- FICA: 41.00%
- HOSPITAL: 3.00%
- VISION: 2.00%
- WORKERS: 4.00%
- UNEMPLOYMENT: 0.00%
- TERM LIFE: 0.00%
- HEARING: 0.00%
- OTHER: 0.00%

**TOTAL FRINGE BENEFITS: 37,412.50**

#### TRAVEL: (Specify if any item exceeds 10% of Total Expenditures)
- Amount: 1,800
- Conferences (training/continuing education): 1,720

**TOTAL TRAVEL: 3,520**

#### SUPPLIES & MATERIALS: (Specify if any item exceeds 10% of Total Expenditures)
- Office Supplies: 100
- Printing/Copying: 500

**TOTAL SUPPLIES & MATERIALS: 600**

#### CONTRACTUAL: (Subcontracts)
- Name: [Redacted]
- Address: [Redacted]

**TOTAL CONTRACTUAL: 0**

#### EQUIPMENT: (Specify)
- Amount: [Redacted]

**TOTAL EQUIPMENT: 0**

#### OTHER EXPENSES: (Specify if any item exceeds 10% of Total Expenditures)
- Cell phones $250.00 start up & (2 FTE's *$50.00)*12
- Meeting Supplies

**TOTAL OTHER EXPENSES: 1,500**

#### INDIRECT COSTS
- Rate #1 F & A 134,283 x rate 10.00% 13,428.25
- Rate #2
- Rate #3

**TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS: 13,428**

#### TOTAL ALL EXPENDITURES: (Sum of lines 1-9) 147,711

---

**Use Additional Sheets as Needed**
Attachment 6
Notice of Award (NOA) and any additional documents

- The following pages include the NOA and if applicable any additional documentation referenced throughout this Subaward.

- Not incorporating the NOA or any additional documentation to this Subaward.
Notice of Award

RESEARCH
Department of Health and Human Services
National Institutes of Health

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF NURSING RESEARCH

SECTION I – AWARD DATA – 1R01NR020442-01

Principal Investigator(s):
Mary Rose Janevic, PHD

Award e-mailed to: creynolds-gov@umich.edu

Dear Authorized Official:

The National Institutes of Health hereby awards a grant in the amount of $712,535 (see “Award Calculation” in Section I and “Terms and Conditions” in Section III) to The Regents of the University of Michigan in support of the above referenced project. This award is pursuant to the authority of 42 USC 241. 42 CFR 52 and is subject to the requirements of this statute and regulation and of other referenced, incorporated or attached terms and conditions.

Acceptance of this award, including the “Terms and Conditions,” is acknowledged by the recipient when funds are drawn down or otherwise requested from the grant payment system.

Each publication, press release, or other document about research supported by an NIH award must include an acknowledgment of NIH award support and a disclaimer such as “Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute Of Nursing Research of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R01NR020442. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.” Prior to issuing a press release concerning the outcome of this research, please notify the NIH awarding IC in advance to allow for coordination.

Award recipients must promote objectivity in research by establishing standards that provide a reasonable expectation that the design, conduct and reporting of research funded under NIH awards will be free from bias resulting from an Investigator’s Financial Conflict of Interest (FCOI), in accordance with the 2011 revised regulation at 42 CFR Part 50 Subpart F. The Institution shall submit all FCOI reports to the NIH through the eRA Commons FCOI Module. The regulation does not apply to Phase I Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR) and Small Business Technology Transfer (STTR) awards. Consult the NIH website http://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/coi/ for a link to the regulation and additional important information.

If you have any questions about this award, please direct questions to the Federal Agency contacts.

Sincerely yours,

Kelli Oster
Grants Management Officer
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF NURSING RESEARCH

Additional information follows

Cumulative Award Calculations for this Budget Period (U.S. Dollars)
d. National Policy Requirements and all other requirements described in the NIH Grants Policy Statement, including addenda in effect as of the beginning date of the budget period.

e. Federal Award Performance Goals: As required by the periodic report in the RPPR or in the final progress report when applicable.

f. This award notice, INCLUDING THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS CITED BELOW.

(See NIH Home Page at http://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/awardconditions.htm for certain references cited above.)

Research and Development (R&D): All awards issued by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) meet the definition of “Research and Development” at 45 CFR Part 75.2. As such, awardees should identify NIH awards as part of the R&D cluster on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA). The auditor should test NIH awards for compliance as instructed in Part V, Clusters of Programs. NIH recognizes that some awards may have another classification for purposes of indirect costs. The auditor is not required to report the disconnect (i.e., the award is classified as R&D for Federal Audit Requirement purposes but non-research for indirect cost rate purposes), unless the auditee is charging indirect costs at a rate other than the rate(s) specified in the award document(s).

This institution is a signatory to the Federal Demonstration Partnership (FDP) Phase VII Agreement which requires active institutional participation in new or ongoing FDP demonstrations and pilots.

An unobligated balance may be carried over into the next budget period without Grants Management Officer prior approval.

This grant is subject to Streamlined Noncompeting Award Procedures (SNAP).

This award is subject to the requirements of 2 CFR Part 25 for institutions to receive a Dun & Bradstreet Universal Numbering System (DUNS) number and maintain an active registration in the System for Award Management (SAM). Should a consortium/subaward be issued under this award, a DUNS requirement must be included. See http://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/awardconditions.htm for the full NIH award term implementing this requirement and other additional information.

This award has been assigned the Federal Award Identification Number (FAIN) R01NR020442. Recipients must document the assigned FAIN on each consortium/subaward issued under this award.

Based on the project period start date of this project, this award is likely subject to the Transparency Act subaward and executive compensation reporting requirement of 2 CFR Part 170. There are conditions that may exclude this award; see http://grants.nih.gov/grants/policy/awardconditions.htm for additional award applicability information.

In accordance with P.L. 110-161, compliance with the NIH Public Access Policy is now mandatory. For more information, see NOT-OD-08-033 and the Public Access website: http://publicaccess.nih.gov/.

This award provides support for one or more clinical trials. By law (Title VIII, Section 801 of Public Law 110-85), the “responsible party” must register “applicable clinical trials” on the ClinicalTrials.gov Protocol Registration System Information Website. NIH encourages registration of all trials whether required under the law or not. For more information, see http://grants.nih.gov/ClinicalTrials_fdaaa/

In accordance with the regulatory requirements provided at 45 CFR 75.113 and Appendix XII to 45 CFR Part 75, recipients that have currently active Federal grants, cooperative agreements, and procurement contracts with cumulative total value greater than $10,000,000 must report and maintain information in the System for Award Management (SAM) about civil, criminal, and administrative proceedings in connection with the award or performance of a Federal award that reached final disposition within the most recent five-year period. The recipient must also make semiannual disclosures regarding such proceedings. Proceedings information will be made publicly available in the designated integrity and
Future year total cost commitments appearing on the award notice under "Recommended Future Year Total Cost Support" have been calculated by applying the negotiated facilities and administrative cost rate(s) in effect at the time of this FY 2021 award to the committed total direct cost level for each future year.

*adjusted requested level: Summary Statement recommended level of support with arithmetic errors corrected, with adjustments made in accordance with the budget narrative in the summary statement, applicable grant policies as appropriate, and direct salaries and associated fringe benefits adjusted to comply with the salary cap to calculate the level of support recommended for any future year budget.

**committed level: The level of support calculated by applying the NINR funding plan to the adjusted requested level of each approved future year and applying the negotiated facilities and administrative cost rate(s) in effect at the time of this FY 2021 award to the committed total direct cost level for each future year.

INFORMATION: NINR ADJUSTMENTS FOR SALARY BASED AWARDS:
Salary funds provided on NINR research grants may be adjusted if investigators receive career-type salary based awards. In the event that such an award is made for an investigator receiving salary support from an NINR grant, the National Institute of Nursing Research must be informed in writing within 30 days from the start date of the award. The proposed salary compensation will be reviewed and adjusted, if applicable, in accordance with NOT-OD-17-094.

INFORMATION: HUMAN SUBJECTS EDUCATION CERTIFICATION
This award reflects the National Institute of Nursing Research acceptance of the certification that all key personnel as defined in the February 29, 2008 NIH Guide announcement (http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-08-054.html) have completed education on the protection of human subjects, in accordance with NIH policy requirements. Any key personnel, as defined in that announcement, must satisfy this requirement prior to participating in the project. Failure to comply can result in suspension and/or termination of this award or withholding of support of the continuation award.

REQUIREMENT: COMMITMENT OVERLAP
A review of Other Support information provided in the application for this project indicates that with the award of this project, the effort commitment of Drs. Janicic and Hassettmay exceed twelve person months of effort. If applicable, the grantee is responsible both for eliminating this over-commitment (and any other over-commitment of effort) and for obtaining appropriate prior approval(s) in accordance with NIH and institutional policy requirements.

INFORMATION: BUDGET/PROJECT PERIOD ADJUSTMENT
This grant has been selected under the NINR plan to redistribute grant workloads more evenly throughout the year. Consequently, the initial budget period reflects a 6.30.22 end date. Subsequent budget periods will begin on July 1 and will be for twelve months. Although this grant will have a slightly shorter budget period this year, it is awarded a full twelve months of funds for the budget period. Additional time may be requested at the end of the project period if needed. Allowable preaward costs may be charged in accordance with the conditions outlined in the NIH Grants Policy Statement (revised 4/2021) and with institutional requirements for prior approval.

SPREADSHEET SUMMARY
AWARD NUMBER: 1R01NR020442-01

INSTITUTION: The Regents of the University of Michigan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salaries and Wages</td>
<td>$259,307</td>
<td>$206,509</td>
<td>$203,136</td>
<td>$202,452</td>
<td>$228,143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fringe Benefits</td>
<td>$69,288</td>
<td>$60,304</td>
<td>$60,046</td>
<td>$59,994</td>
<td>$61,959</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Department of Health and Human Services  
Final Invention Statement and Certification  
(For Grant or Award)

A. We hereby certify that, to the best of our knowledge and belief, all inventions are listed below which were conceived and/or first actually reduced to practice during the course of work under the above-referenced DHHS grant or award for the period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>original effective date</th>
<th>date of termination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

B. Inventions (Note: If no inventions have been made under the grant or award, insert the word "NONE" under

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME OF INVENTOR</th>
<th>TITLE OF INVENTION</th>
<th>DATE REPORTED TO DHHS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Use continuation sheet if necessary)

C. Signature — This block must be signed by an official authorized to sign on behalf of the institution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Name and Mailing Address of Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Typed Name

Signature  Date
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement
FILE NUMBER: OCFO-Office of Contracting & Procurement-0868

RE:
Submitting Reso. Autho. Contract No. 3054266

SUMMARY:

RECOMMENDATION:

BY Choose an item.

Boysie Jackson, Chief Procurement Officer
Office of Contracting and Procurement Office

RESOLVED: that Contract No. 3054266 referred to in the foregoing communication dated January 6, 2022 be hereby and is approved.

DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Alexandria Vaughn-Powe
Position: Procurement Assistant
DEPARTMENTAL SUBMISSION

DEPARTMENT: Legislative Policy Division - City Planning
FILE NUMBER: Legislative Policy Division - City Planning -0169

* RE:
Submitting report related to: The request of the Telegraph Musical Co, LLC and the Detroit City Planning Commission to rezone 16890 and 16920 Telegraph Road from a R5 (Medium Density Residential) zoning classification to a B4 (General Business) zoning classification

* SUMMARY:
The request of the Telegraph Musical Co, LLC and the Detroit City Planning Commission to rezone 16890 and 16920 Telegraph Road from a R5 (Medium Density Residential) zoning classification to a B4 (General Business) zoning classification (RECOMMEND APPROVAL)

* RECOMMENDATION:
Recommend Approval

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Sabrina Shockley
Position: Administrative Assistant

*REQUIRED
HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: The request of the Telegraph Musical Co, LLC and the Detroit City Planning Commission to rezone 16890 and 16920 Telegraph Road from a R5 (Medium Density Residential) zoning classification to a B4 (General Business) zoning classification (RECOMMEND APPROVAL)

The Telegraph Musical Co, LLC (TMC) and the City Planning Commission (CPC) are requesting to amend Article XVII, Section 50-17-75, District Map No. 73 of the 2019 Detroit City Code, Chapter 50, Zoning, to show a B4 zoning classification where a R5 zoning classification is currently shown at 16890 and 16920 Telegraph Road. The subject property is generally located on the east side of Telegraph south of West McNichols. The change in zoning is being requested so that the zoning in the area is more consistent with existing land uses and the City’s Master Plan of Policies. Please see the attached CPC public hearing notice for reference.

BACKGROUND AND REQUEST

The east side of Telegraph, south of West McNichols, is zoned R5 except for the existing gas station at the corner 23891 Telegraph, which is zoned B4. The existing zoning map for this area is shown below.

TMC is the owner of 16890 Telegraph Road – highlighted in red on the map below. This site presently contains a one-story vacant commercial building formerly occupied by a nightclub called The Jazz Club. The Jazz Club LLC, a related party, has been working since 2016 to redevelop the site as a medical marijuana caregiver center and retail space.
Regarding the history of the site, back in 1940s, 16890 Telegraph and the surrounding area were zoned R1 (Single-Family Residential). It appears that during the 1950s, the subject area was changed from R1 to RM4 (referred to as 50 Foot Height – Multiple Dwellings). In 1961, the Detroit Board of Zoning Appeals (BZA) granted permission to erect a cocktail lounge and restaurant. In 1968, 16890 Telegraph and surrounding land changed from RM4 to R5. However, the nearby gas station was rezoned from B2 (Local Business) to B4.

The following is a brief summary of the petitioner’s efforts to redevelop 16890 Telegraph:
- March 2015 - the Detroit Buildings, Safety Engineering, and Environmental Department (BSEED) issued the Jazz Club LLC a building permit to change from a nightclub to retail sales
- March 2016 - the Jazz Club LLC applied to BSEED for a medical marijuana dispensary
- March 2016 - BSEED denied application due to proximity to a drug-free zone – it was within 1,000 feet of a greenway
- October 2017 - the Jazz Club LLC appealed to the BZA which affirmed BSEED’s decision that the subject property was located in a drug-free zone
- March 2018 - the Jazz Club LLC appealed to Circuit Court, and the Court affirmed the BZA’s decision to deny
- January 2020 - the Court of Appeals reversed the Circuit Court’s decision, concluding that the subject greenway did not qualify as a drug-free zone and remanded the case back to Circuit Court
- April 2021 - Wayne County Circuit Court ruled, in part, the City will proceed on the Jazz Club LLC’s application for land use approval for a medical marijuana facility

Since the Jazz Club submitted its request dating back to 2015, it was regularly noted in BSEED, BZA, and court documents that the subject site was zoned B4. Upon further review, in June 2021, BSEED informed the Jazz Club that the land was in fact zoned R5 which does not allow medical marijuana caregiver centers or provisioning centers. In order to resolve the issue, the TMC is now requesting that 16890 Telegraph be rezoned from R5 to B4 to allow the reuse of a portion of the subject building for a medical marijuana provisioning center facility and use of the remainder of the building with a retail use, likely a hardware or garden store.

Land at 16920 Telegraph Road, north of the subject Jazz Club, is also zoned R5 and currently developed with the Telegraph Motel. Motels are a conditional land use in both R5 and B4.
CPC is proposing that this land be added to the subject request and be rezoned to B4 as well. This would create a similar zoning pattern on the east side of Telegraph, south of W. McNichols, creating a contiguous zoning of B4 with the gas station, the motel, and former Jazz Club. The CPC staff contacted the owner of the motel, Mr. Shri Sai Ram, to discuss the proposal and, later, Mr. Ram submitted a letter in support of the rezoning. The map below shows the two parcels proposed to be rezoned highlighted in red.

**Expanded Request 23861 & 23871 W. McNichols – Later Withdrawn**

Land on the south side of W. McNichols just east of the gas station is presently zoned R5 and developed with vacant land at 23871 W. McNichols, which is owned by the Detroit Land Bank Authority (DLBA), and 23861 W. McNichols which has a 1-story commercial building. The CPC proposed that these two parcels be rezoned from R5 to B4, as well, in order to create a B4 commercial node at the intersection of Telegraph and W. McNichols. These parcels are highlighted in blue in the image above.

However, after including these two parcels in the rezoning request, CPC staff investigated these two sites more thoroughly. CPC staff found that 23871 W. McNichols was previously used for offices but demolished in 1988 and is now vacant land owned by the DLBA. 23861 W. McNichols was used for offices via BZA variance in 1961, and as a medical office in 1985. However, it now appears, within the past three years or so, Cleveland Trucking Inc. Logistics & Excavating has moved into the building and is storing several large semi-trailers/gravel haulers behind the building and on the DLBA land. CPC staff is not aware of any valid permit for the company to use either site. CPC staff asked BSEED to investigate the land use status of the trucking company, but has yet to receive a response.

CPC staff spoke with the owner of the trucking company who indicated they would like to rezone their property; in early 2020, they inquired with the City about rezoning their land. The current trucking use is listed in the Zoning Ordinance as a trucking terminal/open area for the parking of semi-trailers, which is first allowed in B6 (General Services) and industrial zoning districts. CPC staff advised the company that they could request a rezoning to legalize the trucking operation, but suggested that the company also explore finding other land that is already
zoned B6 or industrial. As a result, the CPC agreed to remove these two parcels from the subject request.

COMMUNITY INPUT AND PUBLIC HEARING

On October 5, 2021, TMC held a zoom meeting with the adjacent neighbors. The petitioner indicated that there was general support at the meeting, and it was noted that hopefully this project will help with two main area problems of vagrancy and security.

On October 7, 2021, the City Planning Commission held a public hearing on the rezoning request. The CPC received a letter of support from the adjacent River Block Neighborhood Association and four letters of support from area business, including the adjacent Telegraph Motel at 16920 Telegraph. During public comment, one member of the public spoke in support noting that the petitioner provides jobs for area residents. One of the petitioner’s representatives indicated they had done extensive outreach to the surrounding area, including going door-to-door in the area. They said that most welcomed the proposed medical marijuana use because it would bring added security and less dumping. The petitioner indicated that the company would work to limit odors and noise and stated that they would hire local residents as well as train them to start their own businesses.

The Commissioner’s feedback is summarized as follows:

- One Commissioner favored rezoning all the subject sites now to B4, to avoid having to revisit the site later.
- One Commissioner asked to hear from BSEED, which was not present, particularly regarding the Appeals Court decision and BSEED’s current stance on this petition. CPC staff responded it had consulted with the City Administration and was advised to proceed with the subject rezoning.
- One Commissioner asked if baseline outreach efforts are required related to marijuana uses. The petitioner responded that they do need a community benefits agreement/good neighbor plan in place as part of the State license for medical marijuana.
- One Commissioner said it would be helpful for the local Detroit police precinct to provide feedback on this proposal. The petitioner responded that there is not an overconcentration in the area - the closest marijuana use is Green Genie, which is more than 2,000 feet away. The Commander of the 8th precinct reported to the Commission that the subject area has challenges with prostitution, dumping and vagrancy, but did not have an official position on the proposed marijuana use.

PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS

Surrounding Zoning and Land Use

The zoning classification and land uses surrounding the subject area are as follows:

North: R1; across W. McNichols, developed with Grand Lawn Cemetery
East: R1; developed with single-family housing (referred to as the River Block Neighborhood Association)
South: R5; developed with apartment buildings
West: B4 and R1; across Telegraph, developed with a restaurant and single-family housing
Zoning Classifications
The pertinent zoning district classifications are described as follows:

R5 – Medium Density Residential District
This district provides for a range of residential development from the single-family detached dwelling to medium-density multiple-family dwellings. The primary use in this district will be the rental apartment structure. In addition to permitted residential uses, certain specified non-residential uses which can be properly blended into this district may be permitted. R5 does allow some limited commercial uses as conditional, such as motels. Uses such as offices not more than 3,000 square feet are conditional.

B4- General Business District
This district provides for business and commercial uses of a thoroughfare-oriented nature. In addition to these uses, other businesses, which may benefit by drawing part of their clientele from passing traffic are permitted. Additional uses, which may be successfully blended with permitted by-right uses, are conditional.

Zoning Ordinance Criteria
Section 50-3-70 of the Detroit Zoning Ordinance lists eight approval criteria on which zoning map amendments must be based. The CPC’s analysis of the criteria is as follows:

1. **Whether the proposed amendment corrects an error or meets the challenge of some changing condition, trend or fact;**
   The proposed amendment would not correct an error on the zoning map. The proposed map amendment meets the challenge of a changing condition or trend. At least since the 1960s, it appears the subject area has developed with a mix of commercial uses (the gas station, motel, and Jazz Club) and apartment buildings further south.

2. **Whether the proposed amendment is consistent with the Master Plan and the stated purposes of this Zoning Ordinance;**
   The subject site is located within the Redford Area of Neighborhood Cluster 8 of the Detroit Master Plan of Policies shown below. The Future Land Use map for this area shows Thoroughfare Commercial (TC) along the east side of Telegraph south of McNichols and Low Density Residential (RL) east of the commercial frontage. P&DD staff indicates the following: “the proposed commercial rezoning along Telegraph is consistent with the Master Plan. The expansion of the commercial zoning into the area designated as RL, though currently zoned as Medium Density Residential, does not change the character of the area, as the development is presently commercial. Therefore, the overall proposed rezoning envisions commercial uses such as are seen today and is generally consistent with the Master Plan classifications.”
3. Whether the proposed amendment will protect the health, safety, and general welfare of the public;
   The CPC thinks the proposed amendment will not have a negative impact on the health, safety, and general welfare of the public. The proposed amendment will reflect the long term use of the property for commercial activity.

4. Whether the City and other service providers will be able to provide adequate public facilities and services to the subject property, while maintaining adequate levels of service to existing development;
   The subject rezoning is located on Telegraph Road which is an eight lane thoroughfare.

5. Whether the proposed rezoning will have significant adverse impacts on the natural environment, including air, water, soil, wildlife, and vegetation and with respect to anticipated changes in noise and regarding stormwater management;
   It appears the proposed project will not have significant adverse impact on the natural environment. The developer indicates it will have a rigorous odor elimination plan and is willing to explore adding stormwater management solutions to the existing asphalt parking lot.

6. Whether the proposed amendment will have significant adverse impacts on other property that is in the vicinity of the subject tract;
   It is not anticipated the proposed rezoning will have significant adverse impacts on other property that is in the vicinity. There is an existing commercial use to the north (the gas station) and there are existing commercial uses further south (a gas station and LED sign shop). The subject Jazz Club was allowed by a BZA grant in the 1960s. As a result, the subject rezoning would reflect the current land use for the subject area.
7. *The suitability of the subject property for the existing zoning classification and proposed zoning classification; and*
   As noted earlier in this report, in 1961, the Detroit BZA granted permission to erect a one-story cocktail lounge and restaurant at 16890 Telegraph. The subject site has been used for a variety of commercial uses since that time. The subject motel is allowed as conditional use in both R5 and B4.

8. *Whether the proposed rezoning will create an illegal “spot zone.”*
   As shown on the existing zoning map, there exists other B4 parcels to the northwest, north, west (across Telegraph), and further south. The proposed rezoning also complies with the Master Plan of Policies. As a result, the proposed rezoning would not create a spot zone.

**CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION**

In conclusion, based on the public hearing, above analysis, and review of the Section 50-3-70 criteria of the Zoning Ordinance, CPC staff recommends **APPROVAL** of the rezoning request to rezone 16890 and 16920 Telegraph Road from R5 to B4. The approved-as-to-form Ordinance is attached for Your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Lauren Hood, CHAIRPERSON

[Signature]

Marcell R. Todd, Jr., Director
Christopher J. Gulock, AICP, Staff

Attachments: public hearing notice and ordinance

cc: Kim James, Esq., Law Department
    Dave Bell, Director, BSEED
    Antoine Bryant, Director, P&DD
    Karen Gage, P&DD
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

The Detroit City Planning Commission will conduct a public hearing on a proposed amendment to the Detroit Zoning Ordinance:

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 7, 2021 AT 6:00 PM

to consider the request of the City Planning Commission and the Telegraph Musical Co LLC to amend Article XVII, Section 50-17-75, District Map No. 73 of the 2019 Detroit City Code, Chapter 50, Zoning, to show a B4 (General Business District) zoning classification where a R5 (Medium Density Residential District) zoning classification is currently shown at 16920 and 16890 Telegraph Road, and 23861 and 23871 West McNichols Road generally located on the east side of Telegraph south of West McNichols. The location of the proposed rezoning is indicated as the shaded area on the accompanying map.

The proposed map amendment is being requested so that the zoning in the area is more consistent with existing land uses and the City’s Master Plan of Policies. The pertinent zoning district classifications are described as follows:

**R5 – Medium Density Residential District**

This district provides for a range of residential development from the single-family detached dwelling to medium-density multiple-family dwellings. The primary use in this district will be the rental apartment structure. In addition to permitted residential uses, certain specified non-residential uses which can be properly blended into this district may be permitted.

**B4- General Business District**

This district provides for business and commercial uses of a thoroughfare-oriented nature. In addition to these uses, other businesses, which may benefit by drawing part of their clientele from passing traffic are permitted. Additional uses, which may be successfully blended with permitted by-right uses, are conditional.

Zoning Ordinance amendments require approval by the City Council following a separate public hearing conducted by the Council. The Council considers the matter upon receipt of a report and recommendation from the City Planning Commission. This Zoning Ordinance map amendment request is being considered consistent with the provisions of Article III, Divisions 2, 3 and 4 of Chapter 50 of the 2019 Detroit City Code, the Detroit Zoning Ordinance.

All interested persons are invited to be present and be heard as to their views. Persons making oral presentations as well as those persons who are unable to attend this public hearing are encouraged to submit written testimony, for the record, to the City Planning Commission via e-mail at cpc@detroitmi.gov or via US Mail to the above address. Public comment/testimony may be given at
the appropriate times when called for during the meeting. If you desire to speak and are attending the meeting online, press the “raise your hand” icon at the bottom of the screen or press ALT-Y for a PC or OPT-Y for a MAC to raise your hand virtually. If attending by phone press *-9 to raise your hand.

If interpretation or translation services are needed, including for the hearing impaired, call the Department of Civil Rights, Inclusion & Opportunity at 313-224-4950. For further information on this proposal or the public hearing, please call (313) 224-6225.

CPC Webpage: https://detroitmi.gov/government/commissions/city-planning-commission

Pursuant to the Michigan Open Meetings Act, as amended, and in response to the COVID-19 pandemic the Detroit City Planning Commission (CPC) will be meeting virtually using a videoconferencing platform.

The CPC meeting may also be viewed in the following manner.

Online:
https://cityofdetroit.zoom.us/j/96355593579?pwd=TTloMzN5M3pmU1RKNXp1MjJlczN3UT09
Or iPhone one-tap:
US: +12678310333,96355593579# or +13017158592,,96355593579#
Or by Telephone:
Dial (for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):
US: +1 267 831 0333 or +1 301 715 8592 or +1 312 626 6799 or +1 213 338 8477 or +1 253 8782 or +1 346 248 7799 Webinar ID: 963 5559 3579

[Diagram of a map with highlighted area proposed to be rezoned from R5 to B4]
SUMMARY

An ordinance to amend Chapter 50 of the 2019 Detroit City Code, Zoning, by amending Article XVII, Zoning District Maps, Section 50-17-75, District Map No. 73, to revise the existing R5 (Medium Density Residential District) zoning classification to the B4 (General Business District) zoning classification for two parcels generally located on the east side of Telegraph Road and south of West McNichols Road, commonly known as 16890 Telegraph Road and 16920 Telegraph Road (Lot 7 only).
BY COUNCIL MEMBER ________________________________________:

AN ORDINANCE to amend Chapter 50 of the 2019 Detroit City Code, Zoning, by amending Article XVII, Zoning District Maps, Section 50-17-75, District Map No. 73, to revise the zoning classification for two parcels generally located on the east side of Telegraph Road and south of West McNichols Road, commonly known as 16890 Telegraph Road and 16920 Telegraph Road (Lot 7 only) from the existing R5 (Medium Density Residential District) zoning classification to the B4 (General Business District) zoning classification.

IT IS HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY OF DETROIT THAT:

Section 1. Chapter 50 of the 2019 Detroit City Code, Zoning, Article XVII, Zoning District Maps, Section 50-17-75, District Map No. 73, is amended as follows:

CHAPTER 50. ZONING

ARTICLE XVII. ZONING DISTRICT MAPS

Section 50-17-75. District Map No. 73.

For the properties generally located on the east side of Telegraph Road and south of West McNichols Road, commonly known as 16890 Telegraph Road and 16920 Telegraph Road (Lot 7 only) and identified more specifically as:

E TELEGRAPH 8 RIVERDALE PARK SUB L33 P77 PLATS, W C R 22/472 140 X 300;
E TELEGRAPH 7 RIVERDALE PARK SUB L33 P77 PLATS, W C R 22/472 140 X 300,
the existing R5 (Medium Density Residential District) zoning classification is revised to the B4 (General Business District) zoning classification.

Section 2. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are repealed.
Section 3. This ordinance is declared necessary for the preservation of the public peace, health, safety, and welfare of the people of the City of Detroit.

Section 4. This ordinance shall become effective on the eighth (8th) day after publication in accordance with Section 401(6) of Public Act 110 of 2006, as amended, M.C.L. 125.3401(6), and Section 4-118, paragraph 3 of the 2012 Detroit City Charter.

Approved as to Form:

Charles Raimi,
Deputy Corporation Counsel
12/20/21
FORMAL SESSION/NEW BUSINESS – TUESDAY, JANUARY 18, 2022

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

Tate, an Proposed Ordinance to amend Chapter 50 of the 2019 Detroit City Code, Zoning; by amending Article XVII, Zoning District Maps, Section 50-17-75, District Map No. 73, to revise the zoning classification for two parcels generally located on the east side of Telegraph Road and south of West McNichols Road, commonly known as 16890 Telegraph Road and 16920 Telegraph Road (Lot 7 only) from the existing R5 (Medium Density Residential District) zoning classification to the B4 (General Business District) zoning classification

INTRODUCE.

ROLL CALL

Tate, resolution setting public hearing on ______________________ at __________________ on foregoing ordinance amendment.
RESOLUTION SETTING HEARING

By Council Member Tate:

Resolved, That a public hearing will be held by this body on ____________________ at ________ virtually using videoconferencing for Planning and Economic Development Standing Committee, for the purpose of considering the advisability of adopting the foregoing, an Proposed Ordinance to amend Chapter 50 of the 2019 Detroit City Code, Zoning: by amending Article XVII, Zoning District Maps, Section 50-17-75, District Map No. 73, to revise the zoning classification for two parcels generally located on the east side of Telegraph Road and south of West McNichols Road, commonly known as 16890 Telegraph Road and 16920 Telegraph Road (Lot 7 only) from the existing R5 (Medium Density Residential District) zoning classification to the B4 (General Business District) zoning classification.

All interested persons are invited to be present to be heard as to their views.
* RE:
Submitting report related to: Request of the Planning and Development Department, Detroit Blight Busters, and CHN Housing Partners, to amend Article XVII, Section 50-17-76, District Map No. 74 of the 2019 Detroit City Code, Chapter 50, Zoning, to rezone

* SUMMARY:
Request of the Planning and Development Department, Detroit Blight Busters, and CHN Housing Partners, to amend Article XVII, Section 50-17-76, District Map No. 74 of the 2019 Detroit City Code, Chapter 50, Zoning, to rezone numerous parcels from a R1 (Single-family Residential District) to R3 (Low-Density Residential District) zoning classification generally located between Santa Clara Street and Orchard Street east of Lahser Road (RECOMMEND APPROVAL)

* RECOMMENDATION:
Recommend Approval

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Sabrina Shockley
Position: Administrative Assistant

*REQUIRED
January 6, 2022

HONORABLE CITY COUNCIL

RE: Request of the Planning and Development Department, Detroit Blight Busters, and CHN Housing Partners, to amend Article XVII, Section 50-17-76, District Map No. 74 of the 2019 Detroit City Code, Chapter 50, Zoning, to rezone numerous parcels from a R1 (Single-family Residential District) to R3 (Low-Density Residential District) zoning classification generally located between Santa Clara Street and Orchard Street east of Lahser Road (RECOMMEND APPROVAL)

The City Planning Commission (CPC) has received a request from the Planning and Development Department (PDD), Detroit Blight Busters, and CHN Housing Partners, to amend District Map No. 74 of Chapter 50 of the 2019 Detroit City Code, Zoning, to show an R3 zoning classification where an R1 zoning classification currently exists on numerous parcels generally located between Santa Clara Street and Orchard Street east of Lahser Road. The change in zoning is being requested to allow for the development of forty-eight units of multi-family housing. Please see the attached public hearing notice for reference.

BACKGROUND AND PROPOSAL

The subject area is located in northwest Detroit near the Old Redford neighborhood. The subject request grew out of PDD’s Grand River/Northwest Neighborhood Framework Plan completed in July 2018. The subject block (shown in the image below) was chosen, in part, because it has a number of vacant lots owned by the Detroit Land Bank Authority (DLBA) and its proximity to the Old Redford commercial area. The entire block has two houses on the western edge of the block and fifteen houses on the eastern end of the block, some of which are vacant.
In January 2021, the City issued a Request for Proposals (RFP) to accommodate new multi-family residential development on the subject block. In April 2021, PDD and the Housing and Revitalization Department (HRD) selected the development team of CHN Housing Partners and Detroit Blight Busters. The developer is proposing to construct 48 rental units (2-bedroom units and some 1 and 3 bedroom units). Rents will be affordable to households between thirty and sixty percent of area median income (AMI) – up to roughly $50,000. The petitioners also plan to establish a non-profit neighborhood center called “Kid’s Camp” which will focus on fitness and nutrition for the area’s youth. The proposed plans are shown below and attached for reference.

![Design plans](image1)

Design plans have yet to be finalized, but preliminary designs show a contemporary design of low-rise two- and three-story units amongst the existing nearby adjacent housing.

**COMMUNITY OUTREACH**

The petitioner has been actively engaged with residents of the community, including extensive flier distribution throughout the surrounding neighborhood. An in-person community engagement meeting took place on Wednesday, July 15, 2021, at Artist Village located at 17336 Lasher Road, at which approximately fifty-four members of the public were in attendance. In preparation for the July 22, 2021 public hearing at the CPC, notice was posted and provided to 173 adjacent property owners and residents.

**PUBLIC HEARING**

On July 22, 2021, the City Planning Commission held a public hearing on the subject rezoning request. For the public hearing, PDD and CPC staff proposed rezoning the entire block (forty-eight parcels) from R1 to R3 as shown in the image below.
Three members of the public spoke at the hearing. There were concerns raised over the inclusion of the entire block rather than focusing solely on the parcels involved in the City’s RFP. There were also concerns that the proposed rezoning may incentivize absentee profiteers and landlords to demolish existing single-family housing stock and instead construct market-rate multi-family residential units which may increase property values, i.e., taxes, ultimately pricing out long-time residents. The CPC received a letter from the Motor City Theatre Organ Society which owns and operates the Redford Theatre; the Society supports the development, but is concerned that the renderings do not reflect specific plans for off-street parking to accommodate the new multi-family project.

The Commission raised several questions regarding the proposed design, impact of all units being affordable, and the impact on property values from rezoning the land from R1 to R3. The Commission requested staff to work with HRD to determine the current number of affordable rental units within a quarter mile of the subject site, in addition to the composition of owner-occupied properties versus rental properties.

**PUBLIC HEARING FOLLOW-UP**

At its meetings on September 9 and October 7, 2021, the CPC continued to review the proposed rezoning. It was clarified for the Commission that the developer would remain the owner and manager of the proposed project and that Detroit Blight Busters would be actively involved with the project. The Administration indicated through its extensive outreach efforts, including the Framework Plan, that the community was in support of low-density, in-fill, affordable multi-family housing, in addition to single-family rehab and infill. The administration clarified that past development trends show that when well-designed, well managed, newly constructed, affordable multi-family units are introduced into an area, the area property values increase.

Based on feedback from the hearing, staff from PDD, HRD and CPC recommended the rezoning instead focus on twenty-two parcels on the west end of the block shown in the image below. Of the twenty-two parcels, twelve are in the inventory of the DLBA and ten are privately held; however, at least one of the privately held lots is owned by Detroit Blight Busters. Additionally, the amended boundaries include two currently occupied single-family residential dwellings, rather than seventeen under the initial request. Neither of these two homeowners spoke at the public hearing.
At its meeting on October 7, 2021, the CPC recommended approval of rezoning the twenty-two parcels from R3 to R1.

PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS

Zoning Analysis & Surrounding Zoning/Land Use
The proposed zoning classification of R3 would permit the requested uses of a "multiple-family residential" and "non-profit neighborhood center” on a by-right basis, which are not allowed under R1. The zoning classifications and land uses surrounding the subject area are as follows and shown on the map below:

- **North:** R1 & B4 (General Business); developed with a church and single-family residential along Santa Clara.
- **East:** R1 & PD (Planned Development); developed with single-family and multi-family housing (Bentler Arms Apartments).
- **South:** R1, B3 (Shopping) and B4; developed with scattered single-family residential dwellings, a former Michigan Department of Health and Human Services office and the Redford Theather/Artist Village.
- **West:** B4; developed with the Redford Mart convenience store, Grub Detroit, Genie Hookah, and surface parking lot.

Master Plan Consistency
The subject site is located within the Evergreen area of Neighborhood Cluster 8 of the Detroit Master Plan of Policies. The Future Land Use map for this area shows “RL - Low-Medium Residential” for the subject properties. PDD’s July 26, 2021 Master Plan interpretation it states:

“*The redevelopment of a portion of the site into multi-family housing is not anticipated to significantly impact the surrounding area, especially given the institutional and commercial*
uses. There is adequate capacity for any additional traffic on the surrounding streets. As part of the community engagement process, the surrounding community has supported the proposed project.”

**Zoning Ordinance Criteria**
Section 50-3-70 of the Detroit Zoning Ordinance lists eight approval criteria on which zoning map amendments must be based. The CPC analysis of the criteria is as follows:

1. **Whether the proposed amendment corrects an error or meets the challenge of some changing condition, trend, or fact;**
   In this regard, the subject area is trending towards medium density residential developments based on the multi-year Grand River/Northwest Neighborhood Framework Plan. The subject area is on the edge of the Old Redford commercial area. The up-zoning to R3 Low Density Residential is consistent with the RLM designation as contemplated by the Master Plan of Policies.

2. **Whether the proposed amendment is consistent with the Master Plan and the stated purposes of this Zoning Ordinance;**
   The proposed rezoning of R3 is consistent with the Master Plan of Policies as indicated in PDD’s July 26, 2021 staff report as noted earlier in this report.

3. **Whether the proposed amendment will protect the health, safety, and general welfare of the public;**
   There are no foreseen adverse effects associated with the requested zoning classification in terms of health, safety, and/or the general welfare of the public. Consideration has been given to the siting of buildings and the associated setbacks. Additional consideration has been given to the boundaries of the R3 zoning classification and the unforeseen effects that such a designation might have on a primarily single-family residential neighborhood consisting of predominantly rental properties in an ever changing and increasing rental market. The proposed rezoning is proposed to include the west end of the block to minimize the possibility of the unintended displacement of longtime residents in the area.

4. **Whether the City and other service providers will be able to provide adequate public facilities and services to the subject property while maintaining adequate levels of service to existing development;**
   The physical characteristics of the subject property will change as a result of any future development, however, disruption to city services is not anticipated.

5. **Whether the proposed rezoning will have significant adverse impacts on the natural environment, including air, water, soil, wildlife, and vegetation and with respect to anticipated changes in noise and regarding stormwater management;**
   There are no anticipated adverse impacts associated with rezoning regarding any of the aforementioned.

6. **Whether the proposed amendment will have significant adverse impacts on other property that is in the vicinity of the subject tract;**
   It is not anticipated that the proposed amendment will have significant adverse impacts on other properties in the vicinity. As stated above, the CPC’s recommendation is to decrease the original boundaries to the west end of the block, in order to minimize the possibility of resident displacement due to development. Rather than seventeen currently residential properties being subject to the proposed rezoning, under the revised zoning recommendation, two occupied residential dwellings...
would fall within the proposed zonings boundaries. It is anticipated that this rezoning will have a synergistic impact on the immediate area.

(7) The suitability of the subject property for the existing zoning classification and proposed zoning classification; and

The R3 zoning classification has been determined to be appropriate for the subject site.

(8) Whether the proposed rezoning will create an illegal “spot zone.”

Given the low-density residential characteristics of the subject block in which this rezoning has been requested along with the size of the proposed development and proposed rezoning’s consistency with the Master Plan of Policies, the CPC is of the opinion that the proposed rezoning would not constitute an illegal "spot zone."

CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATION

Based on the above analysis and consistent with the approval criteria of Sec. 50-3-70 of the Zoning Ordinance, the CPC recommends APPROVAL of the rezoning of twenty-two parcels (rather than the original forty-eight parcels highlighted in the attached report) from R1 to R3, generally bounded by Santa Clara St. on the north, the eastern most properties lines of 21556 Orchard Street and 21525 Santa Clara Street on the east, Orchard St. on the south, and R1 land just west of the commercially zoned land on Lahser Road on the west. The approved-as-to-form Ordinance is attached for Your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Lauren Hood, CHAIRPERSON

Marcell R. Todd, Jr., Director
Christopher J. Gulock, AICP, Staff

Attachments: public hearing notice, site plans, and ordinance

cc: Kim James, Esq., Law Department
    Antoine Bryant, Director, PDD
    Karen Gage, PDD
    Julie Schneider, Director, HRD
    Tyler Hardy, HRD
    David Bell, Director, BSEED
SUMMARY

This ordinance amends Chapter 50 of the 2019 Detroit City Code, Zoning, by amending Article XVII, Zoning District Maps, Section 50-17-76, District Map No. 74, to revise the existing R1 (Single-Family Residential) zoning classification to the R3 (Low Density Residential District) zoning classification for 22 parcels generally located between Santa Clara Street and Orchard Street east of Lahser Road, commonly known as the following addresses: 21689 Santa Clara Street, 21679 Santa Clara Street, 21669 Santa Clara Street, 21661 Santa Clara Street, 21653 Santa Clara Street, 21645 Santa Clara Street, 21639 Santa Clara Street, 21631 Santa Clara Street, 21621 Santa Clara Street, 21535 Santa Clara Street, 21525 Santa Clara Street, 21722 Orchard Street, 21714 Orchard Street, 21706 Orchard Street, 21664 Orchard Street, 21652 Orchard Street, 21636 Orchard Street, 21624 Orchard Street, 21610 Orchard Street, 21604 Orchard Street, 21566 Orchard Street, and 21556 Orchard Street.
BY COUNCIL MEMBER

AN ORDINANCE to amend Chapter 50 of the 2019 Detroit City Code, Zoning, by amending Article XVII, Zoning District Maps, Section 50-17-76, District Map No. 74, to revise the zoning classification for 22 parcels generally located between Santa Clara Street and Orchard Street east of Lahser Road, commonly known as the following addresses: 21689 Santa Clara Street, 21679 Santa Clara Street, 21669 Santa Clara Street, 21661 Santa Clara Street, 21653 Santa Clara Street, 21645 Santa Clara Street, 21639 Santa Clara Street, 21631 Santa Clara Street, 21621 Santa Clara Street, 21535 Santa Clara Street, 21525 Santa Clara Street, 21722 Orchard Street, 21714 Orchard Street, 21706 Orchard Street, 21664 Orchard Street, 21652 Orchard Street, 21636 Orchard Street, 21624 Orchard Street, 21610 Orchard Street, 21604 Orchard Street, 21566 Orchard Street, and 21556 Orchard Street from the existing R1 (Single-Family Residential) zoning classification to the R3 (Low Density Residential District) zoning classification.

IT IS HEREBY ORDAINED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE CITY OF DETROIT THAT:

Section 1. Chapter 50 of the 2019 Detroit City Code, Zoning, Article XVII, Zoning District Maps, Section 50-17-76, District Map No. 74, is amended as follows:

CHAPTER 50. ZONING

ARTICLE XVII. ZONING DISTRICT MAPS

Section 50-17-76. District Map No. 74.

For the properties generally located between Santa Clara Street and Orchard Street east of Lahser Road, commonly known as the following addresses: 21689 Santa Clara Street, 21679 Santa Clara Street, 21669 Santa Clara Street, 21661 Santa Clara Street, 21653 Santa Clara Street, 21645 Santa Clara Street, 21639 Santa Clara Street, 21631 Santa Clara Street, 21621 Santa Clara Street, 21535 Santa Clara Street, 21525 Santa Clara Street, 21722 Orchard Street, 21714 Orchard Street,
21706 Orchard Street, 21664 Orchard Street, 21652 Orchard Street, 21636 Orchard Street, 21624 Orchard Street, 21610 Orchard Street, 21604 Orchard Street, 21566 Orchard Street, and 21556 Orchard Street, and identified more specifically as:

S SANTA CLARA 14 DELIA E WILLMARTH'S SUB L25 P74 PLATS, W C R 22/415
50 X 114;

S SANTA CLARA 15 DELIA E WILLMARTH'S SUB L25 P74 PLATS, W C R 22/415
50 X 114;

S SANTA CLARA 96 ELM AVE SUB L34 P21 PLATS, W C R 22/396 40 X 106;

S SANTA CLARA 95 ELM AVE SUB L34 P21 PLATS, W C R 22/396 40 X 106;

S SANTA CLARA 94 ELM AVE SUB L34 P21 PLATS, W C R 22/396 40 X 106;

S SANTA CLARA 93 ELM AVE SUB L34 P21 PLATS, W C R 22/396 40 X 106;

S SANTA CLARA 92 ELM AVE SUB L34 P21 PLATS, W C R 22/396 40 X 106;

S SANTA CLARA 91 ELM AVE SUB L34 P21 PLATS, W C R 22/396 40 X 106;

S SANTA CLARA 90 ELM AVE SUB L34 P21 PLATS, W C R 22/396 40 X 106;

S SANTA CLARA 89 ELM AVE SUB L34 P21 PLATS, W C R 22/396 40 X 106;

S SANTA CLARA 88 ELM AVE SUB L34 P21 PLATS, W C R 22/396 53 X 106;

N ORCHARD E 41 FT OF W 43 FT OF 64 WILLMARTH PLACE SUB L21 P41 PLATS,
W C R 22/397 41 X 132;

N ORCHARD E 23 FT OF 64 WILLMARTH PLACE SUB AND W 17 FT OF 65
WILLMARTH PLACE SUB L21 P41 PLATS, W C R 22/397 40 X 132;

N ORCHARD E 43 FT OF W 60 FT OF 65 WILLMARTH PLACE SUB L21 P41 PLATS,
W C R 22/397 43 X 132;
N ORCHARD E 6 FT OF 65 WILLMARTH PLACE SUB AND 66 WILLMARTH PLACE
SUB L21 P41 PLATS, W C R 22/397 72 X 132;
N ORCHARD 67 WILLMARTH PLACE SUB L21 P41 PLATS, W C R 22/397 66 X 132;
N ORCHARD 68 WILLMARTH PLACE SUB L21 P41 PLATS, W C R 22/397 66 X 132;
N ORCHARD 69 WILLMARTH PLACE SUB L21 P41 PLATS, W C R 22/397 66 X 132;
N ORCHARD W 50 FT OF 70 WILLMARTH PLACE SUB L21 P41 PLATS, W C R
22/397 50 X 132;
N ORCHARD E 16 FT OF 70 WILLMARTH PLACE SUB AND W 33 FT OF
71WILLMARTH PLACE SUB L21 P41 PLATS, W C R 22/397 49 X 132;
N ORCHARD E 33 FT OF 71 WILLMARTH PLACE SUB L21 P41 PLATS, W C R
22/397 33 X 132; and
N ORCHARD 72 WILLMARTH PLACE SUB L21 P41 PLATS, W C R 22/397 66 X 132.
Also described as follows:
S SANTA CLARA 14 & 15 DELIA E WILLMARTH'S SUB L25 P74 PLATS, W C R
22/415;
S SANTA CLARA 88 THRU 96 ELM AVE SUB L34 P21 PLATS, W C R 22/396; and
N ORCHARD E 41 FT OF W 43 FT OF 64 WILLMARTH PLACE SUB THRU 72
WILLMARTH PLACE SUB L21 P41 PLATS, W C R 22/397,
the existing R1 (Single-Family Residential) zoning classification is revised to the R3 (Low Density
Residential District) zoning classification.

Section 2. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are
repealed.
Section 3. This ordinance is declared necessary for the preservation of the public peace,
health, safety, and welfare of the people of the City of Detroit.

Section 4. This ordinance shall become effective on the eighth (8th) day after publication
in accordance with Section 401(6) of Public Act 110 of 2006, as amended, M.C.L. 125.3401(6),
and Section 4-118, paragraph 3 of the 2012 Detroit City Charter.

Approved as to Form:

[Signature]
Lawrence T. García,
Corporation Counsel
Northwest Detroit will be a vibrant area that is reflective of its diverse community members, businesses, landscapes and architecture. City investments in the community will strategically integrate and improve local greenspace, transportation and economic networks to contribute to the overall quality of life of all residents within Northwest Detroit.
DLBA Marketing

ORCHARD STREET

• The site includes 9 vacant DLBA parcels on Orchard St and Santa Clara between Lahser & Bentler.

• Marketing Package released: Jan 2021

• Responses reviewed, interviews complete & development team selected: April 2021
Orchard Housing Development

Goal is to provide quality, affordable housing options in the area.

What is proposed?
• 48 units - primarily 2-bedroom units (some 1 and 3 bedrooms).
• Hope to be under construction in 2023.

What is affordable and to whom?
• Rents will be affordable to households between 30% and 60% AMI (up to roughly $50,000).

Who will own and manage?
• DBB and CHN will be long-term owners.
• CHN will be property manager.
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

The Detroit City Planning Commission will conduct a public hearing on a proposed amendment to the Detroit Zoning Ordinance:

THURSDAY, JULY 22, 2021  AT 5:45 PM

to consider the request of the Planning and Development Department on behalf of Motor City Blight Busters to amend Article XVII, Section 50-17-76, District Map No. 74 of the 2019 Detroit City Code, Chapter 50, Zoning, to show an R3 (Low Density Residential District) zoning classification where an R1 (Single-Family Residential District) zoning classification is currently shown on 48 parcels generally bounded by Santa Clara Street to the north, Bentler Street to the east, Orchard Street to the south, and the north-south alley first east of Lahser Road to the west. The location of the proposed rezoning is indicated as the shaded area on the accompanying map.

The proposed map amendment is to permit the development of a multifamily residential development. The use is not allowed in the current zoning district but is permitted by-right in the proposed district. The pertinent zoning district classifications are described as follows:

R1 – Single-Family Residential
The R1 Single-Family Residential District is designed to protect and preserve quiet, low-density residential areas now primarily developed and those areas which will be developed with single-family detached dwellings and characterized by a high ratio of home ownership. The regulations for this district are designed to stabilize and protect the essential characteristics of the district and to promote and encourage a suitable environment for activities associated with family life. To these ends, development is limited to a relatively low concentration and uses permitted by right are limited to single-family detached dwellings which provide homes for the residents of the area. Additional residential uses such as religious institutions, neighborhood centers, and utility uses necessary to serve the immediate area may be permitted on a conditional basis.

R3 – Low Density Residential
The R3 Low Density Residential District is designed as a low-density multi-family district. The regulations are designed to promote and encourage town and terrace house development, courts, and garden apartments. It is intended that this district be used primarily on local thoroughfares thereby encouraging a suitable environment for family life. Among others, uses permitted by right include single- and two-family dwellings, townhouses, multi-family dwellings, and community facilities necessary to serve a residential district.

Zoning Ordinance amendments require approval by the City Council following a separate public hearing conducted by the Council. The Council considers the matter upon receipt of a report and recommendation from the City Planning Commission. This Zoning Ordinance map amendment request is being considered consistent with the provisions of Article III, Divisions 2, 3 and 4 of Chapter 50 of the 2019 Detroit City Code, the Detroit Zoning Ordinance.
All interested persons are invited to be present and be heard as to their views. Persons making oral presentations are encouraged to submit written copies, for the record, to the City Planning Commission via e-mail at cpc@detroitmi.gov. Public comment/testimony may be given at the appropriate times when called for during the meeting. If you desire to speak and are attending the meeting online, press the raise your hand icon at the bottom of the screen or press ALT-Y for a PC or OPT-Y for a MAC to raise your hand virtually. If attending by phone press *-9 to raise your hand.

If interpretation or translation services are needed, including for the hearing impaired, call the Department of Civil Rights, Inclusion & Opportunity at 313-224-4950. For further information on this proposal or the public hearing, please call (313) 224-6225.

CPC Webpage: https://detroitmi.gov/government/commissions/city-planning-commission

Pursuant to the Michigan Open Meetings Act, as amended, and in response to the COVID-19 pandemic the Detroit City Planning Commission (CPC) will be meeting virtually using a videoconferencing platform.

The CPC meeting may be viewed in the following manner.

Online:
https://cityofdetroit.zoom.us/j/96355593579?pwd=TTloMzN5M3pmU1RKXp1MjJlc2N3UT09

Or iPhone one-tap:
US: +12678310333,96355593579# or +13017158592,,96355593579#

Or by Telephone:
Dial (for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):
US: +1 267 831 0333 or +1 301 715 8592 or +1 312 626 6799 or +1 213 338 8477 or +1 253 215 8782 or +1 346 248 7799 Webinar ID: 963 5559 3579

Highlighted Area Above Shows Proposed Rezoning from R1 to R3
FORMAL SESSION/NEW BUSINESS - TUESDAY, JANUARY 18, 2022

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

Tate, an Proposed Ordinance to amend Chapter 50 of the 2019 Detroit City Code, Zoning, by amending Article XVII, Zoning District Maps, Section 50-17-76, District Map No. 74, to revise the zoning classification for 22 parcels generally located between Santa Clara Street and Orchard Street east of Lahser Road, commonly known as the following addresses: 21689 Santa Clara Street, 21679 Santa Clara Street, 21669 Santa Clara Street, 21661 Santa Clara Street, 21653 Santa Clara Street, 21645 Santa Clara Street, 21639 Santa Clara Street, 21631 Santa Clara Street, 21621 Santa Clara Street, 21535 Santa Clara Street, 21525 Santa Clara Street, 21722 Orchard Street, 21714 Orchard Street, 21706 Orchard Street, 21664 Orchard Street, 21652 Orchard Street, 21636 Orchard Street, 21624 Orchard Street, 21610 Orchard Street, 21604 Orchard Street, 21566 Orchard Street, and 21556 Orchard Street from the existing R1 (Single-Family Residential) zoning classification to the R3 (Low Density Residential District) zoning classification INTRODUCE.

ROLL CALL

Tate, resolution setting public hearing on ____________________________ at ___________________________ on foregoing ordinance amendment.
RESOLUTION SETTING HEARING

By Council Member Tate:

Resolved, That a public hearing will be held by this body on _______________________ at __________ virtually using videoconferencing for Planning and Economic Development Standing Committee, for the purpose of considering the advisability of adopting the foregoing, an Proposed Ordinance to amend Chapter 50 of the 2019 Detroit City Code, Zoning, by amending Article XVII, Zoning District Maps, Section 50-17-76, District Map No. 74, to revise the zoning classification for 22 parcels generally located between Santa Clara Street and Orchard Street east of Lahser Road, commonly known as the following addresses: 21689 Santa Clara Street, 21679 Santa Clara Street, 21669 Santa Clara Street, 21661 Santa Clara Street, 21653 Santa Clara Street, 21645 Santa Clara Street, 21639 Santa Clara Street, 21631 Santa Clara Street, 21621 Santa Clara Street, 21535 Santa Clara Street, 21525 Santa Clara Street, 21722 Orchard Street, 21714 Orchard Street, 21706 Orchard Street, 21664 Orchard Street, 21652 Orchard Street, 21636 Orchard Street, 21624 Orchard Street, 21610 Orchard Street, 21604 Orchard Street, 21566 Orchard Street, and 21556 Orchard Street from the existing R1 (Single-Family Residential) zoning classification to the R3 (Low Density Residential District) zoning classification.

All interested persons are invited to be present to be heard as to their views.
* RE:
Submitting reso. autho. - Annual HOME, CDBG, NSP and ARPA Awards - New Award

* SUMMARY:
The City of Detroit ("City"), through the Housing and Revitalization Department ("HRD") and other City Departments, makes annual and special funding allocations available for "ready-to-proceed projects" from HOME, CDBG, NSP and the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 ("ARPA") federal funds allocated to the City through the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") and the U.S. Department of Treasury. HRD has continued to work closely with HUD and other Federal agencies to meet required commitment and disbursement deadlines for new and ongoing projects approved by the City.

In support of affordable housing opportunities, HRD is hereby requesting approval to proceed with an agreement to fund the following new project:

1. Lee Plaza ($7,000,000.00 in ARPA funds) - $7,000,000.00 in ARPA funds will be spent on this project at 2240/2250 W. Grand Blvd. and 2700 Ferry Park to assist in renovating the historic 1928 15-story vacant building and adjacent 1.15 acres in vacant land. The funds will support Phase 1 of the project to include approximately 117 units of affordable housing for seniors. The City acquired this property in 2017 from the Detroit Housing Commission for the specific purpose of instituting this project. Detroit City Council approved the sale of the property to the project’s developers in February 2019.

We hereby request that your Honorable Body adopt the attached resolution that authorizes the HRD Director, or her authorized designee, to execute such documents as may be necessary or convenient to complete the transactions.

* RECOMMENDATION:
Recommend approval of resolution.

* DEPARTMENTAL CONTACT:
Name: Kelly Vickers
Position: Chief Housing Development & Investment Officer
*=REQUIRED
January 07, 2022

Detroit City Council
1340 Coleman A. Young Municipal Center
Detroit, MI 48226

RE: Annual HOME, CDBG, NSP and ARPA Awards
New Award

Honorable City Council:

The City of Detroit ("City"), through the Housing and Revitalization Department ("HRD") and other City Departments, makes annual and special funding allocations available for "ready-to-proceed projects" from HOME, CDBG, NSP and the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 ("ARPA") federal funds allocated to the City through the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") and the U.S. Department of Treasury. HRD has continued to work closely with HUD and other Federal agencies to meet required commitment and disbursement deadlines for new and ongoing projects approved by the City.

In support of affordable housing opportunities, HRD is hereby requesting approval to proceed with an agreement to fund the following new project:

1. Lee Plaza ($7,000,000.00 in ARPA funds) - $7,000,000.00 in ARPA funds will be spent on this project at 2240/2250 W. Grand Blvd. and 2700 Ferry Park to assist in renovating the historic 1928 15-story vacant building and adjacent 1.15 acres in vacant land. The funds will support Phase 1 of the project to include approximately 117 units of affordable housing for seniors. The City acquired this property in 2017 from the Detroit Housing Commission for the specific purpose of instituting this project. Detroit City Council approved the sale of the property to the project’s developers in February 2019.

We hereby request that your Honorable Body adopt the attached resolution that authorizes the HRD Director, or her authorized designee, to execute such documents as may be necessary or convenient to complete the transactions.

Respectfully submitted,

Julie M. Schneider
Director

Attachments

cc: G. Fulton, Mayor's Office
    K. Vickers, Chief Housing Development & Investment Officer
RESOLUTION

BY COUNCIL MEMBER: ______________________________________________________

WHEREAS, the City of Detroit (“City”) receives annual and special allocations of HOME, CDBG, NSP from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (“HUD”) and American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (“ARPA”) funds from the U.S. Department of Treasury, through the Housing and Revitalization Department (“HRD”) and other City Departments, for the purpose of creating affordable housing opportunities in Detroit neighborhoods which are both responsive to needs created by the COVID-19 pandemic and help build a strong and equitable recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has authorized the City to accept and utilize HOME, CDBG and NSP funds according to HUD and other Federal regulations; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has appropriated ARPA funds in Appropriation No. 22002, Project No. 860201 to maintain City services consistent with the commitments of the City under the Amended Plan for the Adjustment of Debts of the City (the “POA”) to remove blight throughout the City; and

WHEREAS, HRD desires to award funding through agreements with developers and/or borrowers engaged in a project for the renovation and development of Lee Plaza, a historic 15-story vacant building and adjacent 1.15 acres of vacant land, to create 117 units of affordable housing for seniors (the “Project”); and now therefore be it

RESOLVED, that the City Council hereby approves any necessary agreements, as well as any other necessary instruments, to provide necessary financial assistance to the developers and/or borrowers for the Project from the ARPA funds allocated by the City as provided for herein and in the attached Exhibit 1, which is incorporated herein by reference, in the amount indicated and with authorization to vary such allocation amount by not more or less than 10% at the discretion of the HRD Director and the City’s Chief Financial Officer; and be it further

RESOLVED, that the HRD Director, or her authorized designee, is authorized to process, prepare and execute any and all agreements, as well as any other necessary instruments, necessary or convenient to close, secure and use ARPA funds according to Federal regulations and as approved by this resolution; and be it finally

RESOLVED, that the Budget and Finance Directors of the Office of the Chief Financial Officer are hereby authorized to increase the necessary accounts and honor expenditures, vouchers and payrolls when presented in accordance with the foregoing communication and standard City procedures.

(See attached Exhibit 1)
### Exhibit 1: 2021-22 New HOME, CDBG and ARPA Awards

#### New Award

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEVELOPER OR BORROWER</th>
<th>PROJECT DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>PROJECT ACTION</th>
<th>TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COST</th>
<th>ORIGINAL/CURRENT ALLOCATION</th>
<th>New or Revised ALLOCATION</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Roxbury Group, LLC, Lee Plaza LLC and their affiliates and subsidiaries 1117 Griswold, Suite 1416 Detroit, MI 48226 Ethos Development Partners 882 Oakman Blvd. Detroit, MI 48238</td>
<td>Lee Plaza 2240 and 2250 W. Grand Blvd. 2700 Ferry Park Detroit, MI 48208 Renovation of the 15-story, historic building that includes approximately 117 units of affordable senior housing.</td>
<td>APPROVE NEW AWARD</td>
<td>$59,000,000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$7,000,000 ARPA</td>
<td>New Award</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>